

PENSACOLA PARTNERING TEAM  
MEETING MINUTES

DATE: April 25,2000

LOCATION CH2M Hill, Navarre Florida

TEAM LEADER: Brian Caldwell

RECORDER: Joe Fugitt

GATE KEEPER/TIMEKEEPER: Terry Hansen

PROCESS FACILITATOR: none

**ATTENDEES:**

**TEAM MEMBERS:**

Brian Caldwell

Joe Fugitt

Terry Hansen

Bill Hill

Ron Joyner

Gena Townsend

Amy Twitty

**SUPPORT MEMBERS:**

Robbie Darby, Tier II

**GUESTS:**

Barbara Albrecht, EnSafe Inc

**CHECK-IN**

Everyone is doing fine. Ground rules and meeting processes were reviewed.

**ACTION ITEM REVIEW**

- 9908-A74: Allison and Pei are to revise the models for Site 40. Pending; Allison will send out by next meeting.
- 0003-A06: The workplan and SAP for the Site 2 sampling still needs to be completed for the record: Genu will get EPA's portion of this together. Pending; Bobby Lewis will finalize the SAP and send to Allison.
- 0003-A07: Terry to write up the Site 2 cooperative effort as a success story. Pending.
- 0003-A08: Gena will find out the status of the EPA signing off on RODs before FDEP does. Complete. Discuss as agenda item.
- 0003-A09: Bill to switch funding priority from Site 38 to OUI3 so that remediation can begin FY 2001. Complete.
- 0003-A10: Bill will get copies of new schedules and then send them to everyone by next meeting, likely in .pdf format. Complete.

- 0003-A11: *Input on the Roles and Responsibilities of facilitator requested from Team by March 31 by Robbie. Complete.*
- 0003-A12: *Terry will be copied on all correspondence henceforth for the AR. Ongoing*

#### Reminders:

These items are understood to be works in progress and are carried forward to remind the team of their presence.

- 9903-A13: Bill will submit a letter to EPA and State requesting that OU10 be handled under RCRA authority.
- 9802-A14: Brian to follow up on the list of wells to be kept for future modeling.
- 9806-A44: Review Tier II deliverable packages (rev.9) for corrections and respond to Bill.
- 9811-M05: Bring MBTI materials to all meetings.
- 9908-A72/A73: Bill suggested using the Navy's database because it is complete and for consistency between the agencies. Robbie to discuss the concept of the three agency databases at Tier II meeting.
- 9908-A81: Review previous success stories after Rich May has revised them (Kerry to check on progress).

#### MEETING MINUTES

Team discusses meeting minutes and agrees to add future meeting dates and locations to the final version of the minutes.

#### SITE 2 SAMPLING

Debrief by Barb Albrecht.

Barb reviews site location maps. She has not seen the sediment chemistry data yet. Barb reviews the benthic diversity and how it is an indicator of the environment. Review of Shannon Weiner diversity index (evenness and richness of community). She reviews where the diversity samples were collected. She presents the numerical system that was used to identify sampling locations.

New data has indicated that the diversity values have increased. Barb says that the ranges of diversity are very good and that it indicates a healthy benthic community. Barb reviews the results of the 10-day *Leptocheirus plumulosus* Bioassays. Only 3 stations had a mortality in the range of or slightly greater than 20%. For 7-day *Mysidopsis bahia* there were no stations which exhibited unusual mortality

Lab apparently had a problem with one particular control group for leptos (different supplier) for the last batch of tests. Lab got a new batch of leptos and have re-run tests for the last group of samples. The new data will be available soon.

7-day mysids only had a minor hit in reproduction for 50% concentration exposure level at station EF45. There was no hit at 100% concentration exposure level.

For leptos only IJ56 and EF45 had a hit (++) for Triad. Will know more when sediment chemistry data is available.

In general, the benthic data looks very good. Barb will look further at the data to determine if any of the organisms represented are pollution tolerant only.

Area seems to be recovering and is more diverse in benthic community.

