

N60478.AR.001732
NWS EARLE
5090.3a

TRANSCRIPT FROM THE 28 JANUARY 2014 PUBLIC MEETING ON THE PROPOSED PLAN
FOR SITE 9 LANDFILL EAST OF P BARRICADES WITH TRANSMITTAL LETTER AND
ATTACHMENTS NWS EARLE NJ
4/24/2014
TETRA TECH



TETRA TECH

PHIL-25610

April 24, 2014

Project Number 02091

Naval Facilities Engineering Command Mid - Atlantic
9742 Maryland Avenue
Norfolk, Virginia 23511-3095

Attn: Mr. Roberto Pagtalunan, P.E.

Reference: CLEAN Contract No N62470-08-1001
Contract Task Order (CTO) No. WE15

Subject: Distribution of January 2014 Site 9 (OU11) Proposed Plan
Public Meeting Documentation
Naval Weapons Station Earle - Colts Neck, New Jersey

Dear Mr. Pagtalunan:

Enclosed for your files are copies of the Affidavit of Publication for the published public meeting announcement in the Asbury Park Press, presentation slides, and stenographer transcript from the January 28, 2014, public meeting held for the Site 9, Landfill Southeast of "P" Barricades Proposed Plan. The meeting was held at the Middletown Township Municipal Building in Middletown, New Jersey.

Should you have any questions or require additional copies, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Mary M. Mang, CHMM
Project Manager

MMM/pg

Enclosures

c: Jessica Mollin (EPA) (2 copies)
Erica Bergman (NJDEP) (2 copies)
Scott Fleming (NWS Earle) (1 copy)
Bonnie Capito (NAVFAC Lant) (no enclosures)
Garth Glenn (Tetra Tech) (no enclosures)
John Trepanowski (Tetra Tech) (no enclosures)
NIRIS RDM (1 copy)
File

ATTACHMENT A
AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION
DATED JANUARY 13, 2014

Affidavit of Publication

Publisher's Fee \$226.80 Affidavit \$35.00

State of New Jersey } SS.

Monmouth/Ocean Counties

Personally appeared Anna Pugliese

Of the **Asbury Park Press**, a newspaper printed in Freehold, New Jersey and published in Neptune, in said County and State, and of general circulation in said county, who being duly sworn, deposeth and saith that the advertisement of which the annexed is a true copy, has been published in the said newspaper 3 times, once in each issue as follows:


1/11/14, 1/12/14, 1/13/14

Kathleen A. Gibson


Notary Public State of New Jersey

My Commission Expires Dec. 18, 2014

A.D. **2014**



Sworn and subscribed before me, this
13 day of January, 2014



Notary Public of New Jersey

UNITED STATES

The United States Navy
Announces a

PUBLIC MEETING
To Discuss Remedial Alternatives For The
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION (NWS) EARLE
COLTS NECK, NEW JERSEY

The United States Navy invites you to discuss remedial alternatives for Site 9-Landfill Southeast of "P" Barricades, Operable Unit 11 (OU 11) at the NWS Earle. Site 9 consists of an approximately three-acre inactive landfill located within the Chapel Hill portion of the NWS Earle Waterfront Area in Middletown Township. The Navy has completed a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study for the site and has issued a Proposed Plan which outlines the recommended remedial action.

In coordination with the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, the Navy is holding a Public Meeting to present and discuss remediation alternatives and to solicit public input on the Proposed Plan. The meeting will be held on:

Tuesday, January 29, 2014 at 7:00 PM
Public Meeting Room
Middletown Township Municipal Building
1 Kings Highway
Middletown, New Jersey 07748

Directions to the meeting place can be obtained on the Middletown Township web site (<http://www.middletownnj.org>).

The Preferred Alternative for Site 9 is no further action. Because no significant risk to human health or ecological receptors has been found at this site, the Navy and EPA have determined that a remedial action under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) as amended is not warranted.

Public comments are encouraged at the meeting and written comments are also welcome. All significant comments and questions will be addressed in a Record of Decision which will be issued by the Navy and EPA to document the selected remedy. Written comments should be postmarked no later than February 13, 2014 and addressed to:

Michael Brady, Public Affairs Officer
Building C-2
Naval Weapons Station Earle
201 Highway 34 South
Colts Neck, New Jersey 07722-5031

The January 2014 Proposed Plan and the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study reports are available for public review at:

Monmouth County Library – Eastern Branch
1001 Route 35
Shrewsbury, New Jersey 07702
Telephone: 866-941-8188

For more information, please call the Navy Remedial Project Manager at 757-341-2010. 961729
(\$226.80)

ATTACHMENT B

**PUBLIC PRESENTATION SLIDES
PROPOSED REMEDIATION PLAN
FOR SITE 9 (OU-11)
JANUARY 28, 2014**


Proposed Remediation Plan for Site 9 (OU-11)



January 28, 2014



Site 9 Location





Environmental Restoration Program

Sites at NWS Earle

22 Sites - Signed Records of Decision

1 Site (Site 9) - ROD expected FY 2014

1 Site (Site 2) - RCRA Delay of Closure Status

1 Site (Site 16/F) – NJDEP UST Program

2 Sites (Sites 41 & 46) – Expected NFA, FY 2014

27 Total ER Program Sites




Overview

- Location
- Summary of past activities
- Summary of proposed action
- How the public can obtain more information
- Comment period and how to submit comments
- Sequence of subsequent events




