

N00164.AR.002150  
NSA CRANE  
5090.3a

EMAIL AND THE INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT  
APPROVAL OF THE RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY ACT FACILITY  
INVESTIGATION REPORT SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT UNIT 27 (SWMU 27) NSA CRANE  
IN  
9/19/2012  
INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

## Cohen, Deborah

---

**From:** Brent, Thomas CIV NAVFAC MW, PWD Crane EV <thomas.brent@navy.mil>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 19, 2012 7:29 AM  
**To:** Basinski, Ralph  
**Cc:** Hickey, Howard M CIV NAVFAC MW EV  
**Subject:** FW: SWMU 27

Just for clarification, this is Doug's approval of the RFI. Please issue the final covers.

Thanks,  
Tom

-----Original Message-----

From: GRIFFIN, DOUG [<mailto:DGRIFFIN@idem.IN.gov>]  
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2012 7:17  
To: Brent, Thomas CIV NAVFAC MW, PWD Crane EV  
Subject: RE: SWMU 27

The report took a long time to go thru, but there were just scattered hits and nothing worth addressing except the sludges. That's been pretty much the pattern at the flare sites; enough detections so you know they've been there, but not enough to be actionable. I keep watching for perchlorate at the sites that manufacture the flares but so far we haven't seen that either. SWMU 20 may be different because we have the unexplained explosives hits.

Go ahead and finalize and send me whatever signature sheets I need to sign.

(and I said pumper truck, should have been vac truck)

-----Original Message-----

From: Brent, Thomas CIV NAVFAC MW, PWD Crane EV [<mailto:thomas.brent@navy.mil>]  
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2012 6:57 AM  
To: GRIFFIN, DOUG  
Subject: RE: SWMU 27

Thanks Doug. So, I'm assuming this is your approval and we can make this final without any changes.

-----Original Message-----

From: GRIFFIN, DOUG [<mailto:DGRIFFIN@idem.IN.gov>]  
Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2012 15:32  
To: Brent, Thomas CIV NAVFAC MW, PWD Crane EV  
Subject: SWMU 27

Tom, the detected concentrations are so low there isn't much debate about what to do. There were a few isolated hits, but it's pretty clear nothing needs to be done. The places most likely to have contamination collected are the sumps and pits, and that's where it was. The recommendations say address those sediments with a CMS or an IM....I would say don't make this more expensive than it has to be...use a pumper truck to clean out the sediments. If there were PCBs or something else oily I would want verification samples, but not for metals.