Mysids had a much greater survival rate in this latest sampling.

Bill Hill was interested in rate of recovery for this area and if this can be determined. He suggested that NOAA might be interested in studying this in the future. Barb suggested that a study 4 years from now in this location would be scientifically interesting to further demonstrate recovery of the benthic community.

Barb discusses the exposure concentration scenario and why data was collected at 100%, 50%, and 25% concentration levels in order to see if a gradient could be determined. Gena suggests use 100% data but show other data in the report (document that it was collected). Hits at the 50% level may not be as significant since 100% level had no significant hits.

Gena had some concerns about the paint chips littering the sediment surface in some areas. Analytes within the paint chips, while not bioavailable to the environment, may affect the sediment chemistry sample results. This will be a consideration when the sediment chemistry data results come in.

#### TtNUS UPDATE

Terry Hansen presents an update of field activities and report status.

Terry reviews document submittal list, address list, and number of copies for each group/agency. Final documents will be available on CD as an Adobe Acrobat readable pdf (portable document file). There is also some discussion regarding a GIS based system for data review/queries in the future to be available to the team.

Site 1 Long Term Monitoring Status: The site was sampled recently but the data has not come back from the lab. Terry asks the team about timing for subsequent sampling events. Since this has been a dry year, the next sampling event should occur after a rainfall event if possible to capture some seasonal fluctuation. Gena asks if wetland 3 was still wet. Terry was not out there during the sampling event but believes that the wetland is still wet.

Bill Hill has a completion report from Bechtel for the groundwater collection system at Site 1. He offers to make this available to the Team in electronic format.

**Action Item 0004-A13:** Bill Hill to send out electronic copy of Completion report produced by Bechtel for remedial system at OU1 to the team.

Terry presents an overview of tables and figures that will be coming out shortly for Site 43 in the SCR (Site Characterization Report). He provides a summary of the following data:

- Where geophysical survey had been done;
- Locations of surface soil samples;
- Locations of monitoring wells (dpt pre-packed screened wells) and subsurface soil sample locations;
- Surface soil sampling results;
- Subsurface soil sampling results; and
- Ground water analytical results.

Some inorganics (e.g. aluminum, iron, etc.) and benzo(a)pyrene and dibenzo(a)anthracene in soils.

Groundwater data showed exceedences (State secondary standards:) in aluminum and iron. There was a detection of methylene chloride but this is believed to be a lab contaminant.

Note that wells are DPT (direct push technology). Aluminum and iron detects are not surprising. Need to look at turbidity data.

Gena asks if samples were collected from the drums. Terry says no samples were collected from the drums.

Terry presents draft table of an overview of subsurface soil exceedences. Not much of a problem, some inorganics and the 2 SVOCs.

Terry presents draft table of groundwater data. Some aluminum and iron in gw and the methylene chloride (suspected lab contaminant).

Terry presents draft table of drums excavated and contents summary. No analytical sampling of drums has occurred yet. They will eventually be sampled for disposal purposes. Drums were marked as lubricating oil.

Drums will be sampled before disposal. It will be helpful to compare drum analytical results with soil/gw analytical results.

## SCHEDULES

Bill Hill leads discussion of the proposed schedules that were emailed (pdf files) to the Team before the meeting. Bill has updated schedule based on actual award dates for OU 15, OU13 and OU11. Schedule has been adjusted based on funding secured for FY 2001.

Bill is concerned about short review time in order to meet the schedule as proposed. There is some discussion on the getting ROD approvals and the time involved. It may not be realistic to meet this schedule; however, items will be prioritized.

**Decision Item 0004-D10:** Team agrees to try to meet the schedule as much as possible.

#### EPA SIGNATURE OF RODs

Gena says that EPA will not sign RODs until concurrence is granted from State of Florida. There was some discussion on agency accounting that occurs with the ROD. ROD concurrence is tracked at the EPA and also triggers activities at the Navy (15 month clock) for starting the Remedial Action.

