



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION II

1290 BROADWAY  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007-1866

**AM 28 2003**

Ms. Michelle DeGeambardino, Project Manager  
Naval Facilities Engineering Command  
10 Industrial Highway, Mail Stop 82  
Lester, PA 19113-2090

Re: Feasibility Study for Sites 1&11 (OU-8), November, 2002

Dear Ms. DeGeambardino,

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in accordance with our Federal Facility Agreement with the Navy, has reviewed the above referenced report prepared by Tetra Tech Nus, Inc. Attached are our comments.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (212) 637-3921.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Jessica Mollin".

Jessica Mollin, Remedial Project Manager  
Federal Facilities Section

cc: L. Burg, Naval Weapons Station Earle  
B. Marcolina, NJDEP

### **Comments on Feasibility Study - OU-8**

Page ES-3 - Summary of Site Risks

1. Paragraph 2, line 5, is arsenic the only compound of concern that contributed to the estimated cancer risks in subsurface soil and groundwater?
2. Second paragraph, 11<sup>th</sup> line, indicate what levels arsenic, chromium and iron were found at.
3. Third paragraph, first sentence, clarify what "lower end of the target maximum acceptable risk range" means. What are the cancer risks at this level?
4. In order to make the second paragraph easier to read, break it up into two separate paragraphs. Have one paragraph for cancer risks and the other for non-cancer risks.