



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION II

290 BROADWAY

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007-1866

3/31/2000

Mr. John Kolicius, Project Manager
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
10 Industrial Highway, Mail Stop 82
Lester, PA 19113-2090

Re: Navy's Response to EPA's Comments Regarding NOAA and BTAG's Concerns at Sites
3, 10 and 13

Dear Mr. Kolicius:

Thank you for your letter dated March 7, 2000, regarding the above subject. Your letter and EPA's site visit on March 8, 2000 helped to clear up most of the concerns that EPA had about Sites 3, 10 and 13.

As we have discussed, there remain two issues that need to be addressed in the feasibility studies in order for it to be finalized (see attachment). Based on our conversations, I believe that the finalization of the feasibility studies can be achieved quickly and we can then proceed to the Proposed Plans.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (212) 637-3921.

Sincerely,

Jessica Mollin, Remedial Project Manager
Federal Facilities Section

cc: G. Goepfert, Naval Weapons Station Earle
B. Marcolina, NJDEP

ATTACHMENT

Issues to be Addressed in the Feasibility Studies

Site 10

1. Although the Navy believes there is no UXO at this site, there needs to be some sort of discussion which explains this.

Site 13

2. In order to ensure that PCBs did not migrate into the Hockhockson brook, additional sediment samples should be taken between locations 13SD01 and 13SD02. These samples can be taken as confirmatory sample subsequent to the excavation of the PCB "hot spots".