The team discussed how to stream line the ROD concurrence process. There are multiple levels at each agency that must approve the RODs before final concurrence signatures can be obtained.

Bill has elevated his concern about the time for FDEP to sign concurrence letters to his management at the Tier II level. Bill emailed his management about his concerns for the schedule and getting agency concurrence. Bill provided the Team with a copy of this email. While this is not elevating an issue from the Team to Tier II, Bill is hoping to inform Tier II of agency policy changes (That EPA will not sign ROD concurrence until the State of Florida concurs).

Bill has offered whatever resources he can to help the agencies review and prepare concurrence letters.

The Navy has some concerns about the number of RODs which may require concurrence letters before the end of FY 2000. Joe Fugitt will talk to the other State DOD RPMs about the number of RODs that potentially need concurrence letters signed before September 1, 2000.

#### SITE 38 FS UPDATE

Joe Fugitt reports that he has Greg Browns comments and that he is also preparing comments. Once these are prepared, the comments will be emailed to the team and a conference call will be set up to discuss.

#### TIER II UPDATE

Robbie discussed the ~~facilitator~~ roles and responsibilities. There was also a discussion about a meeting scribe and whether or not the Team would be interested in having one. Team discussed pros and cons of a meeting scribe. This will be proposed again to Tier II. Bill has at least one candidate for the position in mind. Robbie mentions that teams should use the multi-step process for conflict resolution before elevating issues.

New facilitator contract to be awarded this month.

Next Tier II meeting is in June.

Tier II conference call is scheduled for this coming Monday.

Team discusses the facilitator roles and responsibilities. There is some general discussion on how the team feels about our facilitation and how frequently we would like to have a facilitator. Bill would like a mission statement for the facilitator.

## OU13 UPDATE

Brian has prepared the addendum for the Focused Feasibility Study for OU 13 (Sites 8 and 24). This document will be received shortly. The addendum will present an additional alternative. The preferred alternative has not changed for this OU, however.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

Gena discusses what goes into the administrative record based on her research of the rules. Gena also mentions that they have an information repository where other supporting documents could be filed.

Gena feels that NAS Pensacola should also maintain an information repository so the public can have access to this material (post ROD data). This would be important since the admin record stops at the ROD. This could possibly be supplied as CDs as pdf files to the public library. Bill agrees in principle but points out that there are contractual issues that have to be solved first so that the Navy has a mechanism to supply (make available) the documents in the information repository to the public.

Currently, Ron Joyner maintains copies of all documents at the base which are available to public inspection, There may be some way that Facility O and M funds could be used to maintain the information repository

## FUTURE MEETINGS 2000

May 23-24 Charleston, SC meeting is postponed until June due to schedules and lack of agenda items. See agenda below.

Bill will reschedule with Anchorage Inn for the June Meeting dates. Once Site 40 document and Site 38 FS comments are out, a conference call will be set up to discuss these items during the interim period.

Meeting schedule summary for the rest of the year.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Location</u>
June 27 & 28	Charleston, SC
July 25 & 26	Pensacola, FL
August 22 & 23	
September 26 & 27	
October 24 & 25	
December 5 & 6	

June 2000 Meeting Agenda  
June 27 & 28  
Charleston, SC

Meeting Location: Anchorage Inn  
Meeting Leader: Joe Fugitt  
Scribe: Terry Hansen  
Time Keeper: Bill Hill

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Goal</u>	<u>Lead</u>	<u>Time</u>
GIS	Info	Terry H	1
Site 38 FS	Response	Joe F	1
Check In	Howdy	Joe F	1
Check Out	Bye	Joe F	1
Site 2	Update	Barbara	1
Site 40	Finalize RI	Allison	2
OU13	Finalize FS	Brian C	0.5
TtNUS Update	Update	Terry	1
Tier II Update	Update	Paul/Robbie	0.5
Document Conc.	Status	Joe F	1
RAB Agenda	Develop	Ron J	1

Note: Tom D, you don't need to attend, but you DO need to assign proxies (both consensus and well, ahem, ahem....)