HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

N60478.AR.000193
NWS EARLE
5090.3a

Data Validation Report

April 27, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program November 26, 1991 at the NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station site. There were five (5) water samples with one (1) MS/MSD which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, R. F. Weston Number 9111L548.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the Specific Findings and associated Data Qualifications presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the Narratives. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
11-003-M003	9111L548-001	WATER	X
11-004-M003	9111L548-002	WATER	X
11-005-M003	9111L548-003	WATER	X
11-005-M003MS	9111L548-003	WATER	X
11-005-M003MSD	9111L548-003	WATER	X
11-005-M203	9111L548-004	WATER	X
11-005-M303	9111L548-005	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Dan Heil	Gene Watson

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples, with the exception of the MS/MSD were met per the Region II SOP. The MS/MSD met the analysis holding times of the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound RRFs.

Specific findings:

1. For the samples listed below, the initial calibration analyzed on 12/03/91 contained the following compounds with %RSDs greater than 30%, but less than 90%. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).

11-003-M003	chloromethane
11-004-M003	
11-005-M003	
11-005-M203	
11-005-M303	

Continuing Calibrations

The one (1) continuing calibration that was analyzed with this data package was acceptable for all compound RRFs.

Specific findings:

2. For samples 11-005-M003MS and 11-005-M003MSD, the continuing calibration, W120402, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%, but less than 50%. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).
   2-butanone
   2-hexanone
   acetone (51.0%)

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The two (2) method blanks that were analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride and acetone. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

3. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride and acetone blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   <u>methylene chloride - CRQL</u>

   11-003-M003
   11-005-M203

   <u>methylene chloride - U</u>

   11-005-M303

Method Blanks (specific finding #3 continued)

acetone - U

11-004-M003
11-005-M003
11-005-M303

acetone - No Action

11-003-M003
11-005-M203

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples were within QA/QC limits. No qualifications are required.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

A MS/MSD was not was analyzed with this SDG.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

Specific findings:

4. For the samples listed below, the reported result for acetone is above the linear range and flagged with an "E" qualifier. The result must be qualified as estimated (J).

   11-003-M003
   11-005-M203

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
11-003-M003 11-004-M003 11-005-M003 11-005-M203 11-005-M303	chloromethane	+	J	1
11-003-M003 11-005-M203	methylene chloride	+BJ	CRQL	3
11-005-M303	methylene chloride	+B	U	3
11-004-M003 11-005-M003 11-005-M303	acetone	+B	U	3
11-003-M003 11-005-M203	acetone	+B	NA	3
11-003-M003 11-005-M203	acetone	+E	EJ	4

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory
QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm
+ in the DL column denotes a positive result
- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1A 000018

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

11-003-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L548-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120317

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/03/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~5.1~~	JB U3
67-64-1	Acetone	2700	E J 7.3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	2	J
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-003-M003

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L548-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W120317

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/03/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

11-004-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L548-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120316

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/03/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	U
67-64-1	Acetone	110	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-004-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L548-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W120316

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/03/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

11-005-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L548-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120315

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/03/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	U
67-64-1	Acetone	120	B U
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-005-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L548-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W120315

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/03/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A 0000039

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

11-005-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L548-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120314

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/03/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~2~~	~~JB~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	5500	E ~~J~~ 3,4
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	2	J
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-005-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L548-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W120314

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/03/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A 0000050 EPA SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

11-005-M303

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L548-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120313

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/03/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	6	B U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	37	B U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

11-005-M303

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L548-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W120313

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/03/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91116548 SDG No. _____ LABORATORY RFW SITE Coltsneck

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: [signature] Date: 4/27/1992

Verified By: [signature] Date: 4/27/1992

000018

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

11-005-M003 MS outside 7 days
11-005-M003 MSD within 10 days

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

1-VBIK CH_2Cl_2 - 5
Acetone - 26

2-VBIK CH_2Cl_2 - 2
Acetone - 5

SAMPLE	CH_2Cl_2	Acetone
003-M003	CRQL	NA
004-	NO	U
005	NO	U
005 MS		
005 MSD		
005-M203	CRQL	NA
005-M303	U	U

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

NONE

C) Water blank contamination

NONE

D) Trip blank contamination

NONE

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

DJH 2/27/92

ATACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

Intial Cal 12/03/91

~~Chloro methane~~ ~~5~~ DJ# 4-27-92 No Action

Continuing - No Action

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. WH-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

Initial Cal 12/03/91

Chloromethane 53.5

VBIKLVW206
11-003-M003
004
005
005-M203
005-M303

Continuing Cal.
FI: W120402

Acetone 59.0
2-butanone 33.3
2-hexanone 30.4

VBIKLVW207
11-005-M003MS
M003MSD

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

DJH 4/27/92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

no Action

JLH 2-27-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

11-803-M003 Acetone 2700 E
11-005-M203 Acetone 5500 E

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

NONE

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

FAIR

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS____NON-COMPLIANCE:

NONE

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

NONE

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI

Region_____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

SE NO. 91116548

LABORATORY R.F. Weston

SDG NO. —

DATA USER R.F. Weston

SOW 2/88 Rev 5

REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 4-27-92

NO. OF SAMPLES 5 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heartland ESI

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	X			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	O			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

000028

489

Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM
(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples))

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1989

Type of Review: CLP / Region II Date: 4-27-92 Case #: 91116548

Project: Coltsmack Lab Name: RFW - Lionville

Reviewer's Initials: DJH Number of Samples: 5

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0/175
PEST (20)								
ICP (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	8	0	2	5	10/175
PEST (20)								
ICP (7)								
TCDD (1)								

00029

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91115548

LAB: RFW - Lionville

SITE: Coltsneck

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	✓		
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMO CCS checklist included with package?	✓		
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	✓		
2.2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	✓		

3.0 Data Validation Checklist

The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.

Does this package contain:

VOA data?	✓	
BNA data?		✓
Pesticide/PCB data?		✓

ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.

	YES	NO	N/A
PART A: VOA ANALYSES			
1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative			
1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.			
1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.			
ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).			
ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".			
2.0 Holding Times			
2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded?	✓	[___]	___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed
11-005-M003 MS	W	NO	11/26/91	11/26/91	12/04/91
11-005-M003MSD	W	NO	↓	↓	↓

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			

3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)

3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓

ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.

3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	✓		

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?		✓	
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?	✓		
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	✓		

ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications:

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").

	YES	NO	N/A
any surrogate has a recovery of <10% :			
Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R").			
fessional judgement should be used to qualify a that have method blank surrogate recoveries of specification in both original and re-lyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
ry transcription/calculation errors between raw m II?	___	[✓]	___
large errors exist, call lab for explanation / ubmittal, make any necessary corrections and e errors under "Conclusions".			
orm III)			
x Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III)	[✓]	___	___
spikes analyzed at the required frequency the following matrices:			
er	[✓]	___	___
er	[ ]	___	___
	[ ]	___	___
	[ ]	___	___

any matrix spike data are missing, take e action specified in 3.2 above.

spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
__ out of 10	_____ out of 10

D's for matrix spike and matrix spike ecoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
__ out of 5	_____ out of 5

MS and MSD both have less than 10% re-very for an analyte, negative results for at analyte should be rejected, and sitive results should be flagged "J". e ab applies only to the sample used the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional dgement in applying this criterion to other mples in the package.

of 36
1990
D N/A
value
lue
000035

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[✓]	___
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

	YES	NO	N/A
7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

	YES	NO	N/A
8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[✓]	___	___
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[✓]	___	___
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[✓]	___	___
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[✓]	___	___
Resolution	[✓]	___	___
Peak shape	[✓]	___	___
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[✓]	___	___
Other:_____	[ ]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]	___	___
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	✓		
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	✓		
b. Blanks	✓		
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?		✓	
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	✓		
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?		✓	
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	✓		

	YES	NO	N/A
ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.			
11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)			
11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)			
12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)?	[___]	✓	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)			
12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").			

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[✓]	___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[✓]	___	___
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?	___	[✓]	___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	✓	[___]	___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
0 Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[ ]	✓	

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

Data Validation Report

April 27, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program November 20, 1991 at the NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station site. There were seven (7) water samples with one MS/MSD which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, R. F. Weston Number 9111L480.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the Specific Findings and associated Data Qualifications presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the Narratives. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
26-001-M003	9111L480-001	WATER	X
26-001-M003DL	9111L480-001	WATER	X
26-001-M103	9111L480-002	WATER	X
26-001-M103DL	9111L480-002	WATER	X
26-002-M003	9111L480-003	WATER	X
26-002-M003MS	9111L480-003	WATER	X
26-002-M003MSD	9111L480-003	WATER	X
26-003-M003	9111L480-004	WATER	X
26-004-M003	9111L480-005	WATER	X
26-004-M203	9111L480-006	WATER	X
26-003-M303	9111L480-007	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Dan Heil	Gene Watson

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The two (2) initial calibrations that were analyzed by the laboratory for these samples were acceptable for all compound RRFs and %RSDs. No qualifications are required.

Continuing Calibrations

One (1) of the two (2) continuing calibrations that were analyzed with this data package were acceptable for all compound RRFs and %Ds.

Specific findings:

1. For all of the samples except the dilutions, the continuing calibration, AKBP03, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%, but less than 50%. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).
   2-butanone

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The two (2) method blanks that were analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride and/or acetone. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

2. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   <u>methylene chloride - CRQL</u>

   26-001-M003
   26-001-M103
   26-002-M003
   26-002-M003MS
   26-003-M003
   26-004-M003

   <u>methylene chloride - U</u>

   26-002-M003MSD
   26-004-M203
   26-003-M303

   <u>acetone - U</u>

   All samples except
   dilutions

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples were within QA/QC limits. No qualifications are required.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

No qualifications are required.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

Specific findings:

3. For the samples listed below, the report the diluted result for compounds that exceed the linear range in the undiluted sample analysis. Reject all other dilution results.

   26-001-M003
   26-001-M103

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
All samples except the dilutions	2-butanone	+	J	1
26-001-M003 26-001-M103 26-002-M003 26-002-M003MS 26-003-M003 26-004-M003	methylene chloride	+BJ	CRQL	2
26-002-M003MSD 26-004-M203 26-003-M303	methylene chloride	+B	U	2
All samples except the dilutions	acetone	+B	U	2
26-001-M003DL 26-001-M103DL	All analytes	+/-	D/R	3

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory
QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm
+ in the DL column denotes a positive result
- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1A 000027

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-001-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W112116

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/21/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~4~~ 5	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	25	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	600	~~E~~ D 3
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	240	~~E~~ D 3
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 000025

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-001-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W112116

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/21/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A 000038

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-001-M003DL

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-001 DL

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKBP11

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/25/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 5.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	NA	
74-83-9	Bromomethane	NA	
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	NA	
75-00-3	Chloroethane	NA	
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	NA	
67-64-1	Acetone	NA	
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	NA	
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	NA	
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	NA	
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	600	
67-66-3	Chloroform	NA	
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	
78-93-3	2-Butanone	NA	
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	NA	
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	NA	
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	NA	
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	NA	
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA	
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	240	
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	NA	
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	NA	
71-43-2	Benzene	NA	
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA	
75-25-2	Bromoform	NA	
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	NA	
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	NA	
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	NA	
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	NA	
108-88-3	Toluene	NA	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	NA	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	NA	
100-42-5	Styrene	NA	
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	NA	

R 3

1A 000004 CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

26-001-M103

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W112115

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/21/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~53~~	~~JB~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	~~24~~ 24	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	550	~~E~~ D 3
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	210	~~E~~ D 3
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

000045

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-001-M103

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W112115

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/21/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC

12/88 Rev.

1A 0000050

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-001-M103DL

Lab Name: Rov F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-002 DL

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKBP12

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/25/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 5.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		R	3
74-87-3	Chloromethane	NA		
74-83-9	Bromomethane	NA		
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	NA		
75-00-3	Chloroethane	NA		
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	NA		
67-64-1	Acetone	NA		
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	NA		
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	NA		
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	NA		
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	550		
67-66-3	Chloroform	NA		
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	NA		
78-93-3	2-Butanone	NA		
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA		
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	NA		
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	NA		
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	NA		
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	NA		
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA		
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	210		
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	NA		
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	NA		
71-43-2	Benzene	NA		
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA		
75-25-2	Bromoform	NA		
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	NA		
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	NA		
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	NA		
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	NA		
108-88-3	Toluene	NA		
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	NA		
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	NA		
100-42-5	Styrene	NA		
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	NA		

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-002-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W112114

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/21/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 2	JB U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	27	B U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-002-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W112114

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/21/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A 000073

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-003-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W112113

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/21/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L	
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~3~~ 5	~~B~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	18	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

FORM 1 V-1 12/88 Rev.

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-003-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W112113

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/21/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

000083

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-004-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W112112

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/21/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~2~~ 5	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	15	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E 000087

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-004-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W112112

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/21/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

000093

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-004-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W112111

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/21/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	9	~~B~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	30	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-004-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W112111

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/21/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC

12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

000103

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-003-M303

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W112110

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/21/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	11	~~B~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	13	~~B~~ U 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

000107

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

26-003-M303

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L480-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W112110

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/20/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/21/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC

12/88 Rev.

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91111C480 SDG No. _____ LABORATORY RFW SITE Coltsneck

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: Daniel J. Hall Date: 4/28/1992

Verified By: Eugene Murphy Date: 4/29/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

DJH 4-28-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

1-VBLK CH_2Cl_2 - 4
Acetone - 5

2-VBLK CH_2Cl_2 - 4

Sample	CH_2Cl_2	Acetone
26-001-M003	CRQL	U
001-M003DL	NA	NA
001-M103	CRQL	U
001-M103DL	NA	NA
002-M003	CRQL	U
002-MS	CRQL	U
002-MSD	U	U
003-M003	CRQL	U
004-M003	CRQL	U
004-M203	U	U
003-M303	U	U

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

NONE

C) Water blank contamination

NONE

D) Trip blank contamination

NONE

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

DJH 4-23-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No Action

DJH 4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

Initial Cali.

