

Freeman Christine D CNIN

From: Ramanauskas.Peter@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Tuesday, September 03, 2002 1:12 PM
To: Brent Thomas J CNIN; gateswh@efdsouth.navfac.navy.mil
Cc: dgriffin@dem.state.in.us
Subject: Roads & Grounds

Gentlemen,

I've read through the R&G IM report. It looks fairly straightforward, but I do have a few questions/comments:

- 1) Section 1.2 provides some description of the debris found in these areas. I seem to recall on a site visit when Tom, Chris, & I went down to the East Unit a couple of years ago that there was at least one drum marked TCE down there. Or am I thinking of the Old Burn Pit? ToITest should mention discovery of any debris labelled with past contents.
- 2) Section 1.2 notes that a "much larger area than what is addressed in this IM has been filled in with debris." It would be useful to include a statement of what the Navy plans to do to address this (e.g., RFI).
- 3) Section 3.1 mentions that no odor, soil staining, or other evidence of contamination was found. Was a PID used in making this determination as described in the workplan? This should be explained in the report.
- 4) Section 5.0 should mention that while no further IM work is recommended, the R&G area is seeking funding for RFI work.

That's all I have.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks!
Pete