NWS Earle Waterfront Area






Site 9





Site 9





Site 9 - Alternatives

Alternative 1: No Action



Site 9





Site 9 – Events

- Comment Period Ends – February 13, 2014
- Navy Incorporates Public Comments into Responsiveness Summary
- Record of Decision (ROD) To be Issued



Site 9 – Document Location and Written Comments

Document Location:

Monmouth County Library – Eastern Branch
1001 Route 35
Shrewsbury, NJ 07702

Written Comments Direct to:

Michael Brady, Public Affairs Officer
Building C-2
Naval Weapon Station Earle
201 Highway 34 South
Colts Neck, NJ 07722-5031

ATTACHMENT C

**JANUARY 28, 2014, MEETING TRANSCRIPT
DATED FEBRUARY 12, 2014**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

PUBLIC HEARING
JANUARY 28, 2014

-----x
IN RE: :
PROPOSED MEDIATION PLAN FOR SITE :
9 (OU-11) :
-----x

Location: Township of Middletown
Municipal Building
1 Kings Highway
Middletown, New Jersey
Date: Tuesday, January 28, 2014
Commencing at 7:07 p.m.

GUY J. RENZI & ASSOCIATES, INC.
CERTIFIED COURT REPORTERS & VIDEOGRAPHERS
GOLDEN CREST CORPORATE CENTER
2277 STATE HIGHWAY #33, SUITE 410
TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08690
TEL: (609) 989-9199 TOLL FREE: (800) 368-7652
www.renziassociates.com

1 Computer-aided transcript of the testimony, taken
2 stenographically in the above-entitled matter before
3 NADINE M. GAZIC, a Certified Court Reporter, License No.
4 XI01883, Registered Merit, Reporter and Notary Public of
5 the State of New Jersey, at the TOWNSHIP OF MIDDLETOWN
6 MUNICIPAL BUILDING, 1 Kings Highway, Middletown, New
7 Jersey, on Tuesday, January 28, 2014 commencing at 7:07
8 p.m.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 B E F O R E:

2 Mary M. Mang, CHMM, Project Manager, Tetra Tech

3 Roberto Pagtalunan, NAVY

4 Eric Helms, NAVY

5 Jessica Mollin, USEPA

6 Erica Bergman, NJDEP

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 MS. MANG: Okay, well, this is the public
2 meeting that's being held to review the proposed plan
3 that has been developed and presented for Site 9 at the
4 Naval Weapons Station Earle. Site 9 is known as the
5 landfill southeast of Peak Barricades. And to give you
6 a little bit of background about why we are here
7 tonight, in the early 1980s, the Navy initiated a
8 program throughout all of their facilities in the United
9 States where they were trying to identify sites where
10 Hazardous waste or hazardous-type materials might have
11 been used, may have been disposed of, might have been
12 spilled just as part of their day-to-day operations, and
13 the base has been in existence since the 1940s on an
14 active use basis.

15 This is just a site location slide or photo
16 just showing the Naval Weapons Station Earle. The main
17 side area is located down in Colts Neck and Howell
18 Townships, and then the waterfront area is up here in
19 Middletown Township, and they are connected by a Navy
20 controlled right-of-way that's approximately 20 miles
21 long.

22 The Navy's investigations at these different
23 facilities were called the environmental restoration
24 program. And as part of the program that is in place at
25 Earle, a total of 27 sites were identified as

1 potentially containing or where hazardous-type materials
2 might have been used. And these sites were identified
3 by the Navy and by EPA by the review of old records that
4 the Navy had maintained through the use of aerial
5 photography and through anything that EPA might have had
6 available to them. So, after the identification of
7 these sites, they went into a program of investigation
8 and the sites were investigated under different
9 regulatory programs that are in place today. So, 22 of
10 the sites have what are called signed records of
11 decision which means that they are part of the CERCLA
12 Program or in the Superfund program. They have had
13 investigations, they've had risk assessments,
14 feasibility studies have been conducted, proposed plans
15 have been developed and presented to the public and then
16 the Navy and the EPA and working with the state of New
17 Jersey have come to an agreement on a remedy and the
18 remedies are in place at 22 of the sites at Earle.

19 Site 9 which is the topic of tonight's
20 presentation, the record of decision is expected in this
21 year, fiscal year 2014. There's another site that's
22 being remediated under the RCRA program, that's Site 2.
23 Site 16 F is being remediated under the New Jersey
24 Department of Environmental Protection Underground
25 Storage Tank Program and then there are two sites

1 remaining, Sites 41 and 46 that investigations have been
2 completed, we are now at the feasibility stage and
3 hopefully we will get to the proposed plans and the
4 record of decisions by the end of 2014.

5 So tonight what we're going to do is talk
6 about Site 9. We're going to talk about the location,
7 where it is, the summary of past activities that have
8 been conducted there, the summary of the proposed action
9 that is being presented, how the public can obtain more
10 information, the comment period for public participation
11 and how and where to submit comments and the sequence of
12 events that will occur following the submission of the
13 public comments.

14 Let's see if I can just focus this a little
15 better. All right, Site 9 is located in the waterfront
16 area and I'll just show you also on this aerial
17 photograph, so it's located right here off of a dirt
18 road that runs into another dirt road in the main
19 secured facility area. Site 9 is about three acres in
20 size and it was used from about 1967 to 1972. It was
21 used primarily for the management of dunnage lumber and
22 some construction debris from the day-to-day operations
23 that occurred up at the waterfront. Dunnage lumber is
24 lumber that is used to secure or hold a ship's cargo in
25 place on board the ship. So, this, you know, as ships

1 were unloaded, the dunnage lumber was collected, it was
2 taken out to Site 9, stacked and then burned on the
3 ground surface and the remaining material or ashes were
4 covered up with local soil. There has been no
5 activities at the site, no active use of the site since
6 the early 1970s, so for almost 40 years now.