11/21/91 no action no samples

11/14/91 no action no samples

Cont. Cal.

FI: AKBP03

2-butanone -26.7

VBLK 205
26-003-M303
004-M203
004-M003
003-M003
002-M003
001-M103
001-M003
002-M003MS
002-M003MSD

FI: W112102 No Action

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

DH 28-52

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

DJH 4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

No Action

JH 4-28-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

NONE

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Fair

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS____NON-COMPLIANCE:

NONE

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

26-001-M003 DL – report DL Results on orig.
26-001-A103 DL –

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI

Region _____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 91111L480

LABORATORY R.F. Weston

SDG NO. ——

DATA USER R.F. Weston

SOW 2/88 Revs

REVIEW COMPLETION DATE _____

NO. OF SAMPLES 7 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER _____

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heartland ET

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	X			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	O			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

000034

Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM
(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples))

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1

Type of Review: CLP/Region II Date: 4/28/92 Case #: 91112480

Project: Coltsneck Lab Name: RFW - Louville

Reviewer's Initials: DH Number of Samples: 7

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0/245
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	14	0	0	7	14/245
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

000033

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91111L480

LAB: RFW-Louisville

SITE: Coltsneck

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	✓		
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMO CCS checklist included with package?	✓		
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	✓		
.2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	✓		
3.0 Data Validation Checklist			
The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.			
Does this package contain:			
VOA data?	✓		
BNA data?		✓	
Pesticide/PCB data?		✓	
ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.			

	YES	NO	N/A
PART A: VOA ANALYSES			
1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative			
1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.			
1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.			
ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).			
ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".			
2.0 Holding Times			
2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded?	___	[✓]	___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			
3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)			
3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	✓		
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?		✓	
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?	✓		
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	✓		

ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications:

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% : 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II?		✓	
ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
4.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)			
4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present?	✓		
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.			

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	✓		
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	✓		
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	✓		
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are not used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	✓		
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?		✓	
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ✓ ___

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [✓] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [✓] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[✓]	___
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

	YES	NO	N/A
7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

	YES	NO	N/A
8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[✓]	___	___
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___

000042

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[✓]	___	___
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[✓]	___	___
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[✓]	___	___
Resolution	[✓]	___	___
Peak shape	[✓]	___	___
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[✓]	___	___
Other:_____	[ ]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]	___	___
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	✓		
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	✓		
b. Blanks	✓		
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?		✓	
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	✓		
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?		✓	
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	✓		

	YES	NO	N/A
ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.			
11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)			
11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)			
12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)			
12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").			

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		✓	

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

	YES	NO	N/A
13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	✓		
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	✓		

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?		✓	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	✓		

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
15.0 Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[ ]	✓	

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

Data Validation Report

April 27, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program November 21, 1991 at the NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station site. There were eight (8) water samples which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, R. F. Weston Number 9111L544.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the Specific Findings and associated Data Qualifications presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the Narratives. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
07-001-M003	9111L544-001	WATER	X
07-002-M003	9111L544-002	WATER	X
07-003-M003	9111L544-003	WATER	X
07-003-M203	9111L544-004	WATER	X
07-004-M003	9111L544-005	WATER	X
07-004-M103	9111L544-006	WATER	X
07-005-M003	9111L544-007	WATER	X
07-004-M303	9111L544-008	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Dan Heil	Gene Watson

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound RRFs and %RSDs.

Continuing Calibrations

One (1) of the three (3) continuing calibrations that were analyzed with this data package were acceptable for all compound RRFs and %Ds.

Specific findings:

1. For the samples listed below, the continuing calibration, Y120304, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%, but less than 50%. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).

07-001-M003	chloromethane
	chloroethane

Continuing Calibrations (continued)

2. For the samples listed below, the continuing calibration, Y120502, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%, but less than 50%. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).

07-004-M303	acetone
07-003-M203	vinyl acetate
07-004-M103	2-hexanone
07-002-M003	
07-005-M003	

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The three (3) method blanks that were analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

3. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride and acetone blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

<u>methylene chloride - CRQL</u>

All samples except
07-004-M303

<u>methylene chloride - U</u>

07-004-M303

Method Blanks (Specific finding #3 continued)

acetone - U

07-004-M303

acetone _ No Action

All samples except
07-004-M303

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples were within QA/QC limits. No qualifications are required.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

A MS/MSD was not was analyzed with this SDG.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

Specific findings:

4. For the samples listed below, the reported result for acetone is above the linear range and flagged with an "E" qualifier. The result must be qualified as estimated (J).

   07-001-M003
   07-002-M003
   07-003-M203
   07-004-M003
   07-004-M103
   07-005-M003

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
07-001-M003	chloromethane chloroethane	+	J	1
07-004-M303 07-003-M203 07-004-M103 07-002-M003 07-005-M003	acetone vinyl acetate 2-hexanone	+	J	2
All samples except 07-004-M303	methylene chloride	+BJ	CRQL	3
07-004-M303	methylene chloride	+B	U	3
07-004-M303	acetone	+B	U	3
All samples except 07-004-M303	acetone	+	NA	3
07-001-M003 07-002-M003 07-003-M203 07-004-M003 07-004-M103 07-005-M003	acetone	+E	EJ	4

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory
QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm
+ in the DL column denotes a positive result
- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

07-001-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: Y120315

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/03/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~5~~ 4	JB ~~U~~ 3
67-64-1	Acetone	10000	EJ 4
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	~~5~~ 1	JB ~~U~~ 3
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-001-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y120315

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/03/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

07-002-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: Y120510

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~2~~	~~JB~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	640	~~EJ~~ 2,4
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-002-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y120510

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 1

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1. 60-29-7	DIETHYLETHER	10.53	17	C

C: Response Factor from daily standard.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

07-003-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: Y120404

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5.2	JB 3
67-64-1	Acetone	87	BU 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-003-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y120404

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

07-003-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: Y120508

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~5~~ 4	JB 3
67-64-1	Acetone	900	E ~~5~~ 3,4
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-003-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y120508

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

07-004-M003

Lab Name: Rov F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: Y120414

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5.2	JB U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	810	E J 4
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-004-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y120414

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/04/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

07-004-M103

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: Y120509

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5.2	JB
67-64-1	Acetone	350	E
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-004-M103

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y120509

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC

12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

07-005-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: Y120511

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 ~~4~~	JB-0 3
67-64-1	Acetone	2700	E ~~5~~ 2,4
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	4	J
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-005-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y120511

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

07-004-M303

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-008

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: Y120507

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	7	~~B~~ U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	13	~~B~~ U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

07-004-M303

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L544-008

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: Y120507

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/26/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91111554 SDG No. _____ LABORATORY RFW SITE Coltsneck

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: [signature] Date: 4/28/1992

Verified By: [signature] Date: 4/29/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

the following samples exceeded holding times analyzed in more than 7 days but less than 10 days.

	VSTR	Extracted
07-002-M003	11-26-91	12/05/91
003-M003		12/04/91
003-M203		12/05/91
004-M003		12/04/91
004-M103		12/05/91
005-M003		
004-M303		

Samples were preserved
Holding times ok
4-28-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

		Samples	CH_2Cl_2 CRQL	Acetone NA
1-VBIK	CH_2Cl_2 - 4	07-001-M003		
	Acetone 8	002-M003		
2-VBIK	CH_2Cl_2 - 2	003-M003		
	Acetone - 6	003-M203		
3-VBIK	CH_2Cl_2 - 2	004-M003		
	Acetone - 9	004-M103		
		005-M003		
		004-M303	U	U

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

NONE

C) Water blank contamination

NONE

D) Trip blank contamination

NONE

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

DJH 4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No Action

DJH 4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

Initial Cal no action no samples

Cont. Cal.

FI: Y120304 VBIK 212
07-001-M003

Chloromethane -37.0

Chloroethane -29.7

FI: Y12042 - no action

FI: Y120502 VBIK214
07-004-M303
003-M203
004-M103
002-M003
005-M003

Acetone 37.9

Vinylacetate 28.2

2-Hexanone 27.3

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

DJH 4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

07-001-M003	Acetone	10000 ug/l	E
002-M003		640	E
003-M203		900	E
004-M003		810	E
004-M103		350	E
005-M003		2700	E
~~004-M303~~			

4-23-12

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

None

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Fair

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS____NON-COMPLIANCE:

None

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

None

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI

Region_____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 91111L544

LABORATORY LF Weston

SDG NO. ——

DATA USER LF Weston

SOW 2/88 Rev

REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 4-28-92

NO. OF SAMPLES 8 WATER ____ SOIL ____ OTHER

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Hear Hland ETS

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	X			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	X			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	X			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	X			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

000034

489

A[illegible]ment 3

REJECTION [illegible]MARY FORM
(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples)

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1989

Type of Review: CLP / Region II Date: 4-28-92 Case #: 91111L544

Project: Coltsneck Lab Name: RFW - Lonville

Reviewer's Initials: DJH Number of Samples: 8

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0/280
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	4	10	0	6	8	20/280
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

00035

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91111544

LAB: RFW - Louisville

SITE: Coltsneck

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	✓		
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMO OOS checklist included with package?	✓		
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	✓		
.2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	✓		
3.0 Data Validation Checklist			
The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.			
Does this package contain:			
VOA data?	✓		
BNA data?		✓	
Pesticide/PCB data?		✓	
ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.			

	YES	NO	N/A
PART A: VOA ANALYSES			
1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative			
1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples?	✓		
ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.			
1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data?		✓	
ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.			
ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).			
ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".			
2.0 Holding Times			
2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded?		✓	

4-28-92

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed
07-002-M003	H_2O				
07-003-M003		yes			
07-003-M203					
07-004-M003					
07-004-M103					
07-005-M003					
07-004-M303					

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			
3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)			
3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	✓		
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?		✓	
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?	✓		
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	✓		

ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications:

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% :			
1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R").			
Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
4.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)			
4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present?	[ ]	✓	___
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[ ]	___	✓
b. Med Water	[ ]	___	✓
c. Low Soil	[ ]	___	✓
d. Med Soil	[ ]	___	✓
ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.			

no MS/MSD

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	✓		
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	✓		
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	✓		
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are not used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	✓		
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?		✓	
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ✓ ___

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [✓] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [✓] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[✓]		

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		[✓]	
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[✓]		

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

	YES	NO	N/A
7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[✓]		

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

	YES	NO	N/A
8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[ ]		[✓]
c. Blanks	[✓]		

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[ ]	___	✓
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[✓]	___	___
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[✓]	___	___
Resolution	[✓]	___	___
Peak shape	[✓]	___	___
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[✓]	___	___
Other:_____	[ ]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]	___	___
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	[✓]		
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]		
b. Blanks	[✓]		
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?		[✓]	
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]		
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?		[✓]	
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	[✓]		

	YES	NO	N/A

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.

11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)

11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)

12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)

12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05? ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		✓	

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

	YES	NO	N/A
13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	✓		
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	✓		

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?		✓	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	✓		

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
15.0 Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[ ]	✓	

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

Data Validation Report

April 29, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program December 2, 1991 at the NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station site. There were four (4) water samples with one (1) MS/MSD which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, R. F. Weston Number 9112L581.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the Specific Findings and associated Data Qualifications presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the Narratives. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
03-006-M003	9112L581-001	WATER	X
03-006-M003MS	9112L581-001	WATER	X
03-006-M003MSD	9112L581-001	WATER	X
03-006-M203	9112L581-002	WATER	X
03-001-M003	9112L581-003	WATER	X
03-001-M303	9112L581-004	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Dan Heil	Gene Watson

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound RRFs. One (1) compound, chloromethane, exhibited a %RSD greater than 30%. However, qualifications are not required since samples were not analyzed after the initial calibration.

Continuing Calibrations

The two (2) continuing calibrations that were analyzed with this data package were acceptable for all compound RRFs.

Continuing Calibrations (continued)

Specific findings:

1. For the all of the samples except 03-001-M303, the continuing calibration, W120502, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%, but less than 50%. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).

   acetone
   vinyl acetate
   2-hexanone
   4-methyl-2-pentanone

2. For sample 03-001-M303, the continuing calibration, W120602, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%, but less than 50%. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).

   acetone
   2-butanone
   vinyl acetate
   2-hexanone
   4-methyl-2-pentanone

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The two (2) method blanks that were analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride and acetone. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Method Blanks (continued)

Specific Findings:

3. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride and acetone blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   methylene chloride - CRQL

   03-006-M003
   03-006-M003MSD
   03-006-M203

   methylene chloride - U

   03-006-M003MS
   03-001-M303

   acetone - U

   All samples except
   03-001-M003

   acetone - No Action

   03-001-M003

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples were within QA/QC limits. No qualifications are required.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

A MS/MSD was not was analyzed with this SDG.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

Specific findings:

4. For the samples listed below, the reported result for acetone is above the linear range and flagged with an "E" qualifier. The result must be qualified as estimated (J).

   03-001-M003

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
All samples except 03-001-M303	acetone vinyl acetate 2-hexanone 4-methyl-2-pentanone	+	J	1
03-001-M303	acetone 2-butanone vinyl acetate 2-hexanone 4-methyl-2-pentanone	+	J	2
03-006-M003 03-006-M003MSD 03-006-M203	methylene chloride	+BJ	CRQL	3
03-006-M003MS 03-001-M303	methylene chloride	+B	U	3
All samples except 03-001-M003	acetone	+B	U	3
03-001-M003	acetone	+	NA	3
03-001-M003	acetone	+E	EJ	4

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory
QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm
+ in the DL column denotes a positive result
- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1A

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

03-006-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L581-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120510

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/02/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~5~~ 4	JB ~~U~~ 3
67-64-1	Acetone	49	B ~~U~~ 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

EPA SAMPLE NO.

