

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [Ramanauskas.Peter@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Ramanauskas.Peter@epamail.epa.gov)  
[\[mailto:Ramanauskas.Peter@epamail.epa.gov\]](mailto:Ramanauskas.Peter@epamail.epa.gov)  
**Sent:** Friday, November 01, 2002 10:15 AM  
**To:** Brent Thomas J CNIN; [gateswh@efdsouth.navfac.navy.mil](mailto:gateswh@efdsouth.navfac.navy.mil)  
**Cc:** [dgriffin@dem.state.in.us](mailto:dgriffin@dem.state.in.us)  
**Subject:** Re: OGTSL SAMPLE RESULTS

Gentlemen,

Attached is a note from Allen Debus after his review of the Old Gun Tub data. I mentioned that Crane's request is to remove this area from further consideration as a SWMU and our position that we can make a determination should the QA/QC be acceptable.

Can you provide the information below?

Thanks,

Pete

----- Forwarded by Peter Ramanauskas/R5/USEPA/US on 11/01/02 09:09 AM

**From:** Allen Debus  
**To:** Peter [Ramanauskas/R5/USEPA/US@EPA](mailto:Ramanauskas/R5/USEPA/US@EPA)  
**Sent:** 11/01/02 09:00 AM  
**Subject:** Re: OGTSL SAMPLE RESULTS(Document link: Peter Ramanauskas)

Peter:

My comments are as follows.

1. There is no Case Narrative accompanying the data summary indicating how the analyses performed.
2. While surrogate data has been provided for SVOCs, there is no QC data for metals attached. (The surrogate data for all the the SVOCs data was within limits of acceptability.
3. PCBs surrogate data is usually labeled 'diluted out' with no explanation provided for why this was the case. The 'diluted out' note is curious considering that these samples all appeared to be 'undetected' for PCBs. Why were the samples diluted? This should have been explained in a Case Narrative report.
4. Minor amounts of several SVOCs were detected, although it is unexplained whether these levels are acceptable.
5. Because the QC data presented is very 'barebones' (before you determine that no further action is needed at this SWMU), we should request a 'level III' type data package presentation summarizing all the field & lab QC sample types for each of the analytes in question.
6. Apparently some samples were subcontracted out to another laboratory (other than Sherry labs), as stated in the 7/26/02 letter from Stan West. However, it is unclear what laboratory this 'SUB' lab was and what their qualifications were.

Allen