HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

N60478.AR.000178
NWS EARLE
5090.3a

Data Validation Report

March 5, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program October 23, 1991 at the **NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station** site. There was one (1) water sample which was received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, **R. F. Weston Number 9110L125.**

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the **Specific Findings** and associated **Data Qualifications** presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the **Narratives**. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
26-002-M202	9110L125-001	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Gene Watson	Dan Heil

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound RRFs.

Specific findings:

1. The initial calibration analyzed on 10/29/91 contained the following compounds with %RSDs greater than 30%, but less than 90%. However, no qualifications are required since samples were not analyzed after the initial calibration.
   - acetone
   - chloromethane

Continuing Calibrations

The one (1) continuing calibrations that was analyzed with this data package was acceptable for all TCL RRFs.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Continuing Calibrations (continued)

Specific findings:

2. For sample 26-002-M202, the continuing calibration, Y103013, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%D, but less than 50%D. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).
   2-hexanone
   4-methyl-2-pentanone
   vinyl acetate

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The one (1) method blank that was analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride and acetone. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

3. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride and acetone blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   methylene chloride - U: 26-002-M202

   acetone - U: 26-002-M202

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples were within QA/QC limits. No qualifications are required.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

A MS/MSD was not was analyzed with this SDG.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

No qualifications are required.

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
26-002-M202	4-methyl-2-pentanone 2-hexanone vinyl acetate	+	J	2
26-002-M202	methylene chloride	+B	U	3
26-002-M202	acetone	+B	U	3

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory

QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm

+ in the DL column denotes a positive result

- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-002-M202

Lab Name: Rov F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L125-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103019

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	8	~~B~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	16	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-002-M202

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L125-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103019

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91104125 SDG No. ——— LABORATORY Westate COLTSNECK.

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: _____ Date: 3/2/1992

Verified By: _____ Date: 3/2/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

BMW
3-7-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

26-002-M202 CH_2Cl_2, Acetone - U

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

C) Water blank contamination

D) Trip blank contamination

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No Action

EMW
3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

*Init Cal 10-29-91 No Samples
Chloromethane, Acetone (>30% RSD)

*Cont Cal Y103013 - 26-002-M202
I - vinyl acetate, 4-methyl-2-pentanone,
2-Hexanone

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

9. MATRIX SPIKE/SPIKE DUPLICATE, MS/MSD:

The MS/MSD data are generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD may be used in conjunction with other QC criteria for some additional qualification of the data.

None submitted with this SDG

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

None

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Acceptable

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS 0 NON-COMPLIANCE:

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

None

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI Region_____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

ASE NO. 91106125 LABORATORY RF WESTON

SDG NO. _____ DATA USER RF WESTON

SOW 2/88 Revs REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 3-2-91

NO. OF SAMPLES 1 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heart Landese

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	O			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	O			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM
(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples))

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1989

Type of Review: CLP VOA / Region II Date: 3-2-92

Project: Colts neck Case #: 91104L1D5

Reviewer's Initials: JMW Lab Name: L.A. WESTON

Number of Samples: 1

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0/35
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2/35
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

000022

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91/0L125
LAB: R. F Weston
SITE: Coltsneck.

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	[ ]	X	
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMD CCS checklist included with package?	[ ]	X	
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	[X]		
2.2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	[X]		
3.0 Data Validation Checklist			
The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.			
Does this package contain:			
VOA data?	X		
BNA data?		X	
Pesticide/PCB data?		X	
ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.			

	YES	NO	N/A

PART A: VOA ANALYSES

1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative

1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.

1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data? ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.

ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).

ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

2.0 Holding Times

2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded? ___ [X] ___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			
3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)			
3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]		
b. Med Water	[ ]		X
c. Low Soil	[ ]		X
d. Med Soil	[ ]		X
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]		~~X~~ 3-7-92
b. Med Water	[ ]		X
c. Low Soil	[ ]		X
d. Med Soil	[ ]		X
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	[ ]		X
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?		[ ]	X
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?	[ ]		X
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	[ ]		X

ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications:

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% : 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".	___	[X]	___

.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)

4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/recovery Form (Form III) present? Present in another SDG	[ ]	___	X
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[ ]	___	X
b. Med Water	[ ]	___	X
c. Low Soil	[ ]	___	X
d. Med Soil	[ ]	___	X

ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	X		
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	X		
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	X		
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	X		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are not used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	X		
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?			X
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ___ X

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [X] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [X] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[X]		

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		[X]	
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[X]		

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[X]		

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[X]		
c. Blanks	[X]		

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[X]		
c. Blanks	[X]		
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[X]		
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[X]		
Resolution	[X]		
Peak shape	[X]		
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[X]		
Other:	[X]		X
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[X]		
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[X]		
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[X]		
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[X]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	[ ]	___	✓
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[ ]	___	✗
b. Blanks	[ ]	___	✗
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?	___	[ ]	✗
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[ ]	___	✗
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[ ]	___	✗
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?	___	[✗]	___
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	[ ]	___	✗

	YES	NO	N/A

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.

11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)

11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)

12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

3-2-92

12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)? [X] X ___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)

12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05? ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		[✓]	

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[✓]		
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	[✓]		

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?		[✓]	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	N	[ ]	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
15.0 Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[___]	___	X

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

Data Validation Report

March 5, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program October 25, 1991 at the **NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station** site. There were seven (7) water samples which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, **R. F. Weston Number 9110L149.**

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the **Specific Findings** and associated **Data Qualifications** presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the **Narratives**. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	**RF WESTON ID**	**Matrix**	**VOA**
11-001-M002	9110L149-001	WATER	X
11-001-M302	9110L149-002	WATER	X
11-002-M002	9110L149-003	WATER	X
11-003-M002	9110L149-004	WATER	X
11-004-M002	9110L149-005	WATER	X
11-003-M202	9110L149-006	WATER	X
11-005-M002	9110L149-007	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Dan Heil	Gene Watson

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound RRFs.

Specific findings:

1. The initial calibration that was analyzed on 10-29-91 contained the following compounds with %RSDs greater than 30%, but less than 90%. However, no samples were analyzed after the initial calibration, so qualifications are not required.
   acetone

Continuing Calibrations

The two (2) continuing calibrations that were analyzed with this data package was acceptable for all TCL %Ds and RRFs. No qualifications are required.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The two (2) method blanks that were analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride and acetone. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

2. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride and acetone blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   methylene chloride - CRQL: 11-001-M002, 11-005-M002

   methylene chloride - U: 11-001-M302, 11-003-M002, 11-004-M002, 11-003-M202

   acetone - U: 11-001-M002, 11-001-M302, 11-002-M002, 11-003-M002, 11-004-M002, 11-003-M202

   acetone - NA: 11-005-M002

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples were within QA/QC limits. No qualifications are required.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

A MS/MSD was not analyzed with this SDG.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

No qualifications are required.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
11-001-M002, 11-005-M002	methylene chloride	+BJ	CRQL	2
11-001-M302, 11-003-M002, 11-004-M002, 11-003-M202	methylene chloride	+B	U	2
11-001-M002, 11-001-M302, 11-002-M002, 11-003-M002, 11-004-M002, 11-003-M202	acetone	+B	U	2
11-005-M002,	acetone	+B	NA	2

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory

QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm

\+ in the DL column denotes a positive result

\- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1A

0000019

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L149-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103115

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/25/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/31/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~3~~	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	27	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	3	J
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L149-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103115

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/25/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/31/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 000030

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-001-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L149-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103124

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/25/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	13	~~B~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	10	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E 0000031

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-001-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L149-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103124

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/25/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

0000039

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-002-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L149-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: Y103125

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/25/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	11	~~B~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	20	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 0000040

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-002-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L149-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103125

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/25/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000047

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L149-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103126

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/25/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	8	~~B~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	27	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L149-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103126

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/25/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A 0000056

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-004-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L149-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103127

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/25/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	~~B~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	31	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 0000057

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-004-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L149-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103127

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/25/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000065

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-003-M202

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L149-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103128

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/25/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	10	~~B~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	12	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 0000066

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-003-M202

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L149-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103128

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/25/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000077

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-005-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L149-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103129

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/25/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~2~~	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	52	~~B~~ 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E 0000075

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-005-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L149-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103129

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/25/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91106149 SDG No. — LABORATORY RF Westa SITE Coltneck

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: [signature] Date: 3 / 2 / 1992

Verified By: [signature] Date: 3 / 2 / 1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No action

DJH 3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

Methylene chloride - CRQL
1-002, 5-M002

Methylene chloride - U
302, 3-M002, 4-M002, 3-M202

Acetone - U
1-002, 302, 2-M002
3-M002, 4-M002, 3-M202

Acetone - NA
5-M002

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

C) Water blank contamination

D) Trip blank contamination

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

DH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

NO Action

DJH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

Intial Calibration 10/29/91
NA ~~J~~ - Acetone
DF# 3292

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

DJA 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

DJA 2-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

9. MATRIX SPIKE/SPIKE DUPLICATE, MS/MSD:

The MS/MSD data are generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD may be used in conjunction with other QC criteria for some additional qualification of the data.

No MS/MSD submitted with data

3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

None

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Fair

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS 0 NON-COMPLIANCE:

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

None

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI Region_____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 91104149 LABORATORY RF Weston

SDG NO. _____ DATA USER RF weston

SOW 2/88 REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 3/2/92

NO. OF SAMPLES 7 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heartland

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ("F" = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	O			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ("F" = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	O			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM

(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples))

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1989

Type of Review: CLP & VOA

Date: 3-2-92

Project: Coltsneck

Case #: 91106145

Reviewer's Initials: DJH

Lab Name: RF Weston

Number of Samples: 7

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0/245
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	13	0	0	7	13/245
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91102149

LAB: RF Weston, Lionville

SITE: Coltsneck

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	[ ]	✓	
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMD QCS checklist included with package?	[✓]		
2.0 Cover&Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	[✓]		
2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	[✓]		
3.0 Data Validation Checklist			
The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.			
Does this package contain:			
VOA data?	✓		
BNA data?		✓	
Pesticide/PCB data?		✓	
ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.			

	YES	NO	N/A

PART A: VOA ANALYSES

1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative

1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.

1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data? ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.

ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).

ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

2.0 Holding Times

2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded? ___ [✓] ___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			
3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)			
3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	✓		
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?		✓	
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?			✓
Were method blanks reanalyzed?			✓

ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications:

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% : 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".	___	[✓]	___
4.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)			
4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present?	[✗]	___	___
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[ ]	___	___
b. Med Water	[ ]	___	___
c. Low Soil	[ ]	___	___
d. Med Soil	[ ]	___	___
ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.			