03-005-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L581-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120510

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/02/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A 0000030 EPA SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

03-006-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L581-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120513

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/02/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~54~~	JB U 3
67-64-1	Acetone	28	B U 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	6	
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

EPA SAMPLE NO.

03-006-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L581-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120513

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/02/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

03-001-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L581-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120514

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/02/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	U
67-64-1	Acetone	7700	EJE 1,4
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

EPA SAMPLE NO.

03-001-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L581-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120514

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/02/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/05/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

03-001-M303

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L581-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120605

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/02/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	B U
67-64-1	Acetone	22	B U
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

3
3

EPA SAMPLE NO.

03-001-M303

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L581-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120605

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/02/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91122581 SDG No. _____ LABORATORY RFW SITE Coltsneck

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: _____ Date: 4/28/1992

Verified By: _____ Date: 4/29/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

DJH 4-28-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

		Sample #	CH_2Cl_2	Acetone
1- VBIK	CH_2Cl_2 - 2	006-MS/MSD	CRQL	U
	Acetone - 6	006 MS	U	U
		006 MSD	CRQL	U
		006-M203	CRQL	NA
2- VBIK	CH_2Cl_2 - 2	001 - MS/MSD	ND	
	Acetone - 9	001 - M303	U	U

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

None

C) Water blank contamination

None

D) Trip blank contamination

None

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

DH 4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No Action

4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

Initial Cal 12/03/91
Chloromethane 53.5 no samples

Cont. Cal
FI: W120502
Acetone 31.5
Vinyl acetate 32.8
4-methyl-2-pentanone 27.7
2-Hexanone 31.6

VBIK 208
03-006-M003
006 MS
006 MSD
006 M203
001-M003

FI: W120602
Acetone 45.2
2-butanone 28.5
Vinyl acetate 33.3
4-methyl-2-pentanone 28.0
2-Hexanone 37.7

03-001-M303

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

DJH 4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

03-001-M003 Acetone 7700 ug/l E

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

None

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Fair

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS____NON-COMPLIANCE:

None

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

None

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI

Region _____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

SE NO. 91124581

LABORATORY R.F. Weston

SDG NO. /

DATA USER R.F. Weston

SOW 2/88 Revs

REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 4-28-92

NO. OF SAMPLES 4 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heartland ESE

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	X			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	O			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

000027

489

Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM
(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples)

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1

Type of Review: CLP/Region II Date: 4/28/92 Case #: 91121581

Project: Coltsneck Lab Name: REW-Lionville

Reviewer's Initials: DJH Number of Samples: 4

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0/140
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	6	1	6	0	1	4	8/140
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

000028

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91121581

LAB: RFW - Livonia

SITE: Coltsneck

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	✓		
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMO CCS checklist included with package?	✓		
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	✓		
2.2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	✓		

3.0 Data Validation Checklist

The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.

Does this package contain:

VOA data?	✓	
BNA data?		✓
Pesticide/PCB data?		✓

ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.

	YES	NO	N/A
PART A: VOA ANALYSES			
Reports and Laboratory Narrative			
the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples?	✓		
ION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.			
he Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any blems with sample receipt, condition of samples, ytical problems or special notations affecting quality of the data?		✓	
ON: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.			
ON: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).			
ON: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".			
Times			
any VOA holding times, determined from date of ction to date of analysis, been exceeded?		✓	

preserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed n 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must alyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic iles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether amples were preserved.

-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

le of Holding Time Violations

e	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed
-					
-					
-					
-					

: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	✓		
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	✓		
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	✓		
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are not used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	✓		
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?		✓	
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [✓] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [✓] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[✓]	___
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

	YES	NO	N/A
7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

	YES	NO	N/A
8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[✓]	___	___
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[✓]	___	___
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[✓]	___	___
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[✓]	___	___
Resolution	[✓]	___	___
Peak shape	[✓]	___	___
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[✓]	___	___
Other: _____	[ ]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]	___	___
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	[✓]	___	___
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Blanks	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]	___	___
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?	___	[✓]	___
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	[✓]	___	___

	YES	NO	N/A
ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.			
11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)			
11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)			
12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)?	[___]	✓	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)			
12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").			

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[___]	___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[✓]	___	___
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?	___	[✓]	___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	✓	[___]	___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[ ]	✓	

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

Data Validation Report

April 29, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program December 5, 1991 at the NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station site. There were seven (7) water samples with one (1) MS/MSD which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, R. F. Weston Number 9112L623.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the Specific Findings and associated Data Qualifications presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the Narratives. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
10-001-M003	9112L623-001	WATER	X
10-002-M003	9112L623-002	WATER	X
10-003-M003	9112L623-003	WATER	X
10-004-M003	9112L623-004	WATER	X
10-004-M203	9112L623-005	WATER	X
10-007-M003	9112L623-006	WATER	X
10-007-M103	9112L623-007	WATER	X
10-007-M103MS	9112L623-007	WATER	X
10-007-M103MSD	9112L623-007	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Dan Heil	Gene Watson

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound RRFs. One (1) compound, chloromethane, exhibited a %RSD greater than 30%. However, qualifications are not required since samples were not analyzed after the initial calibration.

Continuing Calibrations

The one (1) continuing calibration that was analyzed with this data package was acceptable for all compound RRFs.

Specific findings:

1. For the all of the samples, the continuing calibration, W120904, contained the following compounds with %Ds greater than 25%, but less than 50%. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).
   - vinyl acetate
   - 2-hexanone

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The one (1) method blank that was analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride and acetone. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

2. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride and acetone blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   <u>methylene chloride - CRQL</u>

   10-001-M003
   10-002-M003
   10-007-M103

   <u>methylene chloride - U</u>

   All samples except
   10-001-M003
   10-002-M003
   10-007-M103

   <u>acetone - U</u>

   10-001-M003
   10-004-M003

   <u>acetone - No Action</u>

   All samples except
   10-001-M003
   10-004-M003

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples, with the exception of BFB in the MSD, were within QA/QC limits. Due to the compliant recoveries in the sample and MS, qualifications are not required.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

No qualifications are required.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

Specific findings:

3. For the samples listed below, the reported result for acetone is above the linear range and flagged with an "E" qualifier. The result must be qualified as estimated (J).

   10-002-M003
   10-007-M003

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
All samples	vinyl acetate 2-hexanone	+	J	1
10-001-M003 10-002-M003 10-007-M103	methylene chloride	+BJ	CRQL	2
All samples except 10-001-M003 10-002-M003 10-007-M103	methylene chloride	+B	U	2
10-001-M003 10-004-M003	acetone	+B	U	2
All samples except 10-001-M003 10-004-M003	acetone	+	NA	2
10-002-M003 10-007-M003	acetone	+E	EJ	3

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory
QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm
+ in the DL column denotes a positive result
- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

10-001-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L623-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120906

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/05/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/09/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5.4	JB U
67-64-1	Acetone	88	B U
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-001-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9112L623-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W120906

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 12/05/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/09/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

10-002-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L623-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120907

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/05/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/09/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~5~~ 4	JB ~~U~~ 2
67-64-1	Acetone	3300	E ~~J~~ 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-002-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9112L623-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W120907

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 12/05/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/09/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC

12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

10-003-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L623-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120908

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/05/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/09/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	7	B ~~U~~
67-64-1	Acetone	200	B
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-003-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9112L623-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W120908

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 12/05/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/09/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

10-004-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L623-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120909

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/05/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/09/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	9	B
67-64-1	Acetone	94	B
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-004-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9112L623-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W120909

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 12/05/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/09/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

FORM 1 VOA-TIC 12/88 Rev.

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

10-004-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L623-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120910

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/05/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/09/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	9	B
67-64-1	Acetone	100	B
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-004-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9112L623-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W120910

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 12/05/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/09/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

10-007-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L623-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120911

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/05/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/09/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	7	B
67-64-1	Acetone	220	E
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-007-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9112L623-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W120911

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 12/05/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/09/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

10-007-M103

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L623-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: W120912

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/05/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/09/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5 2	~~JB~~ U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	170	B 2
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

10-007-M103

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9112L623-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: W120912

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 12/05/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 12/09/91

Column: (pack/cap) PACK

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91124623 SDG No. _____ LABORATORY RFW SITE Collins neck

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: [signature] Date: 4/28/1992

Verified By: [signature] Date: 4/28/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

DJH 4-28-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

VBLK CH_2Cl_2 - 3
Acetone - 10

Samples	CH_2Cl_2	Acetone
001 - M003	CRQL	U
002 - M003	CRQL	NA
003	U	NA
004	U	U
004 - M2003	U	NA
007 ~~005~~ - M103	CRQL	NA
007 - M003	U	NA
007 MS	U	NA
007 MSD	U	NA

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

NONE

C) Water blank contamination

NONE

D) Trip blank contamination

NONE

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

DJH 4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No Action

DLH 4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

Initial Cal. 12/03/91

chloromethane 53.5 no samples

Cont. Cal.

FI: W120904

Vinyl acetate 33.2

2-Hexanone 27.1

VBIK
10-001 - M003
002
003
004
004 - M203
007 - M003
007 - M103
007 MS
007 MSD

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

Recovery above QA/QC limit
10-007-M103 MSD
BFB 116%

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

DJH 4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

10-002-M003 3300 ug/l E
10-007-M003 220 ug/l E

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

NONE

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Fair

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS____NON-COMPLIANCE:

NONE

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

NONE

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI

Region _____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 91126623

LABORATORY R.F. Weston

SDG NO. _____

DATA USER R.F. Weston

SOW 2/88 Rev

REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 4.28.92

NO. OF SAMPLES 7 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER _____

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heartland ESI

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	X			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	O			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

000032

Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM

(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples)

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1

Type of Review: CLP/Region II Date: 4-28-92 Case #: 91126623

Project: Coltsneck Lab Name: RFW - Lionville

Reviewer's Initials: DJH Number of Samples: 7

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	/245
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	12	0	2	7	14/245
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

000033

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91126623

LAB: RFW - Lionville

SITE: Coltsneck

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	✓		
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMD QCS checklist included with package?	✓		
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	✓		
2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	✓		
3.0 Data Validation Checklist			
The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.			
Does this package contain:			
VOA data?	✓		
BNA data?		✓	
Pesticide/PCB data?		✓	
ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.			

	YES	NO	N/A
PART A: VOA ANALYSES			
1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative			
1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.			
1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the affect on the quality of the data.			
ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).			
ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".			
2.0 Holding Times			
2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded?	___	[✓]	___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			

3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)

	YES	NO	N/A
3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	✓		
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?	✓		
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?		✓	
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	✓		

ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications:

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% : 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".		✓	
4.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)			
4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present?	✓		
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓

ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
0 out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	✓		
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	✓		
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	✓		
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are not used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	✓		
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?		✓	
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ✓ ___ ___

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [✓] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [✓] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

	YES	NO	N/A
lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data erated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration erval.			
abundance criteria been met for each sed?	[✓]		
t all data which do not meet ion abundance teria (attach a separate sheet).			
tuning calibration is in error, flag all ociated sample data as unusable ("R"). ever, if expanded ion criteria are met e 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data iewer may accept data with appropriate lifiers.			
y t[illegible]scription / calculation errors between nd Form Vs? (Check at least two values but e found, check more.)		[✓]	
ropriate number of significant figures (two) d? (Check at least two values, but if errors eck more values.)	[✓]		
large errors exist, call lab for explanation / ubmittal, make necessary corrections and note ors under "Conclusions".			
tra of the mass calibration compound	[✓]		
professional judgement to determine ther associated data should be epted, qualified, or rejected.			
List (TCL) Analytes			
nic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) required header information on each ch of the following:			
nd/[illegible] fractions as appropriate	[✓]		
ikes and matrix spike duplicates	[✓]		
	[✓]		

NO	N/A

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	✓		
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	✓		
b. Blanks	✓		
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?		✓	
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	✓		
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?		✓	
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	✓		

	YES	NO	N/A

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.

11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)

11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)

12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)? [___] ___ ✓

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)

12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05? ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		✓	

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

	YES	NO	N/A
13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	✓		
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	✓		

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?		✓	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	✓		

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
D Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[___]	✓	___

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

Data Validation Report

April 29, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program November, 1991 at the NWS Earle- Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station site. There were eight (8) water samples which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, R. F. Weston Number 9111L522.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the Specific Findings and associated Data Qualifications presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the Narratives. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
19-001-M003	9111L522-001	WATER	X
19-002-M203	9111L522-002	WATER	X
19-002-M003	9111L522-003	WATER	X
19-003-M003	9111L522-004	WATER	X
19-005-M003	9111L522-005	WATER	X
19-006-M003	9111L522-006	WATER	X
19-002-M303	9111L522-007	WATER	X
19-001-M203	9111L522-008	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Dan Heil	Gene Watson

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound RRFs and %RSDs. No qualifications are required.

Continuing Calibrations

The one (1) continuing calibration that was analyzed with this data package was acceptable for all compound RRFs and %Ds. No qualifications are required.

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The one (1) method blank that was analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

1. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   methylene chloride - U

   19-002-M203
   19-006-M003
   19-002-M303
   19-001-M230

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples were within QA/QC limits. No qualifications are required.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

No qualifications are required.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

Specific findings:

2. For the samples listed below, the reported result for acetone is above the linear range and flagged with an "E" qualifier. The result must be qualified as estimated (J).