7 Site 9 is being addressed under the CERCLA
8 of superfund program. The NWS Earle Site was added to
9 the superfund list or what is known as the National
10 Priorities List, the NPL list in 1990, I believe. And
11 as part of that, there are these discrete sites within
12 Earle and Site 9 is one of them. So the main
13 regulations that we are following and steps in the
14 remediation are under the CERCLA program.

15 This is more of a close-up of an aerial of
16 Site 9. The yellow line is the approximate boundary
17 that was identified through aerial photography. It's a
18 series of photographs that EPA uses to identify sites
19 where hazardous materials or hazardous activities might
20 have occurred. The red, this was taken in winter and
21 the red is just the vegetation. The site is currently
22 covered with tall pine trees. In the photograph it came
23 up as red, but it's covered with tall pine trees and
24 tall grasses.

25 So in 1982, I believe it was 1982 as part of

1 this environmental restoration program, NWS Earle
2 conducted a site investigation, what's called an SI and
3 Site 9 was identified was part of that SI. And it was
4 identified through a review of records, interviews with
5 personnel who had been at the facility for a number of
6 years and then visual inspections. Following the
7 addition of Earle to the NPL site, in 1992 the first
8 real field investigation occurred out at Site 9 and it
9 consisted of the construction of approximately six test
10 pits and the collection of some soil samples. And the
11 test pit locations are shown on this figure. They're
12 the open squares. Subsequent to that 1992
13 investigation, a facility wide remedial investigation
14 study was conducted in 1995. That work is summarized in
15 the 1996 remedial investigation report. The 1995
16 investigation consisted of additional test pits, two
17 additional test pits to verify the boundaries of the
18 landfill and the collection of surface water and
19 sediment samples from a small stream -- and I'll just
20 show you -- that runs just south of the site. So it's
21 about 300 feet away and we had sampling points here,
22 here and up here. The stream is intermittent, it's
23 normally dry. There's usually you don't find water
24 flowing in that portion of that little tributary unless
25 there's been some heavy rainfalls. So what did we find?

1 The test pits that were conducted in 1992 and 1995,
2 there was very little found in those test pits. The
3 pits were constructed to a depth of about 7 to 10 feet
4 below grade, just very small amounts or pieces of wood
5 and some metal fragment materials. From an analytical
6 standpoint, the soil samples that were submitted to the
7 laboratory, what was found were certain metals,
8 aluminum, potassium, magnesium, silver and zinc. And of
9 those five compounds, they were the five main compounds
10 that were detected, three of them were detected at
11 levels above what we have seen in other background
12 samples collected from the NWS Earle studies. In
13 surface water, a number of metals were detected. No
14 organic compounds were found. In the sediment, the
15 material from the bottom of the stream bed, we also
16 found some metals and we detected four organic
17 compounds, but of the four compounds that were detected,
18 only one had been detected in one of the soil samples
19 and the concentration in the soil was significantly less
20 than the concentration in the sediment. So the
21 conclusion from the sampling is that what we did see in
22 surface water and sediment do not appear to be related
23 to any of the activities that occurred at Site 9.

24 So following these two investigations, a
25 feasibility study was conducted as part of the next step

1 of the CERCLA program. And as part of that feasibility
2 study, a human health risk assessment and ecological
3 risk screening were also conducted. The human health
4 risk assessment identified several potential or future
5 potential receptor groups for which the risks were
6 calculated. They included a future child resident, a
7 future adult resident, future industrial worker, future
8 construction worker and a future recreational user such
9 as a hunter or a hiker. Currently the site is not used
10 for any active operations and there are no child
11 residents, adult residents or anything like that on it.
12 This would be all under a future use scenario. So based
13 upon the calculations that were done and with review and
14 input from the EPA, the risks ranges that were
15 calculated fell within what is considered acceptable by
16 the EPA for the target acceptable risk range for human
17 health risk assessment. Under the ecological risk
18 screening where we looked at terrestrial animals,
19 mammals, vertebrates and birds and their exposure to the
20 site soils we did not show any impact to those
21 organisms. So, what was concluded then is for this site
22 is no action is the proposed remedy. That is, the site
23 will be left as it is in its current state. It is
24 wooded. The woods will remain. It has the only access
25 is by NWS Earle personnel for if there was something

1 that they needed to go out there, but basically there
2 are no operations there now. It is within a secured
3 facility. And so what we are proposing tonight here is
4 no action for the site, under the current and the future
5 use scenarios.

6 This is a current view of Site 9. As I
7 said, it's wooded. There's some tall grasses and it's
8 basically what it looks like every day, except when we
9 have a little bit of snow cover. So what remains to be
10 done for this site and to complete the regulatory
11 process that Site 9 is currently under, we are currently
12 in the comment period for the proposed plan. The
13 proposed plan was prepared by the Navy. It has been
14 placed in the public repository which is the Monmouth
15 County Library, the eastern branch. It is available for
16 anybody. I have extra copies here tonight to review.
17 And there is a page in the back identifying where the
18 comments should be sent to and a form to submit them on.
19 The comment period was -- the notification of the
20 comment period and this public meeting was placed in the
21 Asbury Park Press and the period, the 30 day review
22 period ends on February 13, 2014. The comments that are
23 received will be reviewed by the Navy and if needed, the
24 EPA and the NJDEP will also assist in answering any of
25 the comments that are received. These comments will be

1 incorporated into a document called a responsiveness
2 summary and when the record of decision is issued, the
3 responsiveness summary will be attached to the record of
4 decision. However, anybody that submits comments, you
5 will receive an answer to your comments.