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	[✓]	___	___
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	[✓]	___	___
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are not used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	✓	[ ]	___
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?	___	[ ]	✓
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ___ ✓

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [✓] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [✓] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[✓]	___
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

	YES	NO	N/A
7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:

	YES	NO	N/A
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[___]	___	NA
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[ ]	___	✓
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[ ]	___	___
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[✓]	___	___
Resolution	[✓]	___	___
Peak shape	[✓]	___	___
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[✓]	___	___
Other:_____	[ ]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]	___	___
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	[✓]		
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]		
b. Blanks	[✓]		
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?		[✓]	
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]		
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?		[✓]	
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	[✓]		

	YES	NO	N/A
ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.			
11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)			
11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)			
12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)?	[___]	✓	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)			
12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").			

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		✓	

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

	YES	NO	N/A
13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	✓		
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	✓		

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?		✓	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?		✓	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
15.0 Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[___]	___	✓

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

Data Validation Report

March 5, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program October 23, 1991 at the **NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station** site. There were two (2) water samples which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, **R. F. Weston Number 9110L108.**

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the **Specific Findings** and associated **Data Qualifications** presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the **Narratives**. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
10-007-M002	9110L108-001	WATER	X
10-007-M102	9110L108-002	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Dan Heil	Gene Watson

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound RRFs.

Specific findings:

1. The initial calibration analyzed on 10/29/91 contained the following compounds with %RSDs greater than 30%, but less than 90%. However, no qualifications are required since samples were not analyzed after the initial calibration.
   acetone

Continuing Calibrations

The two (2) continuing calibrations that were analyzed with this data package was acceptable for all TCL RRFs. In addition, one (1) of the continuing calibrations did not meet the %D criteria for the TCLs.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Continuing Calibrations (continued)

Specific findings:

2. For sample 10-007-M102, the continuing calibration, Y103013, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%D, but less than 50%D. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).
   2-hexanone
   4-methyl-2-pentanone

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The two (2) method blanks that were analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride and acetone. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

3. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride and acetone blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   methylene chloride - CRQL: 10-007-M102

   methylene chloride - U: 10-007-M002

   acetone - CRQL: 10-007-M102

   acetone - U: 10-007-M002

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples were within QA/QC limits. No qualifications are required.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

A MS/MSD was not was analyzed with this SDG.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

No qualifications are required.

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
10-007-M102	4-methyl-2-pentanone 2-hexanone	+	J	2
10-007-M102	methylene chloride	+BJ	CRQL	3
10-007-M002	methylene chloride	+B	U	3
10-007-M102	acetone	+BJ	CRQL	3
10-007-M002	acetone	+B	U	3

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory

QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm

+ in the DL column denotes a positive result

- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-007-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L108-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103005

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	7	~~B~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	11	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-007-M002

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L108-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103005

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A

000001

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-007-M102

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L108-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103018

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~2~~ 5	~~JB~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	~~6~~ 10	~~JB~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1

12/88 Rev.

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-007-M102

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L108-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103018

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC

12/88 Rev.

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91OL108 SDG No: — LABORATORY RFWestin SITE Coltsneck

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable),or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: [signature] Date: 3/2/1992

Verified By: [signature] Date: 3/2/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

Methylene Chloride - CRQL
7-M102

Methylene chloride - U
7-M002

Acetone - U
7-M002
~~7-~~

Acetone - CRQL
7-M102

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

C) Water blank contamination

D) Trip blank contamination

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No action

DJH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

Intial Cal 10-29-91

NA - Acetone

Cont. Cal - V103013
4-Methyl-2-pentanone
2-Hexanone

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

No Action

DJK 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

9. MATRIX SPIKE/SPIKE DUPLICATE, MS/MSD:

The MS/MSD data are generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD may be used in conjunction with other QC criteria for some additional qualification of the data.

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

NONE

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Fair

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS 0 NON-COMPLIANCE:

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

NONE

DATA ASSESSMENT:

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI Region_____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 91101108 LABORATORY RF Weston

SDG NO. — DATA USER RF Weston

SOW 2/88 REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 3-2-92

NO. OF SAMPLES 2 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heartland ESI

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	O			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	O			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

489

Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM
(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples))

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1989

Type of Review: CLP VOA
Date: 3-2-92
Project: Coltsneck
Case #: 91104108
Reviewer's Initials: DJH
Lab Name: RF Weston Lionville
Number of Samples: 2

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2/70
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2/70
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

000025

	YES	NO	N/A
PART A: VOA ANALYSES			
1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative			
1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples?	✓		
ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.			
1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data?		✓	
ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.			
ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).			
ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".			
2.0 Holding Times			
2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded?		✓	

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			
3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)			
3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	✓		
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?		✓	
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?			✓
Were method blanks reanalyzed?			✓
ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications: 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").			

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% : 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".	___	[✓]	___

.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)

4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present?	[ ]	___	✓
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[ ]	___	✓
b. Med Water	[ ]	___	✓
c. Low Soil	[ ]	___	✓
d. Med Soil	[ ]	___	✓

ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	✓		
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	✓		
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	✓		
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are not used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	✓		
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?			✓
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ___ ✓

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [✓] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [✓] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[✓]	___
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

	YES	NO	N/A
7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:

	YES	NO	N/A
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[✓]	___	___
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[✓]	___	___
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[✓]	___	___
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[✓]	___	___
Resolution	[✓]	___	___
Peak shape	[✓]	___	___
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[✓]	___	___
Other:_____	[ ]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]	___	___
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	[✓]	___	___
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Blanks	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]	___	___
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?	___	[✓]	___
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	[✓]	___	___

	YES	NO	N/A
ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.			
11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)			
11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration?	[✓]		
ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)			
12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[✓]		
ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)?	[ ]	✓	
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)			
12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05?		[✓]	
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").			

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		✓	

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

	YES	NO	N/A
13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	✓		
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	✓		

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?		✓	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	✓		

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
15. Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[ ]		✓

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

Data Validation Report

March 3, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program November 1, 1991 at the **NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station** site. There were five (5) water samples which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, **R. F. Weston Number 911L263.**

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the **Specific Findings** and associated **Data Qualifications** presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the **Narratives**. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
19-001-M002	9111L263-001	WATER	X
19-001-M202	9111L263-002	WATER	X
19-003-M002	9111L263-003	WATER	X
19-005-M002	9111L263-004	WATER	X
19-001-M302	9111L263-005	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Dan Heil	Gene Watson

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound %RSDs and RRFs. No qualifications are required.

Continuing Calibrations

The one (1) continuing calibration that was analyzed with this data package was acceptable for all %Ds and RRFs. No qualifications are required.

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Method Blanks

The one (1) method blank that was analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride and acetone. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

1. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride and acetone blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   methylene chloride - CRQL: All samples

   acetone - U: All samples except 19-001-M202

   acetone - NA: 19-001-M202

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples were within QA/QC limits. No qualifications are required.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

A MS/MSD was not submitted with this SDG.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

No qualifications are required.

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
All samples	methylene chloride	+BJ	CRQL	1
All samples except 19-001-M202	acetone	+B	U	1
19-001-M202	acetone	+B	NA	1

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory

QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm

+ in the DL column denotes a positive result

- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1A 0000015

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L263-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W110607

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/01/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~5~~ 1	~~JB~~ U 1
67-64-1	Acetone	79	~~B~~ U 1
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 0000016

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L263-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110607

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/01/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 000025

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-001-M202

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L263-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W110608

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/01/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~3~~	~~J~~BU /
67-64-1	Acetone	130	~~B~~ /
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-001-M202

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L263-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110608

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/01/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000037

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L263-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110609

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/01/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 2	~~JB~~ U 1
67-64-1	Acetone	22	~~B~~ U 1
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E 0000035

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L263-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110609

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/01/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 000043

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-005-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L263-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110610

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/01/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	~~B~~ U
67-64-1	Acetone	28	~~B~~ U
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E 0000044

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-005-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L263-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110610

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/01/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000052

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-001-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L263-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110611

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/01/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~4~~	~~JB~~ U /
67-64-1	Acetone	13	~~B~~ U /
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-001-M302

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L263-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110611

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/01/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91112263 SDG No. — LABORATORY RFWestn SITE Coltsneck

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: _____ Date: 3/2/1992

Verified By: _____ Date: 3/2/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

Methylene chloride - CRQL
1-M002, 1-M202, 3-M002
5-M002, 1-M302

Acetone - U
1-M002, 3-M002, 5-M002
1-M302
Acetone - NA
1-M202

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

C) Water blank contamination

D) Trip blank contamination

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

DJB 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No Action

DJB 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

DJH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

DJB 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

No Action

Draft 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

9. MATRIX SPIKE/SPIKE DUPLICATE, MS/MSD:

The MS/MSD data are generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD may be used in conjunction with other QC criteria for some additional qualification of the data.

No Action

DJH 5-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

None

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Fair

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS 0 NON-COMPLIANCE:

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

NONE

DPO. [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI Region_____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 91\1L263 LABORATORY RF Weston

SDG NO. ——— DATA USER RF Weston

SOW 2/88 REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 3/2/92

NO. OF SAMPLES 5 WATER ____ SOIL ____ OTHER

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heartland ESI

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	X			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	O			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

A Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM
(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples))

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1989

Type of Review: CLP VOA
Date: 3/2/92
Case #: 9116263
Project: Coltsneck
Lab Name: RF Weston Lionville
Reviewer's Initials: DJH
Number of Samples: 5

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	5	0	0	5	5/175
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	5	0	0	5	5/17
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

000029

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91112263

LAB: RF Weston Lionville

SITE: Coltsneck

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMO CCS checklist included with package?	[✓]	___	___
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	[✓]	___	___
.2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	[✓]	___	___

3.0 Data Validation Checklist

The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.

Does this package contain:

VOA data?	✓	___
BNA data?	___	✓
Pesticide/PCB data?	___	✓

ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.

	YES	NO	N/A

PART A: VOA ANALYSES

1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative

1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.

1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data? ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.

ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).

ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

2.0 Holding Times

2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded? ___ [✓] ___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			
3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)			
3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[✓]	___	___
b. Med Water	[___]	___	✓
c. Low Soil	[___]	___	✓
d. Med Soil	[___]	___	✓
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[✓]	___	___
b. Med Water	[___]	___	✓
c. Low Soil	[___]	___	✓
d. Med Soil	[___]	___	✓
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?	___	[✓]	___
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?	[___]	___	✓
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	[___]	___	✓

ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications:

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% : 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".		✓	
4.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)			
4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present?	✓		
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓

ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5. Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	✓		
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	✓		
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	✓		
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?			
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6. Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are not used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	✓		
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?			✓
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[✓]	___
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

	YES	NO	N/A
7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

	YES	NO	N/A
8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[✓]	___	___
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[✓]	___	___
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[✓]	___	___
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[✓]	___	___
Resolution	[✓]	___	___
Peak shape	[✓]	___	___
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[✓]	___	___
Other:_____	[ ]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]	___	___
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	[✓]	___	___
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Blanks	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]	___	___
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
D.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?	___	[✓]	___
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	[✓]	___	___

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[✓]	___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[✓]	___	___
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?	___	[✓]	___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	___	[✓]	___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
15.0 Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[___]	___	✓

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ___ ✓

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [✓] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [✓] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

	YES	NO	N/A
ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.			

11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)

	YES	NO	N/A
11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			

12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)

	YES	NO	N/A
12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)			
12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").			

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

Data Validation Report

March 5, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program October 29, 1991 at the **NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station** site. There was nine (9) water samples with one (1) MS/MSD which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, **R. F. Weston Number 9110L191**.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the **Specific Findings** and associated **Data Qualifications** presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the **Narratives**. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
02-001-M002	9110L191-001	WATER	X
02-001-M202	9110L191-002	WATER	X
02-001-M302	9110L191-003	WATER	X
02-002-M002	9110L191-004	WATER	X
02-002-M002MS	9110L191-004	WATER	X
02-002-M002MSD	9110L191-004	WATER	X
02-003-M002	9110L191-005	WATER	X
02-004-M002	9110L191-006	WATER	X
02-005-M002	9110L191-007	WATER	X
02-006-M002	9110L191-008	WATER	X
02-007-M002	9110L191-009	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Gene Watson	Dan Heil

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound %RSDs and RRFs. No qualifications are required.

Continuing Calibrations

The two (2) continuing calibrations that were analyzed with this data package was acceptable for all TCL RRFs. The two (2) calibration required qualifications for non compliant %Ds.

Specific findings:

1. For samples 02-006-M002, 02-007-M002, 02-002-M002MS, and 02-002-M002MSD, the continuing calibration, W110402, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%D, but less than 50%D. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).
   chloroethane

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Continuing Calibrations (continued)

2. For samples 02-001-M002, 002-001-M202, 02-001-M302, 02-002-M002, 02-003-M002, 02-004-M002, and 02-005-M002, the continuing calibration, W110402, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%D, but less than 50%D. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).
   2-hexanone

3. For samples 02-001-M002, 002-001-M202, 02-001-M302, 02-002-M002, 02-003-M002, 02-004-M002, and 02-005-M002, the continuing calibration, W110402, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 50%D, but less than 90%D. Qualify all positive results for these c2ompounds as estimated (J) and qualify all non detect results as estimated (UJ).
   acetone

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The two (2) method blanks that were analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride and acetone. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

4. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride and acetone blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   methylene chloride - CRQL: 02-001-M002, 02-001-M201, 02-002-M002, 02-003-M002, 02-005-M002, 02-007-M002

   methylene chloride - U: 02-001-M302,02-004-M002

   acetone - CRQL: 02-001-M302

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Method Blanks (continued)

Specific finding #4 continued.

acetone - U: 02-005-M002

acetone - NA: 02-001-M002, 02-001-M202, 02-002-M002, 02-003-M002, 02-004-M002, 02-006-M002, 02-007-M002

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples, with the exception of sample 02-002-M002, were within QA/QC limits. The MS/MSD analysis for this sample fulfilled the reanalysis requirements.

Specific findings:

5. For sample 02-002-M002, the surrogate toluene-d_8 and bromofluorobenzene exhibited recoveries above the QA/QC limits. Qualify all positive results as estimated (J) and all non detect results as estimated (UJ).

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

The MS/MSD that was analyzed with this SDG exhibited acceptable recoveries and %RPDs. No qualifications are required.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

No qualifications are required.

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that greater than 5% of the data is qualified.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory

QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm

+ in the DL column denotes a positive result

- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
02-006-M002, 02-007-M002	chloroethane	+	J	1
All samples except 02-006-M002, 02-007-M002	2-hexanone	+	J	2
All samples except 02-006-M002, 02-007-M002	acetone	+/-	J/UJ	3
02-001-M002, 02-001-M201, 02-002-M002, 02-003-M002, 02-005-M002, 02-007-M002	methylene chloride	+BJ	CRQL	4
02-001-M302, 02-004-M002	methylene chloride	+B	U	4
02-001-M302	acetone	+BJ	CRQL	4
02-005-M002	acetone	+B	U	4
02-001-M002, 02-001-M202, 02-002-M002, 02-003-M002, 02-004-M002, 02-006-M002, 02-007-M002	acetone	+B	NA	4

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory
QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm
+ in the DL column denotes a positive result
- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
02-002-M002	all analytes	+/-	J/UJ	5

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory
QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm
+ in the DL column denotes a positive result
- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1A 0000023

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110312

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 5.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	50	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	50	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	50	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	50	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	25 ~~27~~	~~JB~~ U 4
67-64-1	Acetone	620	~~B~~ J 4,3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	25	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	25	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	25	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	25	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	7	J
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	25	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	50	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	25	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	25	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	50	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	25	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	25	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	25	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	25	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	25	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	25	U
71-43-2	Benzene	25	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	25	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	25	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	50	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	50	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	25	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	25	U
108-88-3	Toluene	25	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	25	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	25	U
100-42-5	Styrene	25	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	25	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E 0000027

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110312

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 5.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000035
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-001-M202

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W110304

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~54~~	~~JB~~ U 4
67-64-1	Acetone	100	~~BJ~~ 3,4
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-001-M202

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110304

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A 0000045

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-001-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W110305

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	~~B~~ U
67-64-1	Acetone	10 ~~6~~	~~JB~~ U 4,3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 0000046

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-001-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110305

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000055

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-002-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110306

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U U5 5
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~2~~	~~JB~~ U ~~J~~ 4, 5
67-64-1	Acetone	18	~~B~~ J 4, 3, 5
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U U5 5
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	J 5
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U U5
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E 0000058

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-002-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110306

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000067

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110307

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~1~~	~~B~~ U 4
67-64-1	Acetone	16	~~B~~ J 3,4
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 0000060

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110307

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000077

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-004-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W110308

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	~~B~~ U 4
67-64-1	Acetone	11	~~B~~ J 3,4
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	3	J
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E 0000078

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-004-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110308

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET 0000089

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-005-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W110405

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~15~~	~~U~~ U 4
67-64-1	Acetone	15	~~BJ~~ 3,4
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	4	J
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

0000090

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-005-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110405

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-006-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-008

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110406

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	U
67-64-1	Acetone	35	~~B~~
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	4	J
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-006-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-008

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110406

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 1

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.	PROBABLE LAB CONTAMINANT *			
2. 60-29-7	DIETHYL ETHER *	7.10	16	C

C: Response Factor from daily standard.

1A 0000110

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-007-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-009

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W110311

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	52	~~JB~~ U
67-64-1	Acetone	40	~~B~~
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 0000117

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

02-007-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L191-009

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110311

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/29/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91/0L/91 SDG. No. — LABORATORY R. WESTON SITE COLTSNECK

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: [signature] Date: 3/2/1992

Verified By: [signature] Date: 3/2/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

CH_2Cl_2 - CRQL 001, 002, 004, 005, 007, 009

CH_2Cl_2 - U - 003, 006

CH_2Cl_2 - NA

Acetone - CRQL 003

Acetone - U - 007,

Acetone - NA 001, 002, 004, 005, 006, 008, 009

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

C) Water blank contamination

D) Trip blank contamination

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No Action

EWW
3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

* Cont Cal W110301 001-006, 009
J - 2-Hexanone
J/UJ - Acetone.
* Cont Cal W110402 007, 008, 004 MS/MSD
J - Chloroethane.

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

02-002-M002 - Tol-d8, BFB - out high - J/UJ

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

9. MATRIX SPIKE/SPIKE DUPLICATE, MS/MSD:

The MS/MSD data are generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD may be used in conjunction with other QC criteria for some additional qualification of the data.

No Action

3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

None

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Acceptable

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS Ø NON-COMPLIANCE:

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

None.

Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM

(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples))

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1989

Type of Review: CLP VOA / Region II

Date: 3-2-92

Project: COLTSNECK

Case #: 91/0L191

Reviewer's Initials: [illegible]

Lab Name: RF WESTON - LIONVILLE

Number of Samples: 9

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0/315
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	35	0	7	17	0	0	9	42/315
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI Region_____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 91104191 LABORATORY R. F. WESTON

SDG NO. — DATA USER R. F. WESTON

SOW 2/88 REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 3-2-92

NO. OF SAMPLES 9 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER _____

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heartland ETI

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	X			
7. SURROGATES	M			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	M			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

0040

430

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91/0L/191

LAB: R.F WESTON

SITE: COLTSNECK.

1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables	YES	NO	N/A
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	[ ]	X	
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMO CCS checklist included with package?	[ ]	X	
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	[X]		
2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	[X]		

3.0 Data Validation Checklist

The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.

Does this package contain:

VOA data?	X	
BNA data?		X
Pesticide/PCB data?		X

ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.

	YES	NO	N/A

PART A: VOA ANALYSES

1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative

1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.

1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data? ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.

ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).

ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

2.0 Holding Times

2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded? ___ [X] ___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			

3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)

	YES	NO	N/A
3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]	___	___
b. Med Water	[___]	___	X
c. Low Soil	[___]	___	X
d. Med Soil	[___]	___	X
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]	___	___
b. Med Water	[___]	___	X
c. Low Soil	[___]	___	X
d. Med Soil	[___]	___	X

ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.

	YES	NO	N/A
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	[X]	___	___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

	YES	NO	N/A
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?	X	[___]	___
If yes, were samples reanalyzed? (MS/MSD)	[X]	___	___
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	[X]	___	___

ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications:

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% : 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".	___	[X]	___
4.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)			
4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present?	[X]	___	___
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]	___	___
b. Med Water	[ ]	___	X
c. Low Soil	[ ]	___	X
d. Med Soil	[ ]	___	X
ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.			

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 10	____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 5	____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	[X]	___	___
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	[X]	___	___
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	[X]	___	___

ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").