   All samples except
   19-002-M003

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
19-002-M203 19-006-M003 19-002-M303 19-001-M230	methylene chloride	+B	U	1
All samples except 19-002-M003	acetone	+E	EJ	2

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory
QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm
+ in the DL column denotes a positive result
- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

19-001-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKBQ14

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	U
67-64-1	Acetone	20000	E
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-001-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0013

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKBQ14

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

19-002-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AK3Q15

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	8	BU
67-64-1	Acetone	310	EJ
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-002-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0013

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-002

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKBQ15

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

19-002-M003

Lab Name: Rov F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKBQ16

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	U
67-64-1	Acetone	89	
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-002-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0013

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AK3Q16

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

19-003-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKBQ17

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	U
67-64-1	Acetone	13000	E
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-003-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0013

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-004

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKBQ17

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

19-005-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKBQ18

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	U
67-64-1	Acetone	500	E J
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-005-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0013

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-005

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKBQ18

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

19-006-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKBQ19

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	6	B
67-64-1	Acetone	33000	E
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

000044

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-006-M003

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0013

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-006

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKEQ19

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

19-002-M303

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKBQ20

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	9	B U
67-64-1	Acetone	680	E J
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-002-M303

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0013

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-007

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKBQ20

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

0000057 EPA SAMPLE NO.

19-001-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-008

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKBQ21

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	6	B
67-64-1	Acetone	22000	E
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

19-001-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0013

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER

Lab Sample ID: 9111L522-008

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: AKBQ21

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 11/22/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____

Date Analyzed: 11/26/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91IL522 SDG No. _____ LABORATORY RFW SITE Coltsneck

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: Daniel J. Neil Date: 4/28/1992

Verified By: Eugene M. Watts Date: 4/29/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

DH 2-28-92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

VBIK CH_2Cl_2 - 2

	CH_2Cl_2
001-M003	NO
002-M203	U
002-M003	NO
003	NO
005	NO
006	U
002-M303	U
001-M203	U

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

None

C) Water blank contamination

NONE

D) Trip blank contamination

NONE

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

DJH 4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No Action

4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

No Action

DJH 4/28/92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

DJH 4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

19-001-M003	Acetone	20,000 mg/l	E
19-002-M203		310 mg/l	E
19-003-M003		13,000	E
19-005-M003		500	E
19-006-M003		33,000	E
19-002-M303		680	E
19-001-M203		22,000	E

All except 19-002-M003

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

NONE

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Fair

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS____NON-COMPLIANCE:

None

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

None

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI

Region _____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 91IIL522

LABORATORY R. F. Weston

SDG NO. _____

DATA USER R. F. Weston

SOW 2/88 RWS

REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 4.28.92

NO. OF SAMPLES 8 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER _____

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heart Land E.J.I

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	O			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	O			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	X			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	O			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

000034

489

Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM
(No. of Compounds/Ro[redacted] Fractions (Samples)

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February [redacted]

Type of Review: CLP / Region II
Date: 4-28-92
Case #: 9111L522
Project: Coltsneck
Lab Name: RFW-Lionville
Reviewer's Initials: DJH
Number of Samples: 8

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0 /280
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	4	0	7	8	11 /280
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

000035

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 9111L522

LAB: RFW - Louisville

SITE: Coltsneck

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	✓		
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMO QCS checklist included with package?	✓		
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	✓		
.2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	✓		
3.0 Data Validation Checklist			
The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.			
Does this package contain:			
VOA data?	✓		
BNA data?		✓	
Pesticide/PCB data?		✓	
ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.			

	YES	NO	N/A
PART A: VOA ANALYSES			
1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative			
1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.			
1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.			
ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).			
ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".			
2.0 Holding Times			
2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded?	___	[✓]	___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			

3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)

	YES	NO	N/A
3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓

ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.

	YES	NO	N/A
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	✓		

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

	YES	NO	N/A
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?		✓	
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?	✓		
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	✓		

ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications:

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% :			
1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R").			
Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II?		✓	
ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
4.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)			
4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present?	✓	✓	
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water			✓
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.			

No MS/MSD

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	✓		
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	✓		
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	✓		
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are <u>not</u> used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	✓		
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?		✓	
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ✓ ___ ___

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 <u>GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)</u>

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [ ] ✓ ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [ ] ✓ ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [ ] ✓ ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[✓]	___
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

	YES	NO	N/A
7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

	YES	NO	N/A
8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[✓]	___	___
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[___]	___	✓
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[✓]	___	___
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[✓]	___	___
Resolution	[✓]	___	___
Peak shape	[✓]	___	___
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[✓]	___	___
Other:_____	[___]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]	___	___
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	✓		
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate			
b. Blanks	✓		
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?		✓	
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	✓		
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?		✓	
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	✓		

	YES	NO	N/A
ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.			
11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)			
11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)			
12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)			
12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").			

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		✓	

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

	YES	NO	N/A
13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	✓		
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	✓		

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?		✓	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

	YES	NO	N/A
13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?		✓	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
1 Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[ ]		✓

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

Data Validation Report

April 29, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program December 2 and 3, 1991 at the NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station site. There were two (2) water samples which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, R. F. Weston Number 9112L608.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The VOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the Specific Findings and associated Data Qualifications presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the Narratives. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	VOA
03-005-M003	9112L608-001	WATER	X
03-007-M003	9112L608-002	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Dan Heil	Gene Watson

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

VOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the BFB tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibration that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound %RSDs.

Specific findings:

1. For all of the samples, the initial calibration analyzed on 12/06/91 contained the following compounds with RRFs less than 0.05. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J) and reject (R) all non detect results.

   2-butanone

Continuing Calibrations

No continuing calibrations were associated with this SDG.

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The one (1) method blank that was analyzed exhibited contamination for methylene chloride and acetone. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Method Blanks (continued)

Specific Findings:

2. The following samples have been qualified for methylene chloride and acetone blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   <u>methylene chloride - CRQL</u>

   03-005-M003

   <u>acetone - No Action</u>

   All samples

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples were within QA/QC limits. No qualifications are required.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

A MS/MSD was not was analyzed with this SDG.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

Specific findings:

3. For the samples listed below, the reported result for acetone is above the linear range and flagged with an "E" qualifier. The result must be qualified as estimated (J).

   03-005-M003

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
All samples	2-butanone	+/-	J/R	1
03-005-M003	methylene chloride	+BJ	CRQL	2
All samples	acetone	+B	NA	2
03-005-M003	acetone	+E	EJ	3

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory
QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm
+ in the DL column denotes a positive result
- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1A 0000015 EPA SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

03-005-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L608-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKC610

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	~~5~~ 3	JB U 2
67-64-1	Acetone	1200	E J 3
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	~~10~~	~~U~~ R 1
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	11	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

EPA SAMPLE NO.

03-005-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L608-001

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AXC610

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

03-007-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L608-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AXC611

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
74-87-3	Chloromethane	10	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	10	U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	10	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	10	U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	U
67-64-1	Acetone	190	B
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	10	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10	U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	10	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	U
100-42-5	Styrene	5	U
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	5	U

1E

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

EPA SAMPLE NO.

03-007-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Contract: 1771-15-03-

Lab Code: WESTON Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L608-003

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: AKC611

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/04/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 12/06/91

Column: (pack/cap) CAP Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 0

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q
1.				

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91121608 SDG No. _____ LABORATORY RFW SITE Coltsneck

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: [signature] Date: 4/28/1992

Verified By: [signature] Date: 4/28/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

DH 4/28/92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

			CH_2Cl_2	Acetone
VBLK	CH_2Cl_2 - 3	005-M003	CRQL	NA
	Acetone - 4	~~005~~ 007-M003	NO	190 NA

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

NONE

C) Water blank contamination

NONE

D) Trip blank contamination

NONE

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

DJH 4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

Initial Cal 12/06/91 VBIK 03-005-M003 08-007-M003

2-butanone 0.040

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

No Action

DJA 4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No Action

DJH 2/28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ±30 seconds from the associated calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are to be qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No Action

DJH 4-28-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

03-005-M003 1200 ug/l E Acetone

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

NoNE

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Fair

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS____NON-COMPLIANCE:

The samples were calculated using the Responce factor from the 50 standard not the average Responce factor from the curve.

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

NoNE

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI

Region _____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 91126608

LABORATORY R.F. Weston

SDG NO. _____

DATA USER R.F. Weston

SOW 2/88 RWS

REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 4.28.92

NO. OF SAMPLES 2 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER _____

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heart Laboratories

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES	O			
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE	O			
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS	O			
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS	X			
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)	F			
6. LABORATORY BLANKS	O			
7. SURROGATES	O			
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES	O			
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)	F			
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS	O			
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	O			
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	O			
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	O			
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	O			

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

000022

Attachment 3

REJECTION SUMMARY FORM

(No. of Compounds/No. of Fractions (Samples)

SOP NO: HW-6
Date: February 1

Type of Review: CLP/Region II Date: 4-28-92 Case #: 91126608

Project: Cattsnack Lab Name: RFW - Lionville

Reviewer's Initials: DJH Number of Samples: 2

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria:

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2/70
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)								
B/N (50)								
VOA (35)	0	0	0	3	0	1	2	3/70
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

000023

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91121608

LAB: RFW - Lionville

SITE: Coltsneck

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	✓		
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMD QCS checklist included with package?	✓		
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	✓		
.2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	✓		

3.0 Data Validation Checklist

The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.

Does this package contain:

VOA data?	✓	
BNA data?		✓
Pesticide/PCB data?		✓

ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.

	YES	NO	N/A
PART A: VOA ANALYSES			
1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative			
1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.			
1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.			
ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).			
ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".			
2.0 Holding Times			
2.1 Have any VOA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded?	___	[✓]	___

If unpreserved, aqueous aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 7 days of collection and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If preserved with hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C, then both aromatic and non-aromatic volatiles must be analyzed within 14 days. If uncertain about preservation, contact the sampler to determine whether the samples were preserved.

A ten-day holding time for soil samples is recommended.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Preserved ?	(See Traffic Report) Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			
3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)			
3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
3.2 Are all the VOA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	✓		
b. Med Water			✓
c. Low Soil			✓
d. Med Soil			✓
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	✓		
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Was one or more VOA surrogate recovery outside of contract specifications for any sample or method blank?		✓	
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?	✓		
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	✓		
ACTION: If surrogate recoveries are > 10% but all do not meet SOW specifications: 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").			

	YES	NO	N/A
If any surrogate has a recovery of <10% : 1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J"). 2. Flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".	___	[✓]	___
4.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)			
4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present?	[___]	✓	___
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[___]	___	✓
b. Med Water	[___]	___	✓
c. Low Soil	[___]	___	✓
d. Med Soil	[___]	___	✓
ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.			NO MS/MSD

4.3 How many VOA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 10	_____ out of 10

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
_____ out of 5	_____ out of 5

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples in the package.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	✓		
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of VOA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	✓		
5.3 Has a VOA instrument blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each GC/MS system used?	✓		
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are not used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	✓		
6.2 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)?		✓	
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Methylene chloride Acetone Toluene 2-butanone	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? [✓] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? [✓] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met. (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[✓]	___
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

	YES	NO	N/A
7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	[✓]	___	___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

	YES	NO	N/A
8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I VOA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]	___	___
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	[✓]	___	___
c. Blanks	[✓]	___	___

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	[✓]		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	[ ]		✓
c. Blanks	[✓]		
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?	[✓]		
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:			
Baseline stability	[✓]		
Resolution	[✓]		
Peak shape	[✓]		
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	[✓]		
Other: _____	[ ]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?	[✓]		
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	[✓]		
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[✓]		
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	[✓]		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	✓		
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	✓		
b. Blanks	✓		
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?		✓	
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	✓		
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
D.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?		✓	
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?	✓		

	YES	NO	N/A
ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.			
11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)			
11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration?	✓		
ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)			
12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	✓		
ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.2 Are response factors stable for volatiles over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)?	✓		
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)			
12.3 Do any compounds have an average RRF < 0.05?	✓		
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: If any volatile compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").			

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)	___	[✓]	___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	[ ]	___	✓
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	[ ]	___	✓

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?	___	[ ]	✓

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any volatile compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	___	[ ]	✓

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [___] ✓

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___ 4-28-92

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [✓] ___ ___ 4-28-92

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
0 Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for VOA analysis?	[ ]	✓	

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

Data Validation Report

April 29, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program October 31, 1991 at the NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station site. There were two (2) water samples with one (1) MS/MSD which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, R. F. Weston Number 9112L581.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The SVOA CLP fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements, Region II SOP NO. HW-6, March, 1990 requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the Specific Findings and associated Data Qualifications presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the Narratives. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	SVOA
03-006-M003	9112L581-001	WATER	X
03-006-M003MS	9112L581-001	WATER	X
03-006-M003M6D	9112L581-001	WATER	X
03-006-M203	9112L581-002	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
VOA -	Volatile Analysis	Gene Watson	Paul Humburg

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, GC/MS performance, tuning results, calibration results and internal standard areas. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the U.S. EPA CLP, 2/88 SOW; the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, and the Region II SOP No. HW-6, March, 1990 Revision. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (Form I's).

Holding Times

The holding times for all of the samples were met per the Organic Functional Guidelines and the CLP SOW. No qualifications are required.

Tuning

All of the DFTPP tunes in the initial and continuing calibrations met the percent relative abundance criteria of the SOW and the Organic Functional Guidelines. No qualifications are required.

Initial Calibrations

The one (1) initial calibrations that was analyzed by the laboratory for these samples was acceptable for all compound %RSDs and RRFs.

Continuing Calibrations

The one (1) continuing calibration that was analyzed with the sample in this data package was acceptable for all TCL RRFs.