6 Documents that outline the investigations
7 that have been completed at Earle, the feasibility study
8 that was developed and looked at potential remedial
9 options, the proposed plan are all located at the
10 Monmouth County Library in the eastern branch which is
11 located in Shrewsbury, New Jersey. Written comments
12 should be directed to Michael Brady who is the public
13 affairs officer. His address, his contact information
14 is presented here on the slide. It's also presented at
15 the back of the proposed plan in the mailer that's
16 included.

17 And that's basically the end of the formal
18 presentation. We're here to answer any questions that
19 you might have and Erica, or Jessica or Roberto, I don't
20 know if you want to add anything more.

21 MR. PAGTALUNAN: No, I'm good.

22 MS. BERGMAN: Only that DEP concurs with the
23 proposed plan, as submitted.

24 MR. SIMMONS: Okay, I just have a couple of
25 basic questions. Is there a website that the pdf of

1 this report is on that's accessible?

2 MS. MANG: No, there is not a website.

3 MR. SIMMONS: Dunnage lumber, how is it
4 treated? I'm thinking CCA or something else? What's
5 the contaminants associated with dunnage lumber? I'm
6 thinking it must be preserved, because if it's in a hull
7 of a boat --

8 MS. MANG: Well, yeah, but this is 1967,
9 1972. I don't have any information on any type of
10 preservatives that were used.

11 MS. BERGMAN: I think some of the issue was
12 that there was fuel used as an ignition source.

13 MS. MANG: Right, fuel was used as an
14 ignition source and so that, yeah, you know, would have
15 been poured on it, but so far as the wood actually had
16 preservatives on it, I'm not aware of anything.

17 MS. BERGMAN: The soil samples that were
18 collected from the test pits were analyzed for TCL/TAL,
19 all parameters. That kicks in the full suite of
20 parameters ranging from volatiles to semi-volatiles to
21 metals, pesticides, PCBs and they were below New Jersey
22 residential soil cleanup standards.

23 MR. SIMMONS: Okay, PAH is included in that?

24 MS. BERGMAN: PAH would be because not as a
25 total petroleum hydrocarbon, but it would be in the

1 semi-volatile tracks as individual PAH constituents,
2 yeah.

3 MR. SIMMONS: Okay, thanks.

4 MS. MANG: Total petroleum hydrocarbons were
5 analyzed too.

6 MS. BERGMAN: They would probably be in
7 there too.

8 MS. MOLLIN: I don't think anything really
9 came up with that, right?

10 MS. MANG: No, a lot of them were non
11 detected.

12 MR. SIMMONS: And that intermittent stream,
13 how far upstream does it go? Does it leave the base or
14 does it originate in the base?

15 MS. MOLLIN: Can you see on this?

16 MS. MANG: Yeah.

17 MR. SIMMONS: The reason I'm asking is
18 because I'm just curious if it starts outside the
19 boundary.

20 MS. MANG: I don't know the answer to that.
21 We can look into that. It does, you know, it is
22 upgradient of the site.

23 MS. MOLLIN: You can't see it on that?

24 MS. MANG: No. See where Site 9 is, that
25 dark green area.

1 MR. PAGTALUNAN: This is Site 9.

2 MR. HELMS: This isn't it, this is the
3 drainage we're talking about.

4 MS. MANG: That looks like it.

5 MR. PAGTALUNAN: Yeah, it does.

6 MR. HELMS: It's hard to tell where it goes,
7 because you have fire roads in there.

8 MS. MANG: It runs to Ware Creek.

9 MR. SIMMONS: Ware or Wagner?

10 MS. MANG: Is it Wagner?

11 MR. SIMMONS: It says in the documents,
12 Wagner.

13 MS. MANG: Yeah, Wagner.

14 MR. SIMMONS: Okay, and then so what metals
15 were found in Wagner Creek sediments that are associated
16 with the activity? That's my last question.

17 MS. BERGMAN: That was a tributary of Wagner
18 Creek, right? It wasn't Wagner Creek proper?

19 MS. MANG: Right, it's just a tributary of
20 it. Well, there were metals detected, but there was
21 nothing that we could, you know, conclude were related
22 to the activities at the Site 9. And I guess maybe the
23 best way to extrapolate that is there were several
24 organic compounds, four different organic compounds
25 identified in the sediments and of those four, only one

1 of them was detected in the Site 9 soils. But the
2 concentration that was detected in the Site 9 soil was
3 below residential and nonresidential and below the level
4 found in the stream sediment.

5 MR. SIMMONS: Okay.

6 MS. BERGMAN: You're looking for the metals
7 that were found in surface water?

8 MR. SIMMONS: Yeah, that would be --

9 MS. BERGMAN: I have antimony, cadmium,
10 mercury, nickel, selenium and thallium.

11 MR. SIMMONS: What page are you on?

12 MS. BERGMAN: This is from my own summary.
13 It's probably under the --

14 MR. SIMMONS: Just say them again.

15 MS. BERGMAN: Summary of ecological risk
16 assessment.

17 MS. MANG: There were a number of metals
18 detected in the surface water, but we did not link any
19 of them, you know, directly to the Site 9 activities.