5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.

	YES	NO	N/A
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	[X]	___	___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.

6.0 Contamination

NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are <u>not</u> used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.

	YES	NO	N/A
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	X	[ ]	___
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?	___	[ ]	X

ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)

NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ___ X

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [X] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [X] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[X]		

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		[✓]	
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[X]		

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[X]		

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[X]		
c. Blanks	[X]		

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[X]		
c. Blanks	[X]		
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[X]		
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[X]		
Resolution	[X]		
Peak shape	[X]		
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[X]		
Other: _____	[ ]		X
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[X]		
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[X]		
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[X]		
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[X]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	[X]		
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Blanks	[X]		
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?		[X]	
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[X]		
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[X]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?		[X]	
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	[ ]		[X]

	YES	NO	N/A

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.

11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)

11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)

12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)

12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05? ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		[X]	

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[X]		
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	[X]		

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?		[X]	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	X	[ ]	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
15.0 Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[ ]		X

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

Data Validation Report

March 3, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program November 4,, 1991 at the **NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station** site. There were six (6) water samples which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, **R. F. Weston Number 911L280.**

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the **Specific Findings** and associated **Data Qualifications** presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the **Narratives**. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
03-001-M002	9111L280-001	WATER	X
03-007-M002	9111L280-002	WATER	X
03-007-M102	9111L280-003	WATER	X
05-006-M002	9111L280-004	WATER	X
05-006-M102	9111L280-005	WATER	X
05-006-M202	9111L280-006	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Gene Watson	Dan Heil

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The two (2) initial calibrations that were analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound %RSDs and RRFs. No qualifications are required.

Continuing Calibrations

The two (2) continuing calibrations that were analyzed with this data package required qualifications for non compliant %Ds. All of the RRFs met the minimum RRF requirements.

Specific findings:

1. For samples 03-001-M002, 03-007-M002, and 03-007-M102, the continuing calibration, W111302, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%, but less than 50%. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).
   - 4-methyl-2-pentanone
   - 2-hexanone

1A 000026

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

03-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L280-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W111305

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/13/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~3~~	~~B~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	95	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

03-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L280-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W111305

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/13/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000036

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

03-007-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L280-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W111306

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/13/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~1~~	~~UB~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	100	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

03-007-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L280-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W111306

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/13/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

03-007-M102

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L280-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W111307

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/13/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	~~B~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	100	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 000047

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

03-007-M102

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L280-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W111307

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/13/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000050

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-006-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L280-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110718

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/07/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	2	J
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	25	~~B~~U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	83	~~B~~ 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	16	
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	8	
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	12	
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	4	J
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	3	J
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	1	J
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	3	J
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	10	

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-006-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L280-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110718

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/07/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 1

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1. 69-29-7	DIETHYL ETHER	7.07	44	C

C: Response Factor from daily standard.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-006-M102

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L280-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110717

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/07/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	2	J
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	25	~~B~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	140	~~B~~ 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	15	
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	8	
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	12	
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	3	J
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	3	J
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	1	J
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	3	J
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	9	

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-006-M102

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L280-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110717

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/07/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 1

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1. 60-29-7	DIETHYL ETHER	7.07	43	C

C: Response Factor from daily standard.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-006-M202

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L280-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110716

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/07/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~2~~	~~JB~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	46	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 0000105

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-006-M202

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L280-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110716

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/07/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91116280 SDG No. _____ LABORATORY RFWESTON SITE COLTSNECK

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: _____ Date: 3/2/1992

Verified By: _____ Date: 3/2/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

EMW
3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

CH_2Cl_2 - CRQL 001, 002, 006

CH_2Cl_2 - U 003, 004, 005

Acetone - U 001, 002, 003, 006

Acetone NA 004, 005

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

C) Water blank contamination

D) Trip blank contamination

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

Cont Cal W111302 - 001-003
J - 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone, 2-Hexanone
Cont Cal W110703 - 004-006
J - Chloromethane.

All RRF >0.05

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

See page 4 Calibration.

2-7-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

SAW
3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

9. MATRIX SPIKE/SPIKE DUPLICATE, MS/MSD:

The MS/MSD data are generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD may be used in conjunction with other QC criteria for some additional qualification of the data.

None submitted with this SDG

3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

None

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Acceptable

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS__Ø__NON-COMPLIANCE:

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

None

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI Region_____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 9111L28D LABORATORY R.F. Weston-Louisville

SDG NO. _____ DATA USER R.F. Weston

SOW 2/88 Rev5 REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 3-2-92

NO. OF SAMPLES 6 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER _____

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heart Land ESI

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	O			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	O			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM
(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples))

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1989

Type of Review: CLP VOA / Region II
Date: 3-2-92
Project: Coltsneck
Case #: 9111280
Reviewer's Initials: [illegible]
Lab Name: [illegible]-Louisville
Number of Samples: 6

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	3	0	0	6	3/210
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	9	0	0	6	9/210
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

000032

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91116280
LAB: R.F. Weston
SITE: Coltsneck

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	[ ]	X	
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMO CCS checklist included with package?	[ ]	X	
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	[X]		
.2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	[X]		

3.0 Data Validation Checklist

The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.

Does this package contain:

VOA data?	X	
BNA data?		X
Pesticide/PCB data?		X

ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.

	YES	NO	N/A

PART A: VOA ANALYSES

1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative

1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.

1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data? ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.

ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).

ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

2.0 Holding Times

2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded? ___ [X] ___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			
3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)			
3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]	___	___
b. Med Water	[ ]	___	X
c. Low Soil	[ ]	___	X
d. Med Soil	[ ]	___	X
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]	___	___
b. Med Water	[ ]	___	X
c. Low Soil	[ ]	___	X
d. Med Soil	[ ]	___	X
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	[ ]	___	X
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?	___	[ ]	X
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?	[ ]	___	X
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	[ ]	___	X

ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications:

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% : 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".	___	[X]	~~X~~ 3-2-92

.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)

4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present? With another SDG	[ ]	X	___
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[ ]	___	X
b. Med Water	[ ]	___	X
c. Low Soil	[ ]	___	X
d. Med Soil	[ ]	___	X

ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	[X]	___	___
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	[X]	___	___
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	X [ ]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are <u>not</u> used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	X	[ ]	___
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?	___	[ ]	X
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ___ X

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [X] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [X] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[X]		

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		[X]	
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[X]		

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[X]		

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[X]		
c. Blanks	[X]		

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[X]		
c. Blanks	[X]		
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[X]		
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[X]		
Resolution	[X]		
Peak shape	[X]		
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[X]		
Other:_____	[ ]		X
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[X]		
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[X]		
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[X]		
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[X]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	[X]		
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Blanks	[X]		
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?		[X]	
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[X]		
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[X]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?		[X]	
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	[ ]		X

	YES	NO	N/A
ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.			
11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)			
11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)			
12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)			
12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05?	___	[X]	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").			

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[X]	___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[X]	___	___
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	[X]	___	___

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?	___	[X]	___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	X	[___]	___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
15.0 Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[ ]		X

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

Data Validation Report

March 5, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program October 24, 1991 at the **NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station** site. There were nine (9) water samples which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, **R. F. Weston Number 9110L126.**

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the **Specific Findings** and associated **Data Qualifications** presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the **Narratives**. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
04-001-M002	9110L126-001	WATER	X
04-001-M302	9110L126-002	WATER	X
04-002-M002	9110L126-003	WATER	X
04-002-M102	9110L126-004	WATER	X
04-003-M002	9110L126-005	WATER	X
04-004-M002	9110L126-006	WATER	X
04-004-M002MS	9110L126-006	WATER	X
04-004-M002MSD	9110L126-006	WATER	X
04-004-M202	9110L126-007	WATER	X
04-005-M002	9110L126-008	WATER	X
04-006-M002	9110L126-009	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Gene Watson	Dan Heil

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound RRFs.

Specific findings:

1. The initial calibration analyzed on 10-29-91 contained the following compounds with %RSDs greater than 30%, but less than 90%. However, samples were not analyzed after the initial calibration, so qualifications are not required.
   methylene chloride

Continuing Calibrations

One (1) of the two (2) continuing calibrations that were analyzed with this data package was acceptable for all TCL %Ds and RRFs. One (1) calibration required qualifications for non compliant %Ds.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

The MS/MSD results were acceptable for all of the spiking compounds and %RPDs. No qualifications are required.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

No qualifications are required.

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

1A 0000035

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-002-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103022

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	9	~~B~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	17	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	13	
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-002-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103022

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC

12/88 Rev.

1A 000004 CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

04-002-M102

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: Y103023

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	6	~~B~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	82	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	13	
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 0000055

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-002-M102

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103023

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000063

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103024

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	7	~~B~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	10	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	3	J
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E

000007

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103024

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC

12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-004-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103025

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	13	~~B~~U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	10 ~~8~~	~~JB~~U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 0000072

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-004-M002

Lab Name: Rov F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103025

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000086

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-004-M202

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103026

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	6	~~B~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	24	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E 000087

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-004-M202

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103026

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET 0000085

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-005-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-008

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: Y103106

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 10/31/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~4~~	~~JB~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	85	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	33	
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	78	
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E

000009

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-005-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-008

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103106

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/31/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000102

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-006-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-009

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: Y103107

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 10/31/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L	
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	10	~~B~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	20	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	1	J
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-006-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-009

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103107

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/31/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000177

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-004-M002MS

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-006 MS

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: Y103104

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 10/31/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	7	B
67-64-1	Acetone	42	B
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene		S
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		S
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene		S
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene		S
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene		S
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

S: SPIKE COMPOUND FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000187

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-004-M002MSD

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-006 MSD

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: Y103105

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 10/31/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	2	JB
67-64-1	Acetone	8	JB
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene		S
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		S
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene		S
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene		S
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene		S
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

S: SPIKE COMPOUND

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91104126 SDG No. ——— LABORATORY R.F. WESTON SITE COLTSNECK.

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: _____ Date: 3/2/1992

Verified By: _____ Date: 3/2/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

BMW
3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

CH_2Cl_2 - CRQL - 008

CH_2Cl_2 - U 001, 002, 003, 004, 005, 006, 007, 009

Acetone - CRQL 001, 006

Acetone - U - 002, 003, 005, 009

Acetone - NA - 004, 007, 008

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

C) Water blank contamination

D) Trip blank contamination

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

* Initial Cal 10-29-91 - No Samples
  CH_2Cl_2 - 32.4%
* Cont Cal Y103013 - 001 — 007
  J - >25% - <50% - 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone, 2-Hexanone
* Cont Cal Y103102 - no Action

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

9. MATRIX SPIKE/SPIKE DUPLICATE, MS/MSD:

The MS/MSD data are generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD may be used in conjunction with other QC criteria for some additional qualification of the data.