Specific findings:

1. For all the samples, the continuing calibration, S121302, contained the following compounds with %DS greater than 25%, but less than 50%. Qualify all positive results for these compounds as estimated (J).
   - 4-nitroaniline
   - pyrene
   - benzo(b)fluoranthene

Internal Standards

All of the sample and blank internal standard EICP areas met the EICP internal standard area QA/QC criteria. No qualifications are required.

Method Blanks

The one (1) extraction blank that was analyzed exhibited contamination for di-n-butyl phthalate. The method blank results will be compared to their associated samples. Refer to the glossary of data qualifiers for a list and definition of the method blank qualifiers: CRQL, U and No Action.

Specific Findings:

2. The following samples have been qualified for di-n-butyl phthalate blank contamination. The qualifications are for all the blanks.

   di-n-butylphthalate - CRQL

   All samples

3. All TICs that are flagged "JB" are rejected due to blank contamination.

Surrogates

All of the surrogate recoveries for the samples were within QA/QC limits. No qualifications are required.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

The MS/MSD recoveries and %RPDs for all of the spiking compounds, with the exception of one (1) recovery and one (1) RPD, were acceptable. No qualifications are required for the non compliant recovery and RPD because they were above the advisory limits.

Compound Identification/Quantitation

No qualifications are required.

System Performance and Overall Assessment

The overall system performance was fair. The laboratory did not encounter any large problems. The data reviewer estimates that less than 5% of the data is qualified.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
All samples	4-nitroaniline pyrene benzo(b)fluoranthene	+	J	1
All samples	di-n-butyl-phthalate	+JB	CRQL	2
All samples	TICs	JB	R	3

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory
QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm
+ in the DL column denotes a positive result
- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

1B

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

03-006-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L581-001

Sample wt/vol: 990 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: S121305

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/02/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ dec. Date Extracted: 12/04/91

Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) CONT Date Analyzed: 12/13/91

GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0 Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
108-95-2	Phenol	10	U
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	10	U
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	10	U
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	10	U
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	10	U
100-51-6	Benzyl alcohol	10	U
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	10	U
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	10	U
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	10	U
106-44-5	4-Methylphenol	10	U
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-Di-n-propylamine	10	U
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	10	U
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	10	U
78-59-1	Isophorone	10	U
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	10	U
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	10	U
65-85-0	Benzoic acid	50	U
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	10	U
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	10	U
120-82-1	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	10	U
91-20-3	Naphthalene	10	U
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	10	U
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	10	U
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	10	U
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	10	U
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	10	U
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	10	U
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	50	U
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	10	U
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	50	U
131-11-3	Dimethylphthalate	10	U
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	10	U
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	10	U

1C

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

03-006-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L581-001

Sample wt/vol: 990 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: S121305

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/02/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ dec. Date Extracted: 12/04/91

Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) CONT Date Analyzed: 12/13/91

GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0 Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	50	U
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	10	U
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	50	U
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	50	U
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	10	U
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	10	U
84-66-2	Diethylphthalate	10	U
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	10	U
86-73-7	Fluorene	10	U
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	50	U
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	50	U
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)	10	U
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	10	U
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	10	U
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	50	U
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	10	U
120-12-7	Anthracene	10	U
84-74-2	Di-n-Butylphthalate	10.2	~~JB~~ U
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	10	U
129-00-0	Pyrene	10	U
85-68-7	Butylbenzylphthalate	10	U
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	20	U
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	10	U
218-01-9	Chrysene	10	U
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	10	U
117-84-0	Di-n-Octyl phthalate	10	U
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	10	U
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	10	U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	10	U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	10	U
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	10	U
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	10	U

(1) - Cannot be separated from Diphenylamine

1F

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

03-006-M003

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L581-001

Sample wt/vol: 990 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: S121305

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/02/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ dec. Date Extracted: 12/04/91

Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) CONT Date Analyzed: 12/13/91

GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0 Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 2

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q	
1.	TRICHLOROPROPENE	6.12	20	~~JB~~	R3
2.	UNKNOWN	9.52	5	~~JB~~	R3

1B

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

03-006-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L581-002

Sample wt/vol: 890 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: S121308

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/02/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ dec. Date Extracted: 12/04/91

Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) CONT Date Analyzed: 12/13/91

GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0 Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
108-95-2	Phenol	11	U
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	11	U
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	11	U
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	11	U
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	11	U
100-51-6	Benzyl alcohol	11	U
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	11	U
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	11	U
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	11	U
106-44-5	4-Methylphenol	11	U
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-Di-n-propylamine	11	U
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	11	U
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	11	U
78-59-1	Isophorone	11	U
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	11	U
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	11	U
65-85-0	Benzoic acid	55	U
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	11	U
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	11	U
120-82-1	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	11	U
91-20-3	Naphthalene	11	U
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	11	U
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	11	U
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	11	U
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	11	U
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	11	U
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	11	U
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	55	U
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	11	U
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	55	U
131-11-3	Dimethylphthalate	11	U
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	11	U
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	11	U

1C

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

03-006-M203

Lab Name: Rov F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L581-002

Sample wt/vol: 890 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: S121308

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/02/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ dec. Date Extracted: 12/04/91

Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) CONT Date Analyzed: 12/13/91

GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0 Dilution Factor: 1.00

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	55	U
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	11	U
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	55	U
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	55	U
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	11	U
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	11	U
84-66-2	Diethylphthalate	26	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	11	U
86-73-7	Fluorene	11	U
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	55	U
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	55	U
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)	11	U
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	11	U
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	11	U
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	55	U
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	11	U
120-12-7	Anthracene	11	U
84-74-2	Di-n-Butylphthalate	11 ~~2~~	~~JB~~ U 2
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	11	U
129-00-0	Pyrene	11	U
85-68-7	Butylbenzylphthalate	11	U
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	22	U
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	11	U
218-01-9	Chrysene	11	U
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	11	U
117-84-0	Di-n-Octyl phthalate	11	U
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	11	U
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	11	U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	11	U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	11	U
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	11	U
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	11	U

(1) - Cannot be separated from Diphenylamine

1F

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS SHEET
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

03-006-M203

Lab Name: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000

Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK

Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: 9112L581-002

Sample wt/vol: 890 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: S121308

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 12/02/91

% Moisture: not dec. _____ dec. Date Extracted: 12/04/91

Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) CONT Date Analyzed: 12/13/91

GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0 Dilution Factor: 1.00

Number TICs found: 2

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
(ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/L

CAS NUMBER	COMPOUND NAME	RT	EST. CONC.	Q	
1.	TRICHLOROPROPENE	6.13	20	JB	R3
2.	UNKNOWN	9.52	6	JB	R3

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE __OF__

TOTAL REVIEW

CLP DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis

Case No. 91121581 SDG No._____ LABORATORY R.F. Weston SITE Coltsneck

DATA ASSESSMENT:

The current functional guidelines for evaluating organic data have been applied.

All data are valid and acceptable except those analytes which have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "U" (non-detects), "R" (unusable), or "NJ" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value). All action is detailed on the attached sheets.

Reviewer's Signature: Eugene Mulhaffer Date: 4/29/1992

Verified By: Stephen D. Langdon Date: 4/29/1992

DATA ASSESSMENT:

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects sample quantitation limits will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No Action

AMW
4.29.92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

2. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip field, rinse and water blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross- contamination of samples during field operations. Water blanks measure potential contamination of the distilled water used used during decontamination of field equipment. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times (10 times for the common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non- detects, "U". The following analytes in the samples shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination

SBLK - Di-n butylphthalate 3ug/L
03-006-M003 - di-n.butylphthalate - CRQL
03-006-M203 "
reject TIC w/ JB

B) Field or rinse blank contamination

N/A

C) Water blank contamination

N/A

D) Trip blank contamination

N/A

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

3. SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure mass resolution, identification of compounds, and to some degree, the instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles is decafluorotriphenyl- phosphine.

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable, "R".

No Action

KWW 4-28-92

ATACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

4. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance in the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) RESPONSE FACTOR:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be $\geq$ 0.05 either in the initial or continuing calibration. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem. Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be qualified as rejected, "R".

No Action

4.29.92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. WH-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

5. CALIBRATION:

A) PERCENT RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (%RSD) AND PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If there is a gross deviation of %RSD and %D, the non-detects may be qualified as rejected, "R".

For the PCB/PESTICIDE fraction, %RSD for aldrin, endrin, DDT, and dibutylchlorendate must not exceed 10%. Percent D must be within 15% on the quantitation column and 20% on the confirmation column.

Initial Cal - no Action

Cont. Cal. 5/21/02 - all samples.

4-Nitroaniline 33.8%

Pyrene -33.1%

Benzo (b) fluoroanthene -39.8%

DATA ASSESSMENT:

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation in order to evaluate the laboratory performance and to estimate the efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentration is outside of the contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

4.29.92

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

DATA ASSESSMENT:

7. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria en GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during ever run. The internal standard area count must not var a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associate standard. The retention time of the internal stan vary more than ±30 seconds from the associate standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to of the associated standard, all of the positive compounds quantitated using that IS are to be estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ" or "R" severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment either partial or total rejection of the data for fraction.

No Action

4-29-92

DATA ASSESSMENT:

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) VOLATILE AND SEMI-VOLATILE FRACTIONS:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary M/E lines within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds, TIC, the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not a perfect ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

B) PESTICIDE FRACTION:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10 ng/uL in the final sample extract.

No Action

SMW 4.22.92

MS/MSD —
one spike — greater than high limit
one % RSD out — no action required.

ATTACHMENT 1
SOP NO. HW-6

PAGE__OF__

DATA ASSESSMENT:

10. OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION:

None

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Fair

12. CONTRACT PROBLEMS 0 NON-COMPLIANCE:

13. This package contains re-extraction, re-analysis or dilution. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following form I(s) are identified to be used.

None.

DPO: [ ] ACTION [ ] FYI

Region_____

ORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

CASE NO. 91121581

SDG NO. _____

SOW 2/88 Rev

LABORATORY R.F. Weston

DATA USER R.F. Weston

REVIEW COMPLETION DATE 7-29-92

NO. OF SAMPLES 2 WATER _____ SOIL _____ OTHER _____

REVIEWER [ ] ESD [ ] ESAT [X] OTHER, CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR Heartland ESI

	VOA	BNA	PEST	OTHER
1. HOLDING TIMES		O		
2. GC-MS TUNE/ GC PERFORMANCE		O		
3. INITIAL CALIBRATIONS		O		
4. CONTINUING CALIBRATIONS		O		
5. FIELD BLANKS ('F' = not applicable)		F		
6. LABORATORY BLANKS		O		
7. SURROGATES		O		
8. MATRIX SPIKE/DUPLICATES		O		
9. REGIONAL QC ('F' = not applicable)		F		
10. INTERNAL STANDARDS		O		
11. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION		O		
12. COMPOUND QUANTITATION		O		
13. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE		O		
14. OVERALL ASSESSMENT		O		

O = No problems or minor problems that do not affect data usability.
X = No more than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as either estimated or unusable.
M = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as estimated.
Z = More than *about* 5% of the data points are qualified as unusable.

DPO ACTION ITEMS: _____

AREAS OF CONCERN: _____

000024

Project: Coltsmech

Lab Name: RF Weston

Reviewer's Initials: SMW

Number of Samples: 2

CASE: 91121581

Analytes Rejected Due to Exceeding Review Criteria: LAB: R.F Weston.

	Surrogates	Holding Time	Calibration	Contamination	ID	Other	Total # Samples	Total # Rejected/ Total # in all Samples
Acids (15)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0/30
B/N (50)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0/100
VOA (35)								
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

Analytes Estimated Due to Exceeding Review Criteria for:

Acids (15)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0/30
B/N (50)	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2/100
VOA (35)								
PEST (20)								
PCB (7)								
TCDD (1)								

000025

PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: 91/2L581

LAB: R.F Weston

SITE: Koltsmeck.

	YES	NO	N/A
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables			
1.1 Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package.	[ ]	X	
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-compliance" section of reviewer narrative.			
1.2 Was SMO CCS checklist included with package?	[ ]	X	
2.0 Cover Letter/Case Narrative			
2.1 Is the Narrative or Cover Letter present?	[X]		
2.2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover Letter?	[X]		
3.0 Data Validation Checklist			
The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any VOA analyses, Part B for any BNA analyses and Part C for Pesticide/PCBs.			
Does this package contain:			
VOA data?		X	
BNA data?	X		
Pesticide/PCB data?		X	
ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.			

	YES	NO	N/A

PART B: BNA ANALYSES

_0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative

1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.

1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data? ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to evaluate the effect on the quality of the data.

ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil contains more than 50% water, all data should be flagged as estimated (J).

_0 Holding Times

1 Have any BNA holding times, determined from date of collection to date of extraction, been exceeded? ___ [✓] ___

Samples for BNA analysis, both soils and waters, must be extracted within seven days of the date of collection. Extracts must be analyzed within 40 days of the date of extraction.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Date Sampled	(See Traffic Report) Date Lab Received	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed

4.29.92

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

	YES	NO	N/A
If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. The reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R").			
.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)			
3.1 Are the BNA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II) present for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[✓]		
b. Med Water	[ ]		✓
c. Low Soil	[ ]		✓
d. Med Soil	[ ]		✓
3.2 Are all the BNA samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summaries for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[✓]		
b. Med Water	[ ]		✓
c. Low Soil	[ ]		✓
d. Med Soil	[ ]		✓
ACTION: Call lab for explanation / resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect on data under "Conclusions" section of reviewer narrative.			
3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk?	[ ]		✓
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
3.4 Were two or more base-neutral OR acid surrogate recoveries out of specification for any sample or method blank?		[ ]	✓
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?	[ ]		✓
Were method blanks reanalyzed?	[ ]		✓
ACTION: If all BNA surrogate recoveries are > 10% but two within the base-neutral or acid fraction do not meet SOW specifications, for the affected fraction only (i.e. base-neutral OR acid compounds):			
1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").			
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ").			