20 MS. BERGMAN: It may not be in this report.
21 There was another report done. It was the feasibility
22 study document and there's more information in that,
23 more detail in that document. But to answer your
24 question again, I have antimony, cadmium, mercury,
25 nickel, selenium and thallium. They were detected in

1 the surface water levels above background levels, but
2 they were not determined to be above ecological
3 screening materials for compounds of concern.

4 MR. SIMMONS: And that was in the water?

5 MS. MOLLIN: And it also wasn't found in the
6 test pit soils at Site 9. It was not found in the Site
7 9 test pit soils.

8 MR. SIMMONS: So it's not linked to Site 9?

9 MS. MOLLIN: Exactly.

10 MR. SIMMONS: Okay, thanks.

11 MR. PAGTALUNAN: If you have anymore
12 questions, the comment period is until the 13th of
13 February.

14 MR. SIMMONS: I'll probably just turn my
15 questions into comments.

16 MS. BERGMAN: I actually have a question.
17 For no action RODs, what happens to the record that
18 there is a land or was a land filled area there since I
19 know it usually happens if you have a ROD with action
20 and you have an institutional control, then it's noted
21 in the base master plan forever more, but with a
22 situation like this with no action, does it just fall
23 off the radar or how do people know that things could
24 still be buried there if there's work or there's a base
25 realignment and construction work that goes on there?

1 That's a question that we had. I mean, are there any
2 other no action RODs?

3 MS. MOLLIN: We have no further action which
4 usually have institutional controls or CEAs or we don't
5 have any no action rods at all. This is the first one.

6 MR. FLEMING: What about Site 7?

7 MS. MOLLIN: Site 7? Is it no further? Did
8 they already do something? I don't remember Site 7, to
9 be honest with you. I'm trying to get it. Which is
10 Site 7?

11 MR. FLEMING: The one we did prior to this,
12 same area, waterfront.

13 MS. MOLLIN: Wasn't that no further action?
14 Was there any action done beforehand?

15 MR. FLEMING: There was no regulatory action
16 required.

17 MS. MOLLIN: And there was no institutional
18 controls?

19 MR. PAGTALUNAN: We did a maintenance action
20 on the site.

21 MS. MOLLIN: Oh, right, right, right, okay.

22 MS. BERGMAN: That was the one where you
23 were going down the road looking at risk and then there
24 was no risks and you changed your mind about it.

25 MS. MOLLIN: Yeah, it's the same situation

1 then.

2 MR. FLEMING: Is that a DEP concern?

3 MS. BERGMAN: Yeah, I think just for a
4 practical standpoint.

5 MR. FLEMING: The easy answer is we could
6 put it in the base master plan. We're updating it now,
7 so if there's something, it will be in there and that
8 will be forever.

9 MS. MOLLIN: You could do it for 7 and 9,
10 right?

11 MS. BERGMAN: Okay.

12 MR. FLEMING: We'll put something in.

13 MR. PAGTALUNAN: Yeah.

14 MR. FLEMING: You don't have anything in
15 there now, do you?

16 MR. HELMS: Weren't we included in a whole
17 map of every IR site in that master plan?

18 MR. FLEMING: Yeah, there are figures in
19 there, yeah.

20 MR. HELMS: So it will be in there. Because
21 we're updating it right now, the master plan.

22 MS. BERGMAN: Okay, good. Even if it ends
23 up being a no action, it will still stay in the base
24 master plan.

25 MR. HELMS: Essentially the site, correct me

1 if I'm wrong, the site summary map that we have from the
2 site summary document, it's a similar map like that. It
3 will be in the master plan.

4 MS. MOLLIN: Does it give a description of
5 each site? Is that what it does?

6 MR. FLEMING: Yeah.

7 MR. HELMS: Is that what you have in there?

8 MR. FLEMING: It's going to be a description
9 and we can add Sites 7 and 9 with a quick description
10 just like you're talking about that will sit in there.

11 MS. MANG: There are other no action sites
12 under some of the other rods, like specifically OU4,
13 like Site 23 does not have -- no, Site 23 has a soil
14 control, but there were several of the other sites, 20.

15 MR. FLEMING: 23 shouldn't. I'm sorry, I'm
16 thinking of 20.

17 MS. MANG: 20 no longer has anything and
18 there were a couple other numbers, 27 or -- but so there
19 are several other sites that are no action and they were
20 not in this addendum. I can add their location and a
21 brief description if that --

22 MR. HELMS: I think that's a good idea. I
23 mean, it makes sense. Not that Earle is going anywhere
24 or doing anything different.

25 MS. BERGMAN: Well, you never know 50 years

1 from now what's going to happen then.

2 MS. MOLLIN: Site 7 is really no action?
3 I'm sorry, it's bothering me that I don't remember that.
4 There was never anything done there? It wasn't fenced
5 in or nothing?

6 MR. FLEMING: Nothing.

7 MR. HELMS: It was graded, soil.

8 MR. PAGTALUNAN: Put a soil cover on it.

9 MR. HELMS: Seeded it.

10 MS. MOLLIN: Okay.

11 MS. BERGMAN: Mary, do you have a copy of
12 this electronically you can send me?

13 MS. MANG: Yes.

14 MS. BERGMAN: Okay.

15 MS. MANG: Yes, and I will distribute the --
16 after we receive the report from the legal, you know,
17 transcript, I'll distribute the public notice that was
18 published in the newspaper and the legal transcript.