No Action

3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

None

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

System performance was very good!

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS__N__NON-COMPLIANCE:

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

None.

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI Region_____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 91104126 LABORATORY R.F. Weston - Lionville

SDG NO. _____ DATA USER R.F. Weston

SOW 2/88 Revs REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 3-2-92

NO. OF SAMPLES 9 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heartland CSI

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	0			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	0			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	0			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	0			
5. FIELD BLANKS ("F" = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	0			
7. SURROGATES	0			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	0			
9. REGIONAL QC ("F" = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	0			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	0			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	0			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	0			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	0			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1989

Type of Review: CLP VOA / REGION II (No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples))

Date: 3-2-92

Project: COLTSNECK NAVAL WEAPONS

Case #: 91101126

Reviewer's Initials: EMW

Lab Name: E.T. WESTON - LIONVILLE

Number of Samples: ~~3-2~~ 9

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria: EMW

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0/315
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	18	0	0	9	18/315
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91104126

LAB: R.F WESTON - LIONVILLE

SITE: COLTSNECK.

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	[ ]	X	
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMO CCS checklist included with package?	[ ]	X	
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	[X]		
.2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	[X]		

3.0 Data Validation Checklist

The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.

Does this package contain:

VOA data?	X	
BNA data?		X
Pesticide/PCB data?		X

ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.

	YES	NO	N/A

PART A: VOA ANALYSES

1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative

1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.

1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data? ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.

ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).

ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

2.0 Holding Times

2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded? ___ [X] ___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			
3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)			
3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]	___	___
b. Med Water	[___]	___	X
c. Low Soil	[___]	___	X
d. Med Soil	[___]	___	X
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]	___	X
b. Med Water	[___]	___	X
c. Low Soil	[___]	___	X
d. Med Soil	[___]	___	X
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	[___]	___	X
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?	___	[___]	X
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?	[___]	___	X
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	[___]	___	X
ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications: 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").			

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% : 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".	___	[X]	___

.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)

4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present?	[X]	___	___
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]	___	___
b. Med Water	[ ]	___	X
c. Low Soil	[ ]	___	X
d. Med Soil	[ ]	___	X

ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	[X]	___	___
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	[X]	___	___
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are <u>not</u> used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	X	[ ]	___
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?	___	[ ]	X
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ___ X

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [X] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [X] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[X]		

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		[X]	
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[X]		

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[X]		

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[X]		
c. Blanks	[X]		

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[X]		
c. Blanks	[X]		
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[ ]	X Quant win	
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[X]		
Resolution	[X]		
Peak shape	[X]		
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[X]		
Other:	[ ]		X
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[X]		
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[X]		
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[X]		
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[X]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	[X]		
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Blanks	[X]		
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?		[X]	
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[X]		
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[X]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?		[X]	
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	[ ]		X

	YES	NO	N/A
ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.			
11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)			
11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration?	[X]		
ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)			
12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[X]		
ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)?	[ ]	X	
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)			
12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05?		[X]	
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").			

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[X]	___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

	YES	NO	N/A
13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[X]	___	___
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	[X]	___	___

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?	___	[X]	___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	X	[___]	___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
15.0 Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[___]	___	X

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

1A 000027

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: Y103020

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	6	B
67-64-1	Acetone	7	JB
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 0000022

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103020

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET 0000030

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-001-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103021

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	9	B
67-64-1	Acetone	11	B
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

04-001-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L126-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y103021

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/24/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

Data Validation Report

March 5, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program October 28, 1991 at the **NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station** site. There were eight (8) water samples which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, **R. F. Weston Number 9110L181.**

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the **Specific Findings** and associated **Data Qualifications** presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the **Narratives**. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	**RF WESTON ID**	**Matrix**	**VOA**
07-001-M002	9110L181-001	WATER	X
07-002-M002	9110L181-002	WATER	X
07-002-M002DL	9110L181-002	WATER	X
07-003-M002	9110L181-003	WATER	X
07-003-M202	9110L181-004	WATER	X
07-004-M002	9110L181-005	WATER	X
07-004-M102	9110L181-006	WATER	X
07-005-M002	9110L181-007	WATER	X
07-001-M302	9110L181-008	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Gene Watson	Dan Heil

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound %RSDs and RRFs. No qualifications are required.

Continuing Calibrations

One (1) of the two (2) continuing calibrations that were analyzed with this data package was acceptable for all TCL %Ds and RRFs. One (1) calibration required qualifications for non compliant %Ds.

Specific findings:

1. For all of the samples except 07-002-M002DL, the continuing calibration, AKB107, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%D, but less than 50%D. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).
   - vinyl acetate
   - chloromethane

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The two (2) method blanks that were analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride and acetone. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

2. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride and acetone blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   methylene chloride - CRQL: All samples except 07-001-M302

   methylene chloride - U: 07-001-M302

   acetone - U: All samples except 07-002-M002DL

   acetone - NA: 07-002-M002DL

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples were within QA/QC limits. No qualifications are required.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

A MS/MSD was not analyzed with this SDG.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

Specific findings:

3. The diluted sample result for acetone will be entered in place of the "E" qualifier in the original analysis for sample 07-002-M002.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that greater than 5% of the data is qualified.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
All samples except 07-002-M002DL	chloromethane vinyl acetate	+	J	1
All samples except 07-001-M302	methylene chloride	+BJ	CRQL	2
07-001-M302	methylene chloride	+B	U	2
All samples except 07-002-M002DL	acetone	+B	U	2
07-002-M002DL	acetone	+B	NA	2
See narrative	acetone	+		3

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory

QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm

\+ in the DL column denotes a positive result

\- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1A 000001S

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKB111

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~A~~	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	140	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 0000020

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKB111

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000023

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-002-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKB113

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~5~~	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	410	~~J~~ D 3,2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	4	J
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-002-M002

Lab Name: Rov F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKB113

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 1

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.	DIETHYLETHER	2.57	13	C

C: Response Factor from daily standard.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-002-M002DL

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-002 DL

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKB404

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 5.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	NA	
74-83-9	Bromomethane	NA	
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	NA	
75-00-3	Chloroethane	NA	
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	NA	
67-64-1	Acetone	410	B
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	NA	
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	NA	
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	NA	
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	NA	
67-66-3	Chloroform	NA	
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	
78-93-3	2-Butanone	NA	
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	NA	
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	NA	
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	NA	
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	NA	
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA	
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	NA	
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	NA	
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	NA	
71-43-2	Benzene	NA	
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA	
75-25-2	Bromoform	NA	
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	NA	
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	NA	
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	NA	
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	NA	
108-88-3	Toluene	NA	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	NA	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	NA	
100-42-5	Styrene	NA	
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	NA	

3-5-92

1A 0000035

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKB114

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 2	~~B~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	23	~~B~~ ✓ 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKB114

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC

12/88 Rev.

1A 000004

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-003-M202

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKB115

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~4~~	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	130	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-003-M202

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

Lab Name: Rov F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKB115

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000056

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-004-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKB116

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~3~~	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	62	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-004-M002

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKB116

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000053

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-004-M102

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKB117

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~1~~ 5	~~JB~~ U2
67-64-1	Acetone	39	~~B~~ U2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-004-M102

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKB117

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000062

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-005-M002

Lab Name: Rov F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKB118

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~2~~	~~UB~~ U2
67-64-1	Acetone	15	~~B~~ U2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	4	J
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	1	J
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E 000006

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-005-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKB118

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A 0000077

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-001-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-008

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKB119

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	7	~~B~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	25	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-001-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L181-008

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKB119

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/28/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/01/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91/01/18/ SDG No. —— LABORATORY [illegible] SITE Coltsneck

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: [signature] Date: 3/2/1992

Verified By: [signature] Date: 3/2/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

CH_2Cl_2 - CRQL 001, 002, 003, 004, 005, 006, 007

CH_2Cl_2 - U 008

Acetone - U 001, 003, 004, 005, 006, 007, 008

Acetone - NA 002 DL

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

C) Water blank contamination

D) Trip blank contamination

3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

Tio Antozi

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No Action

EMW
3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

* AKB107 - All sample except 0020L
I - Chloromethane, vinyl acetate

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

9. MATRIX SPIKE/SPIKE DUPLICATE, MS/MSD:

The MS/MSD data are generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD may be used in conjunction with other QC criteria for some additional qualification of the data.

Not included in data package.

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

None

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

System performance fair.

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS Ø NON-COMPLIANCE:

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

Acetone result from 002 dl.

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI Region_____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 91104181 LABORATORY R.F. Weston

SDG NO. — DATA USER R.F. Weston

SOW 2/88 Rev1 REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 3-2-92

NO. OF SAMPLES 8 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heart Laboratories

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	O			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	O			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

0036

4.90

Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM
(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples))

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1989

Type of Review: CLP VOA Region II
Date: 3-2-92
Project: Coltzneck
Case #: 911041
Reviewer's Initials: EMW
Lab Name: A.T. Walton - Jacksonville
Number of Samples: 8

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0 /280
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)					EMW			EMW
VOA (35)	0	0	0	~~8~~ 16	0	0	8	~~8~~ 16 /280
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91/0L181

LAB: R.F. Weston - Livingville

SITE: Coltsneck

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	[ ]	___	___
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMO CCS checklist included with package?	[ ]	X	___
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	[X]	___	___
2.2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	[X]	___	___

3.0 Data Validation Checklist

The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.

Does this package contain:

VOA data?	X	___
BNA data?	___	X
Pesticide/PCB data?	___	X

ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.

	YES	NO	N/A

PART A: VOA ANALYSES

1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative

1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.

1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data? ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.

ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).

ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

2.0 Holding Times

2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded? ___ [X] ___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			

3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)

3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]	___	___
b. Med Water	[ ]	___	X
c. Low Soil	[ ]	___	X
d. Med Soil	[ ]	___	X
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]	___	___
b. Med Water	[ ]	___	X
c. Low Soil	[ ]	___	X
d. Med Soil	[ ]	___	X
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	[ ]	___	X
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?	___	[ ]	X
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?	[ ]	___	X
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	[ ]	___	X

ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications:

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% :			
1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R").			
Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II?	___	[X]	___
ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)			
4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present? MS/MSD in another lot	[ ]	X	___
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[ ]	___	X
b. Med Water	[ ]	___	X
c. Low Soil	[ ]	___	X
d. Med Soil	[ ]	___	X
ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.			