	YES	NO	N/A
If any base-neutral or acid surrogate has a recovery of <10% : 1. Flag all positive results for that fraction (i.e. all acid or base-neutral compounds) "J". 2. Flag all non-detects for that fraction "R". Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and re-analyses. Check the internal standard areas.			
3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".	___	[✓]	___
4.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)			
4.1 Is the Matrix Spike Duplicate/Recovery Form (Form III) present?	[✓]	___	___
4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:			
a. Low Water	[✓]	___	___
b. Med Water	[___]	___	✓
c. Low Soil	[___]	___	✓
d. Med Soil	[___]	___	✓
ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.			

4.3 How many BNA spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
1 out of 22	N/A out of 22

4.4 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water	Soils
1 out of 11	N/A out of 11

ACTION: If MS and MSD both have less than 10% recovery for an analyte, negative results for that analyte should be rejected, and positive results should be flagged "J". The above applies only to the sample used for MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgement in applying this criterion to other samples.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Blanks (Form IV)			
5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?	✓		
5.2 Frequency of Analysis: for the analysis of BNA TCL compounds, has a reagent/method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or every 20 samples of similar matrix (low water, med water, low soil, medium soil), whichever is more frequent?	✓		
5.3 Has a BNA ~~instrument~~ Method blank been analyzed for each GS/MS system used.	✓		
ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation/resubmittal. If not available, reject all associated positive data ("R").			
5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.			
Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for VOAs?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.			
6.0 Contamination			
NOTE: "Water blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are not used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.			
6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for BNAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample Dilution Factor.	✓	✗	
6.2 Do any field/rinse blanks have positive BNA results (TCL and/or TIC)?			✓
ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)			
NOTE: Only field/rinse blanks taken the same day as the samples are used to qualify data. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Blanks may be qualified for surrogate, spectral, tuning or calibration QC problems.			

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & >10x blank value
Common Phthalate Esters	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants	Flag sample result with a 'U'; cross out 'B' flag	Reject sample result and report CRQL; cross out 'B' flag	No qualification is needed

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] ___ [✓]

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

.0 GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration (Form V)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Tuning and Mass Calibration Forms (Form V) present for Decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP)? [✓] ___ ___

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the DFTPP provided for each twelve hour shift? [✓] ___ ___

7.3 Has a tuning performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: If any tuning data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

	YES	NO	N/A
7.4 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	✓		

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If tuning calibration is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R"). However, if expanded ion criteria are met (See 1988 Functional Guidelines), the data reviewer may accept data with appropriate qualifiers.

5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		✓	
7.6 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more values.)	✓		

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

7.7 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	✓		

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes

8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I BNA) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	✓		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates	✓		
c. Blanks	✓		

000032

	YES	NO	N/A
8.2 Are the BNA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	✓		
b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (Mass spectra not required)	✓		
c. Blanks	✓		
ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report?		✓	
8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:	Q i.m		
Baseline stability	✓		
Resolution	✓		
Peak shape	✓		
Full-scale graph (attenuation)	✓		
Other:			✓
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.			
8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified BNA compounds present for each sample?	✓		
ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If Lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in "Contract Problems/Non-compliance".			
8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	✓		
8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	✓		
8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected, flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (at the calculated detection limit).			

	YES	NO	N/A
9. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)			
9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "J" qualifier?	✓		
9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:			
a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate	✓		
b. Blanks	✓		
ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
ACTION: Add "J" qualifier if missing and "N" qualifier to all identified TIC compounds on Form I, Part B.			
9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene—a VOA TCL—and should not be reported as a TIC)?	✓	✗	
ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.			
9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	✓		
9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	✓		
ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate.			
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
10.1 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?		✓	
10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?			✓

Low (TIC) in blank.

	YES	NO	N/A
ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".			
ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with data from the analysis of diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.			
11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)			
11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)			
12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the BNA fraction?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.			
12.2 Are response factors stable for BNAs over the concentration range of the calibration (RSD <30%)?	[✓]	___	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: When RSD >30%, non-detects may be qualified using professional judgement. Flag all positive results "J". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detects as unusable ("R"). (Region II policy.)			
12.3 Do any compounds have a RRF < 0.05?	___	[✓]	___
ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.			
ACTION: If any BNA compound has an average RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").			

	YES	NO	N/A
12.4 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or %RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)		✓	

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII)

13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the BNA fraction?	✓		
13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?	✓		

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of the previous continuing calibration analysis.

_____

_____

_____

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab for explanation / resubmittal. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

13.3 Do any continuing calibration standard compounds have a RRF < 0.05?		✓	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any BNA compound has a RRF < 0.05, flag positive results for that compound as estimated ("J"), and flag non-detects for that compound as unusable ("R").

13.4 Do any compounds have a % difference between initial and continuing calibration RRF > 25%?	✓		

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red and qualify associated sample data as outlined in the table below:

YES NO N/A

% DIFFERENCE

25-50	50-90	>90
'J' positive results, no action for non detects	'J' positive results, 'UJ' non detects	'J' positive results, "R" non detects

13.5 Are there any transcription / calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ___ [✓] ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation / resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

14.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: List all the outliers below.

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

ACTION: If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard. If extremely low area counts are reported, or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable ("R").

14.2 Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? [✓] ___ ___

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

	YES	NO	N/A
5.0 Field Duplicates			
15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for BNA analysis?	[ ]	___	✓

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

Data Validation Report

April 29, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program November 26, 1991 at the NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station site. The was one (1) water sample which was received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, R. F. Weston Number 9111L548.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The Nitroaromatic fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the Specific Findings and associated Data Qualifications presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the Narratives. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	NITRO
11-005-M003	9111L548-001	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
NITRO-	USATHAMA Nitroaromatics	Gene Watson	Paul Humburg

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

PICRIC ACID ANALYSIS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, matrix spike recoveries, HPLC performance, blank spike results and calibration results. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the Roy F. Weston Analytical Method for Picric Acid by HPLC and Region II Data Validation Deliverable Guidelines. However, due to the fact this package does not require the submission of true Form Is, Heartland ESI will submit the laboratory summary in place of the Form I's in the data validation package. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (laboratory summary). Please refer the specific findings found in each category to the Summary of Data Qualifications table.

Holding times

The methodology supplied by Roy F. Weston Laboratories does not state a specified holding time criteria. However, the samples were extracted and analyzed within the holding time criteria set forth by the explosives methodologies. No action is required.

HPLC performance

The system performance of the HPLC was good. The instrument did not exhibit any major problems.

Initial calibrations

The initial calibration performed by the laboratory is acceptable per the methodology.

Continuing calibrations

No continuing calibrations associated with this sample batch.

Method blanks

The method blank did not exhibit contamination for the target explosive compounds.

PICRIC ACID ANALYSIS

MS/MSD analysis

The laboratory did not analyze a MS/MSD, only a BS/BSD. Heartland ESI had to use good professional judgement to evaluate the BS/BSD results due to the fact that the protocol does not have set QA/QC limits for the recoveries of the spike compounds. The BSD did not exhibit acceptable recoveries for picric acid (the recovery was low (18%)). Since all the results were non detects, Heartland ESI will qualify all the non detects as estimated (UJ).

Specific findings:

1. For all samples, qualify the non detect results for picric acid as estimated (UJ) due to a low BSD recovery.

Method specific QA/QC

There is no method specific QA/QC.

Compound identification/quantitation

No positive results were reported.

Overall assessment

The overall quality of the data package is good.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
All samples	picric acid	-	UJ	1

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory
QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm
+ in the DL column denotes a positive result
- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

Roy F. Weston, Inc. - Lionville Laboratory

Picric Acid by HPLC

RFW Batch Number: 9111L548 Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000 Report Date: 12/27/91 16:18 Page: 1

	Cust ID:	11-005-M003	BLK	BLK BS	BLK BSD
Sample Information	RFW#:	003	91LLC098-MB1	91LLC098-MB1	91LLC098-MB1
	Matrix:	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER
	D.F.:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Units:	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L

Picric Acid	8.84	U	U5/	8.22	U	U5/	41	%		18	%						

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not requested. NS= Not spiked.
%= Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. *= Outside of EPA CLP QC

000007

000002

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

Data Validation Report

April 29, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program December 4, 1991 at the NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station site. The was one (1) water sample which was received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, R. F. Weston Number 9112L606.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The Nitroaromatic fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the Specific Findings and associated Data Qualifications presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the Narratives. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	NITRO
02-003-M003	9112L606-001	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
NITRO-	USATHAMA Nitroaromatics	Gene Watson	Paul Humburg

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

PICRIC ACID ANALYSIS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, matrix spike recoveries, HPLC performance, blank spike results and calibration results. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the Roy F. Weston Analytical Method for Picric Acid by HPLC and Region II Data Validation Deliverable Guidelines. However, due to the fact this package does not require the submission of true Form Is, Heartland ESI will submit the laboratory summary in place of the Form I's in the data validation package. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (laboratory summary). Please refer the specific findings found in each category to the Summary of Data Qualifications table.

Holding times

The methodology supplied by Roy F. Weston Laboratories does not state a specified holding time criteria. However, the samples were extracted and analyzed within the holding time criteria set forth by the explosives methodologies. No action is required.

HPLC performance

The system performance of the HPLC was good. The instrument did not exhibit any major problems.

Initial calibrations

The initial calibration performed by the laboratory is acceptable per the methodology.

Continuing calibrations

No continuing calibrations associated with this sample batch.

Method blanks

The method blank did not exhibit contamination for the target explosive compounds.

PICRIC ACID ANALYSIS

MS/MSD analysis

The laboratory did not analyze a MS/MSD, only a BS/BSD. Heartland ESI had to use good professional judgement to evaluate the BS/BSD results due to the fact that the protocol does not have set QA/QC limits for the recoveries of the spike compounds. The BS/BSD did not exhibit acceptable recoveries for picric acid (the recoveries were low (19% and 30% respectively)). Since all the results were non detects, Heartland ESI will qualify all the non detects as estimated (UJ).

Specific findings:

1. For all samples, qualify the non detect results for picric acid as estimated (UJ) due to low BS/BSD recoveries.

Method specific QA/QC

There is no method specific QA/QC.

Compound identification/quantitation

No positive results were reported.

Overall assessment

The overall quality of the data package is good.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
All samples	picric acid	-	UJ	1

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory
QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm
+ in the DL column denotes a positive result
- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

Roy F. Weston, Inc. - Lionville Laboratory

Picric Acid by HPLC

RFW Batch Number: 9112L606 Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000 Report Date: 12/27/91 16:13 Page: 1

	Cust ID:	02-003-M003	BLK	BLK BS	BLK BSD
Sample Information	RFW#:	002	91LLC102-MB1	91LLC102-MB1	91LLC102-MB1
	Matrix:	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER
	D.F.:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Units:	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
Picric Acid		8.84 U UJ /	8.22 U UJ /	19 %	30 %

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not requested. NS= Not spiked.
%= Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. *= Outside of EPA CLP QC

000003

000007

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

Data Validation Report

April 29, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program December 2, 1991 at the NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station site. The were three (3) water samples which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, R. F. Weston Number 9112L580.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The Nitroaromatic fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the Specific Findings and associated Data Qualifications presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the Narratives. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	NITRO
02-001-M003	9112L580-001	WATER	X
02-001-M203	9112L580-002	WATER	X
02-006-M003	9112L580-003	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
NITRO-	USATHAMA Nitroaromatics	Gene Watson	Paul Humburg

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

PICRIC ACID ANALYSIS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, matrix spike recoveries, HPLC performance, blank spike results and calibration results. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the Roy F. Weston Analytical Method for Picric Acid by HPLC and Region II Data Validation Deliverable Guidelines. However, due to the fact this package does not require the submission of true Form Is, Heartland ESI will submit the laboratory summary in place of the Form I's in the data validation package. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (laboratory summary). Please refer the specific findings found in each category to the Summary of Data Qualifications table.

Holding times

The methodology supplied by Roy F. Weston Laboratories does not state a specified holding time criteria. However, the samples were extracted and analyzed within the holding time criteria set forth by the explosives methodologies. No action is required.

HPLC performance

The system performance of the HPLC was good. The instrument did not exhibit any major problems.

Initial calibrations

The initial calibration performed by the laboratory is acceptable per the methodology.

Continuing calibrations

No continuing calibrations associated with this sample batch.

Method blanks

The method blank did not exhibit contamination for the target explosive compounds.

PICRIC ACID ANALYSIS

MS/MSD analysis

The laboratory did not analyze a MS/MSD, only a BS/BSD. Heartland ESI had to use good professional judgement to evaluate the BS/BSD results due to the fact that the protocol does not have set QA/QC limits for the recoveries of the spike compounds. The BS/BSD did not exhibit acceptable recoveries for picric acid (the recoveries were low (19% and 30% respectively)). Since all the results were non detects, Heartland ESI will qualify all the non detects as estimated (UJ).

Specific findings:

1. For all samples, qualify the non detect results for picric acid as estimated (UJ) due to very low MS/MSD recoveries.

Method specific QA/QC

There is no method specific QA/QC.

Compound identification/quantitation

No positive results were reported.