19 MS. BERGMAN: Okay.

20 MS. MANG: And I'll include the copy of the
21 slides.

22 MS. BERGMAN: Okay, thanks.

23 MS. MOLLIN: Anybody else?

24 MR. PAGTALUNAN: Is that it for you, Erica?
25 Nothing for you Jessica?

1 MS. MOLLIN: No.

2 MS. BERGMAN: Is there going to be another
3 public meeting for the ROD? No, just this?

4 MS. MOLLIN: Yeah.

5 MS. MANG: This is it.

6 MS. MOLLIN: We move on.

7 MS. MANG: Okay.

8 MR. PAGTALUNAN: If we have no further
9 questions, I guess public meeting will end.

10 MS. BERGMAN: Can I have a couple more of
11 these? Thanks.

12 (Whereupon the proceeding was concluded at
13 7:40 p.m.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, NADINE M. GAZIC, a Notary Public and Certified Court Reporter of the State of New Jersey, License No. XI01883, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place and on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action.

Nadine Gazic
Notary Public of the State of New Jersey
Dated: February 12, 2014



A	<p>19:5</p> <p>answering 11:24</p> <p>antimony 16:9 16:24</p> <p>anybody 11:16 12:4 21:23</p> <p>anymore 17:11</p> <p>appear 9:22</p> <p>approximate 7:16</p> <p>approximately 4:20 8:9</p> <p>area 4:17,18 6:16,19 14:25 17:18 18:12</p> <p>asbury 11:21</p> <p>ashes 7:3</p> <p>asking 14:17</p> <p>assessment 10:2,4,17 16:16</p> <p>assessments 5:13</p> <p>assist 11:24</p> <p>associated 13:5 15:15</p> <p>associates 1:19</p> <p>attached 12:3</p> <p>attorney 23:10 23:12</p> <p>available 5:6 11:15</p> <p>aware 13:16</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <hr/> <p>b 3:1</p> <p>back 11:17 12:15</p> <p>background 4:6 9:11 17:1</p> <p>barricades 4:5</p> <p>base 4:13 14:13,14</p>	<p>17:21,24 19:6,23</p> <p>based 10:12</p> <p>basic 12:25</p> <p>basically 11:1 11:8 12:17</p> <p>basis 4:14</p> <p>bed 9:15</p> <p>believe 7:10 7:25</p> <p>bergman 3:6 12:22 13:11 13:17,24 14:6 15:17 16:6,9,12,15 16:20 17:16 18:22 19:3 19:11,22 20:25 21:11 21:14,19,22 22:2,10</p> <p>best 15:23</p> <p>better 6:15</p> <p>birds 10:19</p> <p>bit 4:6 11:9</p> <p>board 6:25</p> <p>boat 13:7</p> <p>bothering 21:3</p> <p>bottom 9:15</p> <p>boundaries 8:17</p> <p>boundary 7:16 14:19</p> <p>brady 12:12</p> <p>branch 11:15 12:10</p> <p>brief 20:21</p> <p>building 1:10 2:6</p> <p>buried 17:24</p> <p>burned 7:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <hr/> <p>c 23:1,1</p> <p>cadmium 16:9 16:24</p>	<p>calculated 10:6,15</p> <p>calculations 10:13</p> <p>called 4:23 5:10 8:2 12:1</p> <p>cant 14:23</p> <p>cargo 6:24</p> <p>cca 13:4</p> <p>ceas 18:4</p> <p>center 1:21</p> <p>cercla 5:11 7:7,14 10:1</p> <p>certain 9:7</p> <p>certified 1:20 2:3 23:3</p> <p>certify 23:5,9</p> <p>changed 18:24</p> <p>child 10:6,10</p> <p>chmm 3:2</p> <p>cleanup 13:22</p> <p>closeup 7:15</p> <p>collected 7:1 9:12 13:18</p> <p>collection 8:10,18</p> <p>colts 4:17</p> <p>com 1:25</p> <p>come 5:17</p> <p>commencing 1:14 2:7</p> <p>comment 6:10 11:12,19,20 17:12</p> <p>comments 6:11 6:13 11:18 11:22,25,25 12:4,5,11 17:15</p> <p>complete 11:10</p> <p>completed 6:2 12:7</p> <p>compounds 9:9 9:9,14,17,17 15:24,24</p>
----------	---	---	---

