

N69118.AR.001348
ST JULIENS CREEK
5090.3a

RESPONSE TO U S NAVY COMMENTS ON PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUPPLEMENTAL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN SITE 5 ST JULIENS CREEK
ANNEX VA
01/08/2014
CH2M HILL

Responses to Comments
Preliminary Draft Supplemental Remedial Investigation Sampling and
Analysis Plan
St. Juliens Creek Annex
Chesapeake, Virginia

PREPARED FOR: Krista Parra, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic
PREPARED BY: CH2M HILL
DATE: January 8, 2014

Comments from NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic, provided January 7, 2014.

- 1. Comment:** If the groundwater data collected during the primary event result in identification of unacceptable risks, it will not be possible to link the risks to a CERCLA release. Therefore, remove that language throughout the document.

Response: Per the phone conversation on 01/07/14, no changes will be made since the language is consistent with scoping. The language may be revisited to address regulator comments, if needed.
- 2. Comment:** Worksheet 5, second Contractor Organization box – Enlarge the box to see the name of the project chemist.

Response: The suggested change will be made.
- 3. Comment:** Worksheet 9-4, pages 31 and 32 – Change “Mr. Stroud” to “Mr. Kleinmann” in the text.

Response: The suggested changes will be made.
- 4. Comment:** Worksheet 9-4, page 33, second sub-bullet, second sentence – Capitalize the first word in the sentence.

Response: The suggested change will be made.
- 5. Comment:** Worksheet 9-5, Scoping Session Purpose, third sentence – Change “this” to “the” at the beginning of the sentence.

Response: The suggested change will be made.
- 6. Comment:** Worksheet 9-5, second to last sentence – “Ms. Parra” is incorrect; should it be changed to “Ms. Watson”?

Response: Yes, “Ms. Parra” will be changed to “Ms. Watson” in the referenced sentence.

7. **Comment:** Worksheet 14, page 50, fifth paragraph, first sentence – Should the temporary monitoring wells remain in place instead of being abandoned immediately after the primary sampling event) until we know what we are going to have to do so they can be reused if needed?

Response: The temporary monitoring wells can be left in place until it is determined whether additional data from them could be useful. Therefore, the fifth paragraph will be revised as follows: “The temporary wells will be abandoned upon determination that additional data is not needed. The abandonment will be conducted in accordance with SOP-006. The PVC portion of the well will be removed using a winch attached to the DPT rig. Once the PVC is removed, the remaining void will be filled with bentonite chips or pellets.”