Overall assessment

The overall quality of the data package is good.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
All samples	picric acid	-	UJ	1

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory
QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm
+ in the DL column denotes a positive result
- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

Roy F. Weston, Inc. - Lionville Laboratory

Picric Acid by HPLC

Report Date: 12/27/91 16:12

RFW Batch Number: 9112L580 Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000 Page: 1

	Cust ID:	02-001-M003	02-001-M203	02-006-M003	BLK	BLK BS	BLK BSD
Sample Information	RFW#:	001	002	003	91LLC102-MB1	91LLC102-MB1	91LLC102-MB1
	Matrix:	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER
	D.F.:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Units:	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
Picric Acid		9.33 U UJ/	9.12 U UJ/	9.66 U UJ/	8.22 U	19 %	30 %

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not requested. NS= Not spiked.
%= Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. *= Outside of EPA CLP QC

000007

000000

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

Data Validation Report

April 29, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program November 2, 1991 at the NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station site. The were five (5) water samples with one (1) MS/MSD which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, R. F. Weston Number 9111L480.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The Nitroaromatic fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the Specific Findings and associated Data Qualifications presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the Narratives. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	NITRO
26-001-M003	9111L480-001	WATER	X
26-001-M103	9111L480-002	WATER	X
26-002-M003	9111L480-003	WATER	X
26-002-M003MS	9111L480-003	WATER	X
26-002-M003MSD	9111L480-003	WATER	X
26-004-M003	9111L480-005	WATER	X
26-004-M203	9111L480-006	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
NITRO-	USATHAMA Nitroaromatics	Gene Watson	Paul Humburg

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

PICRIC ACID ANALYSIS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, matrix spike recoveries, HPLC performance, blank spike results and calibration results. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the Roy F. Weston Analytical Method for Picric Acid by HPLC and Region II Data Validation Deliverable Guidelines. However, due to the fact this package does not require the submission of true Form Is, Heartland ESI will submit the laboratory summary in place of the Form I's in the data validation package. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (laboratory summary). Please refer the specific findings found in each category to the Summary of Data Qualifications table.

Holding times

The methodology supplied by Roy F. Weston Laboratories does not state a specified holding time criteria. However, the samples were extracted and analyzed within the holding time criteria set forth by the explosives methodologies. No action is required.

HPLC performance

The system performance of the HPLC was good. The instrument did not exhibit any major problems.

Initial calibrations

The initial calibration performed by the laboratory is acceptable per the methodology.

Continuing calibrations

No continuing calibrations associated with this sample batch.

Method blanks

The method blank did not exhibit contamination for the target explosive compounds.

PICRIC ACID ANALYSIS

MS/MSD analysis

Heartland ESI had to use good professional judgement to evaluate the MS/MSD results due to the fact that the protocol does not have set QA/QC limits for the recoveries of the spike compounds. The MS/MSD did not exhibit acceptable recoveries for picric acid (the recoveries were very low (<24% recovery)). Since all the results were non detects, Heartland ESI will qualify all the non detects as estimated (UJ).

Specific findings:

1. For all samples, qualify the non detect results for picric acid as estimated (UJ) due to very low MS/MSD recoveries.

Method specific QA/QC

There is no method specific QA/QC.

Compound identification/quantitation

No positive results were reported.

Overall assessment

The overall quality of the data package is good.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS
All samples	picric acid	-	UJ	1

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory
QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm
+ in the DL column denotes a positive result
- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

Roy F. Weston, Inc. - Lionville Laboratory

Picric Acid by HPLC

Report Date: 12/27/91 13:40

RFW Batch Number: 9111L480 Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000 Page: 1

	Cust ID:	26-001-M003	26-001-M103	26-002-M003	26-002-M003	26-002-M003	26-004-M003
Sample Information	RFW#:	001	002	003	003 MS	003 MSD	005
	Matrix:	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER
	D.F.:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Units:	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
Picric Acid		9.04 U UJ /	8.55 U UJ /	9.12 U UJ /	24 %	22 %	9.04 U UJ /

	Cust ID:	26-004-M203	BLK	BLK BS
Sample Information	RFW#:	006	91LLC097-MB1	91LLC097-MB1
	Matrix:	WATER	WATER	WATER
	D.F.:	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Units:	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
Picric Acid		9.78 U UJ /	8.22 U	13 %

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not requested. NS= Not spiked. %= Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. *= Outside of EPA CLP QC

000000

000005

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

Data Validation Report

April 28, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program November 26, 1991 at the NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station site. There was one (1) water sample which was received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, R. F. Weston Number 9111L548.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The Nitroaromatic fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the Specific Findings and associated Data Qualifications presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the Narratives. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	NITRO
11-005-M003	9111L548-001	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
NITRO-	USATHAMA Nitroaromatics	Gene Watson	Paul Humburg

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

EXPLOSIVES ANALYSIS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, matrix spike recoveries, HPLC performance, blank spike results and calibration results. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the USATHAMA/PMRMA Programs Analytical Method for Explosives in Soil by HPLC and Region II Data Validation Deliverable Guidelines. However, due to the fact this package does not require the submission of true Form Is, Heartland ESI will submit the RFW Data Summary in place of the Form I's in the data validation package. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (RFW Data Summary). Please refer the specific findings found in each category to the Summary of Data Qualifications table.

Holding times

All of the extraction (7 days) and analysis (40 days) holding times were met per the USATHAMA/PMRMA protocol.

HPLC performance

The system performance of the HPLC was good. The instrument did not exhibit any major problems.

Initial calibrations

The laboratory did not calibrate the instrument per the USATHAMA/PMRMA protocol in two ways. First and foremost, the laboratory did not analyze all of the calibration points required by the methodology. The low concentration standard (0.2X) was not analyzed which, according to the protocol, reflects the laboratory's ability to achieve the sensitivity needed for the detection limits that are reported. Although this is a deviation from the protocol, Heartland ESI will not qualify the data based upon the good compound responses in the 0.5X standard.

Secondly, the laboratory did not follow the proper procedure for the analysis of the final closing check standard that is analyzed at the end of the sequence. The methodology states that the highest concentration standard (100X) is to be analyzed at the completion of the analyses and its response must agree within:

a) 25% for that concentration from the first seven calibration curves or

EXPLOSIVES ANALYSIS

Initial Calibrations (continued)

b) thereafter, two (2) standard deviations of the mean response for the concentration for the calibrations curves.

The lack of information in the data package made it impossible to determine if the standard that was analyzed by the laboratory agreed within 25% of the initial calibration. The laboratory only reported the correlation coefficients for the initial calibration, and did not summarize the closing standard except for the recoveries of the individual analytes. In addition, the laboratory did not analyze the highest concentration standard, instead the laboratory analyzed the 10X standard.

However, based on the deliverable requirement of the methodology, Heartland ESI cannot qualify the data based on the information available.

Continuing calibrations

No continuing calibrations associated with this sample batch.

Method blanks

The method blank did not exhibit contamination for the target explosive compounds.

MS/MSD analysis

Heartland ESI had to use good professional judgement to evaluate the MS/MSD results due to the fact that the USATHAMA/PMRMA protocol does not have set QA/QC limits for the recoveries of the spike compounds. The MS/MSD did exhibit acceptable recoveries for all the target explosive compounds.

Method specific QA/QC

The laboratory did not analyze the correct number of QA/QC samples. The methodology states that two (2) 10X and one (1) 2X spike blanks be analyzed for control charting. The laboratory only analyzed one (1) of the 10X spikes and the control charts were not provided in the package to access the daily quality control.

EXPLOSIVES ANALYSIS

Compound identification/quantitation

One (1) positive results were reported. No qualifications are required.

Overall assessment

The overall quality of the data package is fair. The laboratory deviated from the required protocol in some instances.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS

No qualifications are required.

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory

QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm

+ in the DL column denotes a positive result

- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

Roy F. Weston, Inc. - Lionville Laboratory
Explosives - water by HPLC

RFW Batch Number: 9111L548 Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000 Report Date: 12/05/91 6:22 Page: 1

	Cust ID:	11-005-M003		BLK		BLK BS		BLK BS		BLK BSD	
Sample Information	RFW#:	003		91LLC099-MB1		91LLC099-MB1		91LLC099-MB2		91LLC099-MB2	
	Matrix:	WATER		WATER		WATER		WATER		WATER	
	D.F.:	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
	Units:	ug/L		ug/L		ug/L		ug/L		ug/L	
HMX		1.30	U	1.30	U	98	%	98	%	97	%
RDX		0.63	U	0.63	U	103	%	101	%	99	%
1,3,5-TNB		0.56	U	0.56	U	97	%	101	%	99	%
1,3-DNB		0.61	U	0.61	U	97	%	101	%	100	%
Nitrobenzene		1.13	U	1.13	U	98	%	99	%	98	%
TETRYL		0.66	U	0.66	U	92	%	95	%	95	%
2,4,6-TNT		0.78	U	0.78	U	92	%	95	%	93	%
2,6-DNT		0.55	U	0.55	U	96	%	102	%	101	%
2,4-DNT		0.60	U	0.60	U	96	%	99	%	98	%

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not requested. NS= Not spiked.
%= Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. *= Outside of EPA CLP QC

000009

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

Data Validation Report

April 28, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program December 2, 1991 at the NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station site. There were three (3) water samples which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, R. F. Weston Number 9112L580.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The Nitroaromatic fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the Specific Findings and associated Data Qualifications presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the Narratives. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	NITRO
02-001-M003	9112L580-001	WATER	X
02-001-M203	9112L580-002	WATER	X
02-006-M003	9112L580-003	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
NITRO-	USATHAMA Nitroaromatics	Gene Watson	Paul Humburg

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

EXPLOSIVES ANALYSIS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, matrix spike recoveries, HPLC performance, blank spike results and calibration results. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the USATHAMA/PMRMA Programs Analytical Method for Explosives in Soil by HPLC and Region II Data Validation Deliverable Guidelines. However, due to the fact this package does not require the submission of true Form Is, Heartland ESI will submit the RFW Data Summary in place of the Form I's in the data validation package. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (RFW Data Summary). Please refer the specific findings found in each category to the Summary of Data Qualifications table.

Holding times

All of the extraction (7 days) and analysis (40 days) holding times were met per the USATHAMA/PMRMA protocol.

HPLC performance

The system performance of the HPLC was good. The instrument did not exhibit any major problems.

Initial calibrations

The laboratory did not calibrate the instrument per the USATHAMA/PMRMA protocol in two ways. First and foremost, the laboratory did not analyze all of the calibration points required by the methodology. The low concentration standard (0.2X) was not analyzed which, according to the protocol, reflects the laboratory's ability to achieve the sensitivity needed for the detection limits that are reported. Although this is a deviation from the protocol, Heartland ESI will not qualify the data based upon the good compound responses in the 0.5X standard.

Secondly, the laboratory did not follow the proper procedure for the analysis of the final closing check standard that is analyzed at the end of the sequence. The methodology states that the highest concentration standard (100X) is to be analyzed at the completion of the analyses and its response must agree within:

a) 25% for that concentration from the first seven calibration curves or

EXPLOSIVES ANALYSIS

Initial Calibrations (continued)

b) thereafter, two (2) standard deviations of the mean response for the concentration for the calibrations curves.

The lack of information in the data package made it impossible to determine if the standard that was analyzed by the laboratory agreed within 25% of the initial calibration. The laboratory only reported the correlation coefficients for the initial calibration, and did not summarize the closing standard except for the recoveries of the individual analytes. In addition, the laboratory did not analyze the highest concentration standard, instead the laboratory analyzed the 10X standard.

However, based on the deliverable requirement of the methodology, Heartland ESI cannot qualify the data based on the information available.

Continuing calibrations

No continuing calibrations associated with this sample batch.

Method blanks

The method blank did not exhibit contamination for the target explosive compounds.

MS/MSD analysis

Heartland ESI had to use good professional judgement to evaluate the MS/MSD results due to the fact that the USATHAMA/PMRMA protocol does not have set QA/QC limits for the recoveries of the spike compounds. The MS/MSD did exhibit acceptable recoveries for all the target explosive compounds.

Method specific QA/QC

The laboratory did not analyze the correct number of QA/QC samples. The methodology states that two (2) 10X and one (1) 2X spike blanks be analyzed for control charting. The laboratory only analyzed one (1) of the 10X spikes and the control charts were not provided in the package to access the daily quality control.

EXPLOSIVES ANALYSIS

Compound identification/quantitation

One (1) positive results were reported. No qualifications are required.

Overall assessment

The overall quality of the data package is fair. The laboratory deviated from the required protocol in some instances.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS

No qualifications are required.

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory

QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm

+ in the DL column denotes a positive result

- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

Roy F. Weston, Inc. Lionville Laboratory

Explosives water by HPLC

Report Date: 12/30/ 3:49

RFW Batch Number: 9112L580 Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000 Page: 1

Cust ID:	02-001-M003		02-001-M203		02-006-M003		BLK		BLK BS		BLK BS	
Sample Information RFW#:	001		002		003		91LLC101-MB1		91LLC101-MB1		91LLC101-MB2	
Matrix:	WATER		WATER		WATER		WATER		WATER		WATER	
D.F.:	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Units:	ug/L		ug/L		ug/L		ug/L		ug/L		ug/L	
HMX	1.30	U	1.30	U	0.81	J	1.30	U	93	%	98	%
RDX	0.63	U	0.63	U	4.21		0.63	U	92	%	102	%
1,3,5-TNB	0.56	U	0.56	U	0.54	J	0.56	U	98	%	100	%
1,3-DNB	0.61	U	0.61	U	0.61	U	0.61	U	98	%	103	%
Nitrobenzene	1.13	U	1.13	U	1.13	U	1.13	U	95	%	101	%
TETRYL	0.66	U	0.66	U	1.15		0.66	U	99	%	95	%
2,4,6-TNT	0.78	U	0.78	U	0.78	U	0.78	U	99	%	95	%
2,6-DNT	0.55	U	0.55	U	0.55	U	0.55	U	101	%	102	%
2,4-DNT	0.60	U	0.60	U	1.59		0.60	U	100	%	101	%

Cust ID:	BLK BSD	
Sample Information RFW#:	91LLC101-MB2	
Matrix:	WATER	
D.F.:	1.00	
Units:	ug/L	
HMX	99	%
RDX	100	%
1,3,5-TNB	100	%
1,3-DNB	105	%
Nitrobenzene	101	%
TETRYL	98	%
2,4,6-TNT	97	%
2,6-DNT	104	%
2,4-DNT	102	%

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not requested. NS= Not spiked.
%= Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. *= Outside of EPA CLP QC

000007

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

Data Validation Report

April 28, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program December 4, 1991 at the NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station site. There was one (1) water sample which was received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, R. F. Weston Number 9112L606.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The Nitroaromatic fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the Specific Findings and associated Data Qualifications presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the Narratives. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	NITRO
02-003-M003	9112L606-001	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
NITRO-	USATHAMA Nitroaromatics	Gene Watson	Paul Humburg

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

EXPLOSIVES ANALYSIS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, matrix spike recoveries, HPLC performance, blank spike results and calibration results. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the USATHAMA/PMRMA Programs Analytical Method for Explosives in Soil by HPLC and Region II Data Validation Deliverable Guidelines. However, due to the fact this package does not require the submission of true Form Is, Heartland ESI will submit the RFW Data Summary in place of the Form I's in the data validation package. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (RFW Data Summary). Please refer the specific findings found in each category to the Summary of Data Qualifications table.