17:3 computeraided 2:1 concentration 9:19,20 16:2 concern 17:3 19:2 conclude 15:21 concluded 10:21 22:12 conclusion 9:21 concur 12:22 conducted 5:14 6:8 8:2,14 9:1,25 10:3 connected 4:19 considered 10:15 consisted 8:9 8:16 constituents 14:1 constructed 9:3 construction 6:22 8:9 10:8 17:25 contact 12:13 containing 5:1 contaminants 13:5 control 17:20 20:14 controlled 4:20 controls 18:4 18:18 copies 11:16 copy 21:11,20 corporate 1:21 correct 19:25 counsel 23:10 23:12 county 11:15 12:10	couple 12:24 20:18 22:10 court 1:20 2:3 23:4 cover 11:9 21:8 covered 7:4,22 7:23 creek 15:8,15 15:18,18 crest 1:21 curious 14:18 current 10:23 11:4,6 currently 7:21 10:9 11:11 11:11 <hr/> D <hr/> dark 14:25 date 1:13 23:8 dated 23:24 day 11:8,21 daytoday 4:12 6:22 debris 6:22 decision 5:11 5:20 12:2,4 decisions 6:4 dep 12:22 19:2 department 5:24 depth 9:3 description 20:4,8,9,21 detail 16:23 detected 9:10 9:10,13,16 9:17,18 14:11 15:20 16:1,2,18,25 determined 17:2 developed 4:3 5:15 12:8 different 4:22	5:8 15:24 20:24 directed 12:12 directly 16:19 dirt 6:17,18 discrete 7:11 disposed 4:11 distribute 21:15,17 document 12:1 16:22,23 20:2 documents 12:6 15:11 doing 20:24 dont 8:23 12:19 13:9 14:8,20 18:4 18:8 19:14 21:3 drainage 15:3 dry 8:23 dunnage 6:21 6:23 7:1 13:3,5 <hr/> E <hr/> e 3:1,1 23:1,1 earle 4:4,16 4:25 5:18 7:8,12 8:1,7 9:12 10:25 12:7 20:23 early 4:7 7:6 eastern 11:15 12:10 easy 19:5 ecological 10:2,17 16:15 17:2 electronic... 21:12 employee 23:10 23:12 ends 11:22 19:22	environmental 4:23 5:24 8:1 epa 5:3,5,16 7:18 10:14 10:16 11:24 eric 3:4 erica 3:6 12:19 21:24 essentially 19:25 events 6:12 exactly 17:9 existence 4:13 expected 5:20 exposure 10:19 extra 11:16 extrapolate 15:23 <hr/> F <hr/> f 3:1 5:23 23:1 facilities 4:8 4:23 facility 6:19 8:5,13 11:3 fall 17:22 far 13:15 14:13 feasibility 5:14 6:2 9:25 10:1 12:7 16:21 february 11:22 17:13 23:24 feet 8:21 9:3 fell 10:15 fenced 21:4 field 8:8 figure 8:11 figures 19:18 filled 17:18 financially 23:13 find 8:23,25
--	---	--	--

fire 15:7	22:2	hunter 10:9	institutional
first 8:7 18:5	golden 1:21	hydrocarbon	17:20 18:4
fiscal 5:21	good 12:21	13:25	18:17
five 9:9,9	19:22 20:22	hydrocarbons	interested
fleming 18:6	grade 9:4	14:4	23:13
18:11,15	graded 21:7		intermittent
19:2,5,12,14	grasses 7:24	I	8:22 14:12
19:18 20:6,8	11:7	idea 20:22	interviews 8:4
20:15 21:6	green 14:25	identifica...	investigated
flowing 8:24	ground 7:3	5:6	5:8
focus 6:14	groups 10:5	identified	investigation
following 6:12	guess 15:22	4:25 5:2	5:7 8:2,8,13
7:13 8:6	22:9	7:17 8:3,4	8:13,15,16
9:24	guy 1:19	10:4 15:25	investigat...
foregoing 23:5		identify 4:9	4:22 5:13
forever 17:21	H	7:18	6:1 9:24
19:8	happen 21:1	identifying	12:6
form 11:18	happens 17:17	11:17	ir 19:17
formal 12:17	17:19	ignition 13:12	isnt 15:2
forth 23:8	hard 15:6	13:14	issue 13:11
found 9:2,7,14	hazardous 4:10	ill 6:16 8:19	issued 12:2
9:16 15:15	7:19,19	17:14 21:17	
16:4,7 17:5	hazardoustype	21:20	J
17:6	4:10 5:1	im 12:21 13:4	j 1:19
four 9:16,17	health 10:2,3	13:5,16	january 1:2,13
15:24,25	10:17	14:17,18	2:7
fragment 9:5	hearing 1:1	18:9 20:1,15	jersey 1:12,23
free 1:24	heavy 8:25	20:15 21:3	2:5,7 5:17
fuel 13:12,13	held 4:2	impact 10:20	5:23 12:11
full 13:19	helms 3:4 15:2	include 21:20	13:21 23:4
further 18:3,7	15:6 19:16	included 10:6	23:23
18:13 22:8	19:20,25	12:16 13:23	jessica 3:5
23:9	20:7,22 21:7	19:16	12:19 21:25
future 10:4,6	21:9	incorporated	
10:7,7,7,8	hereinbefore	12:1	K
10:12 11:4	23:8	individual	kicks 13:19
	highway 1:11	14:1	kings 1:11 2:6
G	1:22 2:6	industrial	know 6:25
gazic 2:3 23:3	hiker 10:9	10:7	12:20 13:14
give 4:5 20:4	hold 6:24	information	14:20,21
go 11:1 14:13	honest 18:9	6:10 12:13	15:21 16:19
goes 15:6	hopefully 6:3	13:9 16:22	17:19,23
17:25	howell 4:17	initiated 4:7	20:25 21:16
going 6:5,6	hull 13:6	input 10:14	known 4:4 7:9
18:23 20:8	human 10:2,3	inspections	
20:23 21:1	10:16	8:6	L