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	[X]	___	___
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	[X]	___	___
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are <u>not</u> used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	X	[ ]	___
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?	___	[ ]	X
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ___ X

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [X] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [X] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[X]		

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		[X]	
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[X]		

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[X]		

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[X]		
c. Blanks	[X]		

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[X]		
c. Blanks	[X]		
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[ ] Quant con	✓	
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[X]		
Resolution	[X]		
Peak shape	[X]		
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[X]		
Other: _____	[ ]		X
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[X]		
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[X]		
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[X]		
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[X]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	[X]	___	___
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]	___	___
b. Blanks	[X]	___	___
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?	___	[X]	___
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[X]	___	___
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
D.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?	___	[X]	___
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	[X]	___	___

	YES	NO	N/A
ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.			
11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)			
11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)			
12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)			
12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05?	___	[X]	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").			

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		[X]	

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[X]		
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	[X]		

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?		[✓]	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	✓	[ ]	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[ ]		X

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

Data Validation Report

March 3, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program November 4,, 1991 at the **NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station** site. There were three (3) water samples which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, **R. F. Weston Number 911L279.**

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the **Specific Findings** and associated **Data Qualifications** presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the **Narratives**. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
19-002-M002	9111L279-001	WATER	X
19-006-M002	9111L279-002	WATER	X
19-006-M002DL	9111L279-002	WATER	X
19-006-M302	9111L279-003	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Dan Heil	Gene Watson

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The two (2) initial calibrations that were analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound %RSDs and RRFs. No qualifications are required.

Continuing Calibrations

The two (2) continuing calibrations that were analyzed with this data package required qualifications for non compliant %Ds. All of the RRFs met the minimum RRF requirements.

Specific findings:

1. For all the samples except the diluted sample, the continuing calibration, W110703, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%, but less than 50%. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).
   chloroethane

DATA ASSESSMENT AND NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Continuing Calibrations (continued)

Specific findings:

2. For sample 19-006-M002DL, the continuing calibration, W111302, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%, but less than 50%. No qualifications are required since the sample was diluted for acetone. No other compounds are reported.
   - vinyl acetate
   - 4-methyl-2-pentanone
   - 2-hexanone

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The two (2) method blanks that were analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride and acetone. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

3. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride and acetone blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   methylene chloride - CRQL: 19-002-M002, 19-006-M002, 19-006-M302

   acetone - U: 19-002-M002, 19-006-M003

   acetone - NA: 19-M006-002, 19-006-M002DL

DATA ASSESSMENT AND NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples were within QA/QC limits. No qualifications are required.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

A MS/MSD was not submitted with this SDG.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

No qualifications are required.

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
All samples except 19-006-M002DL	chloroethane	+	J	1
All samples except 19-006-M002DL	methylene chloride	+BJ	CRQL	3
19-002-M002, 19-006-M302	acetone	+B	U	3
19-006-M002, 19-006-002DL	acetone	+B	NA	3

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory

QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm

\+ in the DL column denotes a positive result

\- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-002-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L279-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W110715

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/07/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	54	~~JB~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	46	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 000020

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-002-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L279-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110715

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. ____

Date Analyzed: 11/07/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000029

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-006-M002

Lab Name: Rov F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L279-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110714

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/07/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~J~~	~~JB~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	180	~~E~~ 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E 000030

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-006-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L279-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110714

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/07/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-006-M002DL

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L279-002 DL

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W111308

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/13/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 2.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	NA	
74-83-9	Bromomethane	NA	
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	NA	
75-00-3	Chloroethane	NA	
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	NA	
67-64-1	Acetone	180	B
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	NA	
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	NA	
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	NA	
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	NA	
67-66-3	Chloroform	NA	
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	
78-93-3	2-Butanone	NA	
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	NA	
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	NA	
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	NA	
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	NA	
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA	
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	NA	
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	NA	
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	NA	
71-43-2	Benzene	NA	
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA	
75-25-2	Bromoform	NA	
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	NA	
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	NA	
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	NA	
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	NA	
108-88-3	Toluene	NA	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	NA	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	NA	
100-42-5	Styrene	NA	
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	NA	

1A 000046

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-006-M302

Lab Name: Rov F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L279-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110713

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/07/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	6	~~B~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	17	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E 0000047

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-006-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L279-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110713

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/07/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91112279 SDG No. —— LABORATORY R Fuestan SITE Coltsneck

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: Daniel J. [illegible] Date: 3/2/1992

Verified By: Megan M. Wolff Date: 3/2/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

Methylene chloride - CRQL
2-M002, 4-M002, 6-M302

Acetone - U
2-M002, ~~4-M002~~
4-M302

Acetone - NA
6-M002, 6M002DL

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

C) Water blank contamination

D) Trip blank contamination

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

Cont. Cal - W110703

J- Chloroethane

Cont. Cal - W111302

J- Vinyl Acetate
4-methyl-2-pentanone
2-Hexanone

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A). VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

9. MATRIX SPIKE/SPIKE DUPLICATE, MS/MSD:

The MS/MSD data are generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD may be used in conjunction with other QC criteria for some additional qualification of the data.

No Action

DJA 3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

None

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Fair

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS 0 NON-COMPLIANCE:

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

NONE

DATA ASSESSMENT:

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI Region_____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 9111L279 LABORATORY RF Weston

SDG NO. ——— DATA USER RF weston

SOW 2/88 REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 3/2/92

NO. OF SAMPLES 3 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heartland ESI

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	O			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	O			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM
(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples))

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1989

Type of Review: CLP VOA

Date: 3-2-92

Project: Coltsneck

Case #: 91116279

Reviewer's Initials: D/H

Lab Name: RF Weston, Lionville

Number of Samples: ~~4~~ 3 EMW

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	2	0	EMW ~~3~~ 0	3	2/105
PEST (20)								
TCH (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	2	0	EMW ~~3~~ 0	3	2/105
PEST (20)								
TCH (7)								
TCDD (1)								

000028

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91112279

LAB: RF Weston, Lionville

SITE: Coltsneck

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	[✓]		
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMO CCS checklist included with package?	[✓]		
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	[✓]		
.2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	[✓]		

3.0 Data Validation Checklist

The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.

Does this package contain:

VOA data?	✓	
BNA data?		✓
Pesticide/PCB data?		✓

ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.

	YES	NO	N/A

PART A: VOA ANALYSES

1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative

1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.

1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data? ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.

ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).

ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

2.0 Holding Times

2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded? ___ [✓] ___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			
3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)			
3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[✓]	___	___
b. Med Water	[___]	___	✓
c. Low Soil	[___]	___	✓
d. Med Soil	[___]	___	✓
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[✓]	___	___
b. Med Water	[___]	___	✓
c. Low Soil	[___]	___	✓
d. Med Soil	[___]	___	✓
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?	___	[✓]	___
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?	[___]	___	✓
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	[___]	___	✓

ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications:

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% : 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".	___	[✓]	___

..0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)

4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present?	[✓]	___	___
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[✓]	___	___
b. Med Water	[___]	___	___
c. Low Soil	[___]	___	___
d. Med Soil	[___]	___	___

ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	[✓]	___	___
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	[✓]	___	___
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are <u>not</u> used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	✓	[ ]	___
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?	___	[ ]	✓
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ___ ✓

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [✓] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [✓] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[✓]	___
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

	YES	NO	N/A
7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.D <u>Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes</u>

8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:

	YES	NO	N/A
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[✓]	___	___
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[✓]	___	___
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[✓]	___	___
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[✓]	___	___
Resolution	[✓]	___	___
Peak shape	[✓]	___	___
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[✓]	___	___
Other:_____	[ ]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]	___	___
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	[✓]	___	___
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Blanks	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]	___	___
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?	___	[✓]	___
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	[✓]	___	___

	YES	NO	N/A
ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.			
11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)			
11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)			
12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)			
12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").			

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		✓	

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	✓		
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	✓		

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?		✓	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	✓		

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
15. Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[___]	___	✓

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

Data Validation Report

March 5, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program October 22, 1991 at the **NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station** site. There were eight (8) water samples which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, **R. F. Weston Number 9110L094.**

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the **Specific Findings** and associated **Data Qualifications** presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the **Narratives**. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
10-003-M002	9110L094-001	WATER	X
10-004-M002	9110L094-002	WATER	X
10-001-M002	9110L094-003	WATER	X
10-005-M002	9110L094-004	WATER	X
10-005-M202	9110L094-005	WATER	X
10-002-M002	9110L094-006	WATER	X
10-006-M002	9110L094-007	WATER	X
10-003-M302	9110L094-008	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Dan Heil	Gene Watson

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound %RSDs and RRFs. No qualifications are required.

Continuing Calibrations

The two (2) continuing calibrations that were analyzed with this data package was acceptable for all RRFs.

Specific findings:

1. For samples 10-003-M002, 10-004-M002, 10-001-M002, and 10-005-M002, the continuing calibration, AKAT02, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%D, but less than 50%D. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).
   - acetone
   - 2-butanone
   - 2-hexanone

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Continuing Calibrations (continued)

2. For samples 10-005-M202, 10-002-M002, 10-006-M002, and 10-003-M302, the continuing calibration, AKAU02, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%D, but less than 50%D. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).
   acetone
   2-hexanone

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The two (2) method blanks that were analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride and acetone. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

3. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride and acetone blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   methylene chloride - CRQL: 10-003-M002, 10-002-M002, 10-006-M002, 10-003-M302

   methylene chloride - U: 10-005-M202, 10-004-M002, 10-001-M002, 10-005-M002

   acetone - CRQL: 10-003-M002, 10-006-M002, 10-003-M302

   acetone - U: 10-004-M002, 10-001-M002, 10-005-M002, 10-005-M202

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples were within QA/QC limits. No qualifications are required.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

A MS/MSD was not submitted with this SDG.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

No qualifications are required.

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
10-003-M002, 10-004-M002, 10-001-M002, 10-005-002	acetone 2-butanone 2-hexanone	+	J	1
10-005-M202, 10-002-M002, 10-006-M002, 10-003, M302	acetone 2-hexanone	+	J	2
10-003-M002, 10-002-M002, 10-006-M002, 10-003-M302	methylene chloride	+BJ	CRQL	3
10-005-M202, 10-004-M002, 10-001-M002, 10-005-M002	methylene chloride	+B	U	3
10-003-M002, 10-006-M002, 10-003-M302	acetone	+BJ	CRQL	3
10-004-M002 10-001-M002, 10-005-M002, 10-005-M202	acetone	+B	U	3

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory

QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm

+ in the DL column denotes a positive result

- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1A 000015

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT17

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~5~~ 2	~~JB~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	10 ~~4~~	~~JB~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E 0000019

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT17

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

0000025

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-004-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT18

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	14	~~B~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	22	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

000002

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-004-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT18

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC

12/88 Rev.