Holding times

All of the extraction (7 days) and analysis (40 days) holding times were met per the USATHAMA/PMRMA protocol.

HPLC performance

The system performance of the HPLC was good. The instrument did not exhibit any major problems.

Initial calibrations

The laboratory did not calibrate the instrument per the USATHAMA/PMRMA protocol in two ways. First and foremost, the laboratory did not analyze all of the calibration points required by the methodology. The low concentration standard (0.2X) was not analyzed which, according to the protocol, reflects the laboratory's ability to achieve the sensitivity needed for the detection limits that are reported. Although this is a deviation from the protocol, Heartland ESI will not qualify the data based upon the good compound responses in the 0.5X standard.

Secondly, the laboratory did not follow the proper procedure for the analysis of the final closing check standard that is analyzed at the end of the sequence. The methodology states that the highest concentration standard (100X) is to be analyzed at the completion of the analyses and its response must agree within:

a) 25% for that concentration from the first seven calibration curves or

EXPLOSIVES ANALYSIS

Initial Calibrations (continued)

b) thereafter, two (2) standard deviations of the mean response for the concentration for the calibrations curves.

The lack of information in the data package made it impossible to determine if the standard that was analyzed by the laboratory agreed within 25% of the initial calibration. The laboratory only reported the correlation coefficients for the initial calibration, and did not summarize the closing standard except for the recoveries of the individual analytes. In addition, the laboratory did not analyze the highest concentration standard, instead the laboratory analyzed the 10X standard.

However, based on the deliverable requirement of the methodology, Heartland ESI cannot qualify the data based on the information available.

Continuing calibrations

No continuing calibrations associated with this sample batch.

Method blanks

The method blank did not exhibit contamination for the target explosive compounds.

MS/MSD analysis

Heartland ESI had to use good professional judgement to evaluate the MS/MSD results due to the fact that the USATHAMA/PMRMA protocol does not have set QA/QC limits for the recoveries of the spike compounds. The MS/MSD did exhibit acceptable recoveries for all the target explosive compounds.

Method specific QA/QC

The laboratory did not analyze the correct number of QA/QC samples. The methodology states that two (2) 10X and one (1) 2X spike blanks be analyzed for control charting. The laboratory only analyzed one (1) of the 10X spikes and the control charts were not provided in the package to access the daily quality control.

EXPLOSIVES ANALYSIS

Compound identification/quantitation

One (1) positive results were reported. No qualifications are required.

Overall assessment

The overall quality of the data package is fair. The laboratory deviated from the required protocol in some instances.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS

No qualifications are required.

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory

QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm

+ in the DL column denotes a positive result

- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

Roy F. Weston, Inc. - Lionville Laboratory

Explosives in water by HPLC

RFW Batch Number: 9112L606 Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000 Report Date: 12/30/ 08:47 Page: 1

	Cust ID:	02-003-M003	BLK	BLK BS	BLK BS	BLK BSD
Sample Information	RFW#:	002	91LLC101-MB1	91LLC101-MB1	91LLC101-MB2	91LLC101-MB2
	Matrix:	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER
	D.F.:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Units:	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L

HMX	1.30	U	1.30	U	93	%	98	%	99	%		
RDX	0.63	U	0.63	U	92	%	102	%	100	%		
1,3,5-TNB	0.56	U	0.56	U	98	%	100	%	100	%		
1,3-DNB	0.61	U	0.61	U	98	%	103	%	105	%		
Nitrobenzene	1.13	U	1.13	U	95	%	101	%	101	%		
TETRYL	0.66	U	0.66	U	99	%	95	%	98	%		
2,4,6-TNT	0.78	U	0.78	U	99	%	95	%	97	%		
2,6-DNT	0.55	U	0.55	U	101	%	102	%	104	%		
2,4-DNT	0.60	U	0.60	U	100	%	101	%	102	%		

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not requested. NS= Not spiked.
% Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. *= Outside of EPA CLP QC

000004

HEARTLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 163 ST. PETERS MO 63376
(314) 278-8232

Data Validation Report

April 28, 1992

Prepared for

R. F. Weston
Weston Way
West Chester, PA. 19380

This Data Validation Report is a review of the analytical results of sampling conducted in support of the Navy CLEAN program November 20, 1991 at the **NWS Earle-Coltsneck Naval Weapons Station** site. There were five (5) water samples with one (1) MS/MSD which were received and analyzed by Roy F. Weston Laboratories - Lionville in this analytical batch, **R. F. Weston Number 9111L480**.

The data validation personnel have reviewed the data presented for the Samples listed below for the Analytical Fractions indicated. The Nitroaromatic fraction has been validated utilizing method specific requirements and good professional judgement.

Analytical data in this report were screened to determine usability of results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to these requirements and deliverables. This screening assumes analytical results are correct as reported and merely provides an interpretation of the reported quality control results. A minimum of 10% of all laboratory calculations have been verified as part of this validation. All instrument output, i.e. spectra, chromatogram, etc., for each sample have been carefully reviewed. The end-user is urged to review the **Specific Findings** and associated **Data Qualifications** presented in this report. Annotated Form Is for all samples reviewed are included after the **Narratives**. Form Is for MS/MSD samples are not annotated.

SAMPLES AND FRACTIONS REVIEWED

Sample Identifications		Analytical Fraction	
CLIENT ID	RF WESTON ID	Matrix	NITRO
26-001-M003	9111L480-001	WATER	X
26-001-M103	9111L480-002	WATER	X
26-002-M003	9111L480-003	WATER	X
26-002-M003MS	9111L480-003	WATER	X
26-002-M003MSD	9111L480-003	WATER	X
26-004-M003	9111L480-005	WATER	X
26-004-M203	9111L480-005	WATER	X

Individual fractions were reviewed as follows:

		Primary	Secondary
NITRO-	USATHAMA Nitroaromatics	Gene Watson	Paul Humburg

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE

EXPLOSIVES ANALYSIS

General

The organic findings offered in this screening report assumes that all analytical results are correct as reported and is based upon the examination of the reported holding times, blank analysis results, matrix spike recoveries, HPLC performance, blank spike results and calibration results. This report was prepared in compliance relative to the analytical and deliverable requirements specified in the USATHAMA/PMRMA Programs Analytical Method for Explosives in Soil by HPLC and Region II Data Validation Deliverable Guidelines. However, due to the fact this package does not require the submission of true Form Is, Heartland ESI will submit the RFW Data Summary in place of the Form I's in the data validation package. All comments made within this report should be considered when examining the analytical results (RFW Data Summary). Please refer the specific findings found in each category to the Summary of Data Qualifications table.

Holding times

All of the extraction (7 days) and analysis (40 days) holding times were met per the USATHAMA/PMRMA protocol.

HPLC performance

The system performance of the HPLC was good. The instrument did not exhibit any major problems.

Initial calibrations

The laboratory did not calibrate the instrument per the USATHAMA/PMRMA protocol in two ways. First and foremost, the laboratory did not analyze all of the calibration points required by the methodology. The low concentration standard (0.2X) was not analyzed which, according to the protocol, reflects the laboratory's ability to achieve the sensitivity needed for the detection limits that are reported. Although this is a deviation from the protocol, Heartland ESI will not qualify the data based upon the good compound responses in the 0.5X standard.

Secondly, the laboratory did not follow the proper procedure for the analysis of the final closing check standard that is analyzed at the end of the sequence. The methodology states that the highest concentration standard (100X) is to be analyzed at the completion of the analyses and its response must agree within:

a) 25% for that concentration from the first seven calibration curves or

EXPLOSIVES ANALYSIS

Initial Calibrations (continued)

b) thereafter, two (2) standard deviations of the mean response for the concentration for the calibrations curves.

The lack of information in the data package made it impossible to determine if the standard that was analyzed by the laboratory agreed within 25% of the initial calibration. The laboratory only reported the correlation coefficients for the initial calibration, and did not summarize the closing standard except for the recoveries of the individual analytes. In addition, the laboratory did not analyze the highest concentration standard, instead the laboratory analyzed the 10X standard.

However, based on the deliverable requirement of the methodology, Heartland ESI cannot qualify the data based on the information available.

Continuing calibrations

No continuing calibrations associated with this sample batch.

Method blanks

The method blank did not exhibit contamination for the target explosive compounds.

MS/MSD analysis

Heartland ESI had to use good professional judgement to evaluate the MS/MSD results due to the fact that the USATHAMA/PMRMA protocol does not have set QA/QC limits for the recoveries of the spike compounds. The MS/MSD did exhibit acceptable recoveries for all the target explosive compounds.

Method specific QA/QC

The laboratory did not analyze the correct number of QA/QC samples. The methodology states that two (2) 10X and one (1) 2X spike blanks be analyzed for control charting. The laboratory only analyzed one (1) of the 10X spikes and the control charts were not provided in the package to access the daily quality control.

EXPLOSIVES ANALYSIS

Compound identification/quantitation

One (1) positive results were reported. No qualifications are required.

Overall assessment

The overall quality of the data package is fair. The laboratory deviated from the required protocol in some instances.

GLOSSARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

QUALIFICATION CODES

U = Not detected

J = Estimated value

UJ = Reported quantitation limit is qualified as estimated

R = Result is rejected and unusable

NJ = Presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value

K = Result is biased high

L = Result is biased low

METHOD BLANK QUALIFICATION CODES

CRQL = The sample result for the blank contaminant is less than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is rejected and the CRQL for that analyte is reported.

U = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is less than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is qualified as non detected at the analyte value reported.

No Action = The sample result for the blank contaminant is greater than the sample CRQL and is greater than 10X the method blank value. The sample result for the blank contaminant is not qualified with any blank qualifiers.

The specific findings will be noted in numerical form on the Form Is in this data validation report. These specific finding footnotes will reflect the conclusions found in the data validation process that resulted in the qualification of the data.

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE ID	DL	QL	SPECIFIC FINDINGS

No qualifications are required.

* DL denotes the Form I qualifier supplied by the laboratory

QL denotes the qualifier used by the data validation firm

+ in the DL column denotes a positive result

- in the DL column denotes a non detect result

Roy F. Weston, Inc. - Lionville Laboratory

Explosives in water by HPLC

RFW Batch Number: 9111L480 Client: NAVAL WEAPONS/COLTSNECK Work Order: 1771-15-03-0000 Report Date: 11/27/91 13:36

Page: 4

	Cust ID:	26-001-M003	26-001-M103	26-002-M003	26-002-M003	26-002-M003	26-004-M003
Sample Information	RFW#:	001	002	003	003 MS	003 MSD	005
	Matrix:	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER
	D.F.:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Units:	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L
HMX		1.30 U	1.30 U	1.30 U	95 %	98 %	1.30 U
RDX		0.63 U	0.63 U	0.63 U	98 %	105 %	0.63 U
1,3,5-TNB		0.56 U	0.56 U	0.56 U	107 %	107 %	0.56 U
1,3-DNB		0.61 U	0.61 U	0.61 U	102 %	103 %	0.61 U
Tetryl		0.66 U	0.66 U	0.66 U	104 %	92 %	0.66 U
2,4,6-TNT		0.78 U	0.78 U	0.78 U	104 %	93 %	0.78 U
2,6-DNT		0.55 U	0.55 U	0.55 U	109 %	106 %	0.55 U
2,4-DNT		0.60 U	0.60 U	0.60 U	106 %	103 %	0.60 U

	Cust ID:	26-004-M203	BLK	BLK BS	BLK BS	BLK BSD
Sample Information	RFW#:	006	91LLC096-MB1	91LLC096-MB1	91LLC096-MB2	91LLC096-MB2
	Matrix:	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER
	D.F.:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Units:	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L
HMX		1.30 U	1.30 U	103 %	96 %	96 %
RDX		0.63 U	0.63 U	93 %	97 %	97 %
1,3,5-TNB		0.56 U	0.56 U	98 %	98 %	99 %
1,3-DNB		0.61 U	0.61 U	98 %	101 %	99 %
Tetryl		0.66 U	0.66 U	103 %	96 %	93 %
2,4,6-TNT		0.78 U	0.78 U	92 %	96 %	94 %
2,6-DNT		0.55 U	0.55 U	99 %	101 %	100 %
2,4-DNT		0.60 U	0.60 U	97 %	98 %	98 %

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not requested. NS= Not spiked. %= Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. *= Outside of EPA CLP QC

000005