1A 0000037

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT19

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	14	~~B~~ U ?
67-64-1	Acetone	25	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E 0000032

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT19

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET 000036

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-005-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT20

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	15	~~B~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	30	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E

000035

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-005-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT20

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC

12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET 000045

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-005-M202

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAU06

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	6	~~B~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	7 10	~~JB~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E

000046

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-005-M202

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAU06

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC

12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET 0000051

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-002-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAU07

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 2	~~UB~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	10	U
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 0000052

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-002-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAU07

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET 0000057

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-006-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAU08

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~3~~	~~JB~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	10 ~~9~~	~~JB~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 0000059

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-006-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAU08

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET 000067

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-003-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-008

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAU10

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~2~~	~~JB~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	10 ~~5~~	~~JB~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E 000065

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-003-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L094-008

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAU10

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/30/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91104094 SDG No. — LABORATORY RF Westas SITE Coltsneck

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: Daniel J. Hoff Date: 3/2/1992

Verified By: Stephen Nuttall Date: 3/2/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

Methylene Chloride - CRQL
3-M002, 2-M002
6-M002, 3-M302
Methylene Chloride - U
5-M202, 4-M002, 1-M002, 5-M002

Acetone - CRQL
3-M002, 6-M002
3-M302
Acetone - U
4-M002, 1-M002
5-M002, 5, M202

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

C) Water blank contamination

D) Trip blank contamination

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No Action

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

Cont. Cal - AKAT02

J - Acetone
2-butanone
2-Hexanone

Cont. Cal - AKAU02

J - Acetone
2-Hexanone

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

DKH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

No Action

DJH 3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

9. MATRIX SPIKE/SPIKE DUPLICATE, MS/MSD:

The MS/MSD data are generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD may be used in conjunction with other QC criteria for some additional qualification of the data.

No MS/MSD Submitted with Data

DJA 7-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

NONE

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Fair

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS 0 NON-COMPLIANCE:

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

NONE

DATA ASSESSMENT:

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI Region_____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 91102094 LABORATORY RF Weston

SDG NO. _____ DATA USER RF Weston

SOW 2/88 REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 3-2-92

NO. OF SAMPLES 8 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heartland ESE

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	X			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	O			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM
(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples))

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1989

Type of Review: CLP VOA
Date: 3-2-92
Project: Coltsneck
Case #:
Reviewer's Initials: DJH
Lab Name: RF Weston Lionville
Number of Samples: 8

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	7	0	0	8	7/280
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	8	0	0	8	8/28
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

000037

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91106094

LAB: RF Weston Lionville

SITE: Coltsneck

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	✓		
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMO CCS checklist included with package?	✓		
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	✓		
2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	✓		

3.0 Data Validation Checklist

The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.

Does this package contain:

VOA data?	✓	
BNA data?		✓
Pesticide/PCB data?		✓

ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.

	YES	NO	N/A

PART A: VOA ANALYSES

1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative

1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.

1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data? ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.

ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).

ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

2.0 Holding Times

2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded? ___ [✓] ___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			
3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)			
3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	✓		
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?		✓	
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?			✓
Were method blanks reanalyzed?			✓

ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications:

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% : 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".	___	[✓]	___
.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)			
4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present?	[ ]	___	✓
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[ ]	___	✓
b. Med Water	[ ]	___	✓
c. Low Soil	[ ]	___	✓
d. Med Soil	[ ]	___	✓

ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	✓		
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	✓		
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	✓		
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are <u>not</u> used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	✓		
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?		✓	
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ___ ✓

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [✓] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [✓] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[✓]		

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		[✓]	
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[✓]		

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

	YES	NO	N/A
7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[✓]		

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

	YES	NO	N/A
8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[ ]		✓
c. Blanks	[✓]		

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[ ]	___	✓
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[✓]	___	___
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[✓]	___	___
Resolution	[✓]	___	___
Peak shape	[✓]	___	___
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[✓]	___	___
Other:_____	[ ]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]	___	___
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	✓		
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	✓		
b. Blanks	✓		
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?		✓	
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	✓		
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?		✓	
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	✓		

	YES	NO	N/A

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.

11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)

11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)

12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)

12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05? ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		✓	

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

	YES	NO	N/A
13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	✓		
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	✓		

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?		✓	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	✓		

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
1 Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[ ]	___	✓

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

Data Validation Report

March 5, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program October 23, 1991 at the **NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station** site. There were six (6) water samples with one (1) MS/MSD which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, **R. F. Weston Number 9110L107.**

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the **Specific Findings** and associated **Data Qualifications** presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the **Narratives**. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
26-001-M002	9110L107-001	WATER	X
26-001-M002DL	9110L107-001	WATER	X
26-001-M102	9110L107-002	WATER	X
26-001-M102DL	9110L107-002	WATER	X
26-002-M002	9110L107-003	WATER	X
26-002-M002MS	9110L107-003	WATER	X
26-002-M002MSD	9110L107-003	WATER	X
26-003-M002	9110L107-004	WATER	X
26-004-M002	9110L107-005	WATER	X
26-001-M302	9110L107-006	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Gene Watson	Dan Heil

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound %RSDs and RRFs. No qualifications are required.

Continuing Calibrations

The one (1) continuing calibration that was analyzed with this data package was acceptable for all TCL RRFs.

Specific findings:

1. For all of the samples, the continuing calibration, AKAT02, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%D, but less than 50%D. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).
   - acetone
   - 2-butanone
   - 2-hexanone

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The one (1) method blank that was analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride and acetone. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

2. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride and acetone blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   methylene chloride - CRQL: All of the samples except the dilutions.

   acetone - U: All of the samples except the dilutions.

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples were within QA/QC limits. No qualifications are required.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

The MS/MSD that was analyzed with this SDG was acceptable for all the spike recoveries and %RPDs. No qualifications are required.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

Specific findings:

3. The dilution values for 1,2-dichloroethene (total) are used from the diluted samples in place of the "E" qualifier in the original sample analysis.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
All samples	acetone 2-butanone 2-hexanone	+	J	1
All samples	methylene chloride	+BJ	CRQL	2
All samples	acetone	+B	U	2
See narrative	1,2-dichloro-ethene (total)	+		3

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory

QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm

\+ in the DL column denotes a positive result

\- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT04

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~4~~	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	43	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	420 D	~~E~~ 3
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	120	
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	1	J

1E 000015

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT04

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 1

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.	UNKNOWN	21.69	7	J NJ

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

000026

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-001-M002DL

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-001 DL

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT15

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 2.50

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	NA	
74-83-9	Bromomethane	NA	
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	NA	
75-00-3	Chloroethane	NA	
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	NA	
67-64-1	Acetone	NA	
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	NA	
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	NA	
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	NA	
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	420	
67-66-3	Chloroform	NA	
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	
78-93-3	2-Butanone	NA	
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	NA	
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	NA	
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	NA	
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	NA	
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA	
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	NA	
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	NA	
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	NA	
71-43-2	Benzene	NA	
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA	
75-25-2	Bromoform	NA	
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	NA	
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	NA	
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	NA	
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	NA	
108-88-3	Toluene	NA	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	NA	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	NA	
100-42-5	Styrene	NA	
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	NA	

3-5-92

FORM 1 V-1

12/88 Rev.

1A 000003

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-001-M102

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKAT05

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	45	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	50	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	510	~~E~~ D 3
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	160	
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	1	J

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-001-M102

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Ord r: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT05

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 1

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.	UNKNOWN	21.67	8	J NJ

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-001-M102DL

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-002 DL

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT16

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 2.50

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	NA	
74-83-9	Bromomethane	NA	
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	NA	
75-00-3	Chloroethane	NA	
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	NA	
67-64-1	Acetone	NA	
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	NA	
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	NA	
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	NA	
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	510	
67-66-3	Chloroform	NA	
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	
78-93-3	2-Butanone	NA	
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	NA	
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	NA	
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	NA	
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	NA	
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA	
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	NA	
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	NA	
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	NA	
71-43-2	Benzene	NA	
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA	
75-25-2	Bromoform	NA	
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	NA	
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	NA	
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	NA	
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	NA	
108-88-3	Toluene	NA	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	NA	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	NA	
100-42-5	Styrene	NA	
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	NA	

JWW 3-5-92

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000057

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-002-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT06

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 2	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	12	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1

12/88 Rev.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-002-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT06

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT07

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~3~~ 5	~~B~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	79	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT07

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 000067

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-004-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKAT08

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L	
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~3~~ 5	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	21	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-004-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT08

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC

12/88 Rev.

1A 000067

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-001-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKAT10

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~4~~ 5	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	11	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E 0000060

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-001-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT10

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000112

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-002-M002MS

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-003 MS

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKAT13

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	B
67-64-1	Acetone	13	B
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene		S
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		S
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene		S
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene		S
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene		S
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

S: SPIKE COMPOUND FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-002-M002MSD

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L107-003 MSD

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKAT14

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/23/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 10/29/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	4	JB
67-64-1	Acetone	13	B
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene		S
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		S
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene		S
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene		S
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene		S
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

S: SPIKE COMPOUND

FORM 1 V-1

12/88 Rev.

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91/0L/107 SDG No. —— LABORATORY WESTON SITE COLTSNECK

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: [signature] Date: 3/2/1992

Verified By: [signature] Date: 3/2/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

CH_2Cl_2 – CRQL – 001, 002, 003
004, 005, 006

Acetone – U – 001, 002,
003, 004, 005, 006

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

C) Water blank contamination

D) Trip blank contamination

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

* Cont Cal AKAT02 - All Samples
J - Acetone, 2-Butanone, 2-Hexanone.

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

9. MATRIX SPIKE/SPIKE DUPLICATE, MS/MSD:

The MS/MSD data are generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD may be used in conjunction with other QC criteria for some additional qualification of the data.

No Action

3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

None

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Acceptable

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS 0 NON-COMPLIANCE:

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

~~None~~ EMW 4/2/92

Report 1,2-dichloroethane (total) results from 001 DL and 002 DL.

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI Region_____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

ASE NO. 91102107 LABORATORY RF WESTON

SDG NO. ____ DATA USER RF WESTON

SOW 2/88 REVS REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 3-2-92

NO. OF SAMPLES 6 WATER ____ SOIL ____ OTHER

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR HEARTLAND CSE

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	X			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	O			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

000035

A Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM
(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples))

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1989

Type of Review: CLP VOA / REGION II Date: 3-2-92

Project: COLTSNECK Case #: 91OL107

Reviewer's Initials: EMM Lab Name: R.L. WESTON

Number of Samples: 6

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	6	0	0	6	6/210
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	6	0	0	6	0	0	6	6/210
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91104107
LAB: R.F. WESTON
SITE: COLTSNECK

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	[ ]	X	
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMD QCS checklist included with package?	[ ]	X	
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	[X]		
2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	[X]		
3.0 Data Validation Checklist			

The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.

Does this package contain:

VOA data?	X	
BNA data?		X
Pesticide/PCB data?		X

ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.

	YES	NO	N/A

PART A: VOA ANALYSIS

1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative

1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.

1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data? ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.

ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).

ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

2.0 Holding Times

2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded? ___ [X] ___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			

3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)

3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]	___	___
b. Med Water	[ ]	___	X
c. Low Soil	[ ]	___	X
d. Med Soil	[ ]	___	X
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]	___	___
b. Med Water	[ ]	___	X
c. Low Soil	[ ]	___	X
d. Med Soil	[ ]	___	X
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	[ ]	___	X
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?	___	[ ]	X
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?	[ ]	___	X
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	[ ]	___	X

ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications:

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% : 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".		[X]	

.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)

	YES	NO	N/A
4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present?	[X]		
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]		
b. Med Water	[ ]		X
c. Low Soil	[ ]		X
d. Med Soil	[ ]		X

ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5. Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	[X]	___	___
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	[X]	___	___
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6. Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are not used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	X	[ ]	___
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?	___	[X]	X
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

3-2-92

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ___ X

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [X] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [X] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[X]	___	___

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[X]	___
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[X]	___	___

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

	YES	NO	N/A
7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[X]	___	___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:

	YES	NO	N/A
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[X]	___	___
c. Blanks	[X]	___	___

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[X]		
c. Blanks	[X]		
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[X]		
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[X]		
Resolution	[X]		
Peak shape	[X]		
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[X]		
Other:_____	[ ]		X
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[X]		
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[X]		
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[X]		
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[X]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	[X]		
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Blanks	[X]		
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?		[X]	
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[X]		
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[X]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?		[X]	
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	[X]		

	YES	NO	N/A
ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.			
11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)			
11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)			
12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)			
12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05?	___	[X]	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").			

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		X	

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	X		
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	X		

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?		X	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	X		

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
1[illegible] Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[___]	___	X

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

Data Validation Report

March 5, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program October 30, 1991 at the **NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station** site. There was nine (9) water samples with one (1) MS/MSD which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, **R. F. Weston Number 9110L211**.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the **Specific Findings** and associated **Data Qualifications** presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the **Narratives**. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
05-001-M002	9110L211-001	WATER	X
05-002-M002	9110L211-002	WATER	X
05-003-M002	9110L211-003	WATER	X
05-004-M002	9110L211-004	WATER	X
05-005-M002	9110L211-005	WATER	X
05-007-M002	9110L211-006	WATER	X
05-007-M002MS	9110L211-006	WATER	X
05-007-M002MSD	9110L211-006	WATER	X
05-008-M002	9110L211-007	WATER	X
05-008-M202	9110L211-008	WATER	X
05-001-M302	9110L211-009	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Gene Watson	Dan Heil

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound %RSDs and RRFs. No qualifications are required.

Continuing Calibrations

One (1) of the two (2) continuing calibrations that were analyzed with this data package was acceptable for all TCL %Ds and RRFs. One (1) calibration required qualifications for non compliant %Ds.
Specific findings:

1. For all of the samples except 05-005-M002, the continuing calibration, W110419, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%D, but less than 50%D. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).
   - vinyl acetate
   - 4-methyl-2-pentanone
   - trans-1,3-dichloropropene

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The two (2) method blanks that were analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride and acetone. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

2. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride and acetone blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   methylene chloride - CRQL: All samples except 05-007-M002, 05-008-M002

   methylene chloride - U: 05-007-M002, 05-008-M002

   acetone - U: All samples

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples, with the exception of sample 05-007-M002, were within QA/QC limits. The MS/MSD analysis for this sample fulfilled the reanalysis requirements.

Specific findings:

3. For sample 05-007-M002, the surrogate toluene-d_8 and bromofluorobenzene exhibited recoveries below the QA/QC limits. Qualify all positive results as estimated (J) and all non detect results as estimated (UJ).

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ANALYSIS

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

The MS/MSD the was analyzed with this SDG exhibited acceptable recoveries and %RPDs. No qualifications are required.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

No qualifications are required.

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that greater than 5% of the data is qualified.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES, INC.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
All samples except 05-005-M002	4-methyl-2-pentanone trans-1,3-dichloropropene vinyl acetate	+	J	1
All samples except 05-007-M002 and 05-008-M002	methylene chloride	+BJ	CRQL	2
05-007-M002 05-008-M002	methylene chloride	+B	U	2
All samples	acetone	+B	U	2
05-007-M002	All analytes	+/-	J/UJ	3

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory

QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm

+ in the DL column denotes a positive result

- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-001-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W110421

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~2~~ 5	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	24	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	4	J
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-001-M002

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110421

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-002-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110422

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~1~~	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	110	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-002-M002

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110422

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110423

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~3~~	~~B~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	18	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-003-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110423

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-004-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110424

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	3	J
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~3~~ 5	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	150	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	3	J
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	1	J
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	1	J
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-004-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110424

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A 0000065

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-005-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110514

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	2	J
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~25~~	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	19	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	2	J
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	2	J
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-005-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110514

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 1

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.	PROBABLE LAB CONTAMINANT *			
2. 60-29-7	DIETHYL ETHER *	7.03	18	C

C: Response Factor from daily standard.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-007-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W110426

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	1	J
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	6	~~B~~ U
67-64-1	Acetone	25	~~B~~ U
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	1	J
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	2	J
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	1	J
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-007-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110426

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-008-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110427

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	10	~~B~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	15	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-008-M002

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110427

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A 0000105

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-008-M202

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-008

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W110428

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	25	~~JB~~U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	16	~~B~~U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	6	
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 0000108

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-008-M202

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-008

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110428

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

000011

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-001-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-009

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110429

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	~~JB~~ U2
67-64-1	Acetone	91	~~B~~ U2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	1	J
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

05-001-M302

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9110L211-009

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W110429

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 10/30/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91ML211 SDG No. ——— LABORATORY R.F. WESTBROOK SITE COLTSNECK

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable),or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: [signature] Date: 3/2/1992

Verified By: [signature] Date: 3/2/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

CH_2Cl_2 - CRQL - 001, 002, 003, 004, 005, 008, 009

CH_2Cl_2 - U - 006, 007

Acetone - U - 005, ~~007, 008~~ EMW

Acetone - NA 001, 002, 003 004, 006, 009, 007, 008

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

C) Water blank contamination

D) Trip blank contamination

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No Action

EWW
3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

* Cont Cal W110419 - All Samples except 005
J - vinyl acetate, trans-1,3-dichloropropene,
4-methyl-2-pentanone.

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

05-007-M002 - Tob-d8, BFB out low - J/UJ
MS/MSD fulfilled reanalysis requirements.

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

No Action

3-2-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

9. MATRIX SPIKE/SPIKE DUPLICATE, MS/MSD:

The MS/MSD data are generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD may be used in conjunction with other QC criteria for some additional qualification of the data.

No Action

3-2-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

None

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Acceptable

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS Ø NON-COMPLIANCE:

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

None

DPO. [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI Region_____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 91104211 LABORATORY R.F. WESTON

SDG NO. _____ DATA USER R.F. WESTON

SOW 0/88 Rev 9 REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 3-2-92

NO. OF SAMPLES 9 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Hard Land EJI

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ("F" = not applicable)	F			
6 LABORATORY BLANKS	O			
7. SURROGATES	M			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ("F" = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	M			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

A nment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM
(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples))

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1989

Type of Review: CLP VOA Region II
Date: 3-2-92
Project: Coltsville
Case #: 911062211
Reviewer's Initials: EMM
Lab Name: Ft Wyston-Lionville
Number of Samples: 9

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0/315
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	35	0	0	18	0	0	9	53/315
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91101211
LAB: R.F WESTON
SITE: COLTSNECK.

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	[ ]	X	
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMD QCS checklist included with package?	[ ]	X	
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	[X]		
2.2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	[X]		
3.0 Data Validation Checklist			
The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.			
Does this package contain:			
VOA data?	X		
BNA data?		X	
Pesticide/PCB data?		X	
ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.			

	YES	NO	N/A

PART A: VOA ANALYSES

1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative

1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.

1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data? ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.

ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).

ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

2.0 Holding Times

2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded? ___ [X] ___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			
3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)			
3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	X		
b. Med Water			X
c. Low Soil			X
d. Med Soil			X
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	X		
b. Med Water			X
c. Low Soil			X
d. Med Soil			X
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	X		
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?	X		
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?	X		
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	X		
ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications: 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").			

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% : 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".		[X]	
4.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)			
4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present?	[X]		
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[X]		
b. Med Water	[ ]		X
c. Low Soil	[ ]		X
d. Med Soil	[ ]		X
ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.			

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	[X]	___	___
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	[X]	___	___
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are <u>not</u> used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	X	[ ]	___
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?	___	[ ]	X
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ___ X

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [X] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [X] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

	YES	NO	N/A
ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.			
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[X]		
ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).			
ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.			
7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		[X]	
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[X]		
ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[X]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.			

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

	YES	NO	N/A
8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[X]		
c. Blanks	[X]		

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[X]		
c. Blanks	[X]		
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[X]		
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[X]		
Resolution	[X]		
Peak shape	[X]		
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[X]		
Other: _____	[ ]		X
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[X]		
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[X]		
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[X]		
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[X]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	[X]	___	___
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[X]	___	___
b. Blanks	[X]	___	___
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?	___	[X]	___
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[X]	___	___
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[X]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?	___	[X]	___
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	[ ]	___	X

	YES	NO	N/A

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.

11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)

11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)

12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)

12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05? ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		X	

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	X		
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	X		

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?		X	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	X		

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [X] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [X] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
I. Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[ ]		X

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.