originate 14:14	photographs 7:18	probably 14:6 16:13 17:14	rainfalls 8:25
ou1 1:6	photography 5:5 7:17	proceeding 22:12	range 10:16
ou4 20:12	pieces 9:4	process 11:11	ranges 10:14
outline 12:6	pine 7:22, 23	program 4:8, 24 4:24 5:7, 12 5:12, 22, 25 7:8, 14 8:1 10:1	ranging 13:20
outside 14:18	pit 8:11 17:6 17:7	programs 5:9	rcra 5:22
<hr/> P <hr/>	pits 8:10, 16 8:17 9:1, 2, 3 13:18	project 3:2	real 8:8
p 1:14 2:8 22:13	place 4:24 5:9 5:18 6:25 23:7	proper 15:18	realignment 17:25
page 11:17 16:11	placed 11:14 11:20	proposed 1:5 4:2 5:14 6:3 6:8 10:22 11:12, 13 12:9, 15, 23	really 14:8 21:2
pagtalunan 3:3 12:21 15:1, 5 17:11 18:19 19:13 21:8 21:24 22:8	plan 1:5 4:2 11:12, 13 12:9, 15, 23 17:21 19:6 19:17, 21, 24 20:3	proposing 11:3	reason 14:17
pah 13:23, 24 14:1	plans 5:14 6:3	protection 5:24	receive 12:5 21:16
parameters 13:19, 20	points 8:21	public 1:1 2:4 4:1 5:15 6:9 6:10, 13 11:14, 20 12:12 21:17 22:3, 9 23:3 23:23	received 11:23 11:25
park 11:21	portion 8:24	published 21:18	receptor 10:5
part 4:12, 24 5:11 7:11, 25 8:3 9:25 10:1	potassium 9:8	put 19:6, 12 21:8	record 5:20 6:4 12:2, 3 17:17
participation 6:10	potential 10:4 10:5 12:8		records 5:3, 10 8:4
parties 23:10	potentially 5:1	<hr/> Q <hr/>	recreational 10:8
pcbs 13:21	poured 13:15	question 15:16 16:24 17:16 18:1	red 7:20, 21, 23
pdf 12:25	practical 19:4	questions 12:18, 25 17:12, 15 22:9	registered 2:4
peak 4:5	prepared 11:13	quick 20:9	regulations 7:13
people 17:23	presentation 5:20 12:18	<hr/> R <hr/>	regulatory 5:9 11:10 18:15
period 6:10 11:12, 19, 20 11:21, 22 17:12	presented 4:3 5:15 6:9 12:14, 14	r 3:1 23:1	related 9:22 15:21
personnel 8:5 10:25	preservatives 13:10, 16	radar 17:23	relative 23:9 23:11
pesticides 13:21	preserved 13:6		remain 10:24
petroleum 13:25 14:4	press 11:21		remaining 6:1 7:3
photo 4:15	primarily 6:21		remains 11:9
photograph 6:17 7:22	prior 18:11		remedial 8:13 8:15 12:8
	priorities 7:10		remediated 5:22, 23
			remediation 7:14
			remedies 5:18
			remedy 5:17 10:22

remember 18:8 21:3	rod 17:19 22:3	showing 4:16	12:14
renzi 1:19	rods 17:17	shown 8:11	slides 21:21
renziassoc... 1:25	18:2,5 20:12	shrewsbury 12:11	small 8:19 9:4
report 8:15	runs 6:18 8:20 15:8	si 8:2,3	snow 11:9
13:1 16:20		side 4:17	soil 7:4 8:10
16:21 21:16	S	signed 5:10	9:6,18,19
reporter 2:3,4 23:4	samples 8:10	significantly 9:19	13:17,22
reporters 1:20	8:19 9:6,12	silver 9:8	16:2 20:13
repository 11:14	9:18 13:17	similar 20:2	21:7,8
required 18:16	sampling 8:21 9:21	simmons 12:24	soils 10:20
resident 10:6 10:7	says 15:11	13:3,23 14:3	16:1 17:6,7
residential 13:22 16:3	scenario 10:12	14:12,17	sorry 20:15
residents 10:11,11	scenarios 11:5	15:9,11,14	21:3
responsive... 12:1,3	screening 10:3 10:18 17:3	16:5,8,11,14	source 13:12
restoration 4:23 8:1	secure 6:24	17:4,8,10,14	13:14
review 4:2 5:3 8:4 10:13	secured 6:19 11:2	sit 20:10	south 8:20
11:16,21	sediment 8:19	site 1:5 4:3,4	southeast 4:5
reviewed 11:23	9:14,20,22	4:15 5:19,21	specifically 20:12
right 6:15,17	16:4	5:22,23 6:6	spilled 4:12
13:13 14:9	sediments 15:15,25	6:15,19 7:2	squares 8:12
15:18,19	see 6:14 9:21	7:5,5,7,8,12	stacked 7:2
18:21,21,21	14:15,23,24	7:16,21 8:2	stage 6:2
19:10,21	seeded 21:9	8:3,7,8,20	standards 13:22
rightofway 4:20	seen 9:11	9:23 10:9,20	standpoint 9:6 19:4
risk 5:13 10:2 10:3,4,16,17	selenium 16:10 16:25	10:21,22	starts 14:18
10:17 16:15	semivolatile 14:1	11:4,6,10,11	state 1:22 2:5
18:23	semivolatiles 13:20	14:22,24	5:16 10:23
risks 10:5,14 18:24	send 21:12	15:1,22 16:1	23:4,23
road 6:18,18 18:23	sense 20:23	16:2,19 17:6	states 4:9
roads 15:7	sent 11:18	17:6,8 18:6	station 4:4,16
roberto 3:3 12:19	sequence 6:11	18:7,8,10,20	stay 19:23
	series 7:18	19:17,25	stenograph... 2:2 23:7
	set 23:8	20:1,2,5,13	step 9:25
	ship 6:25	20:13 21:2	steps 7:13
	ships 6:24,25	sites 4:9,25	storage 5:25
	shouldnt 20:15	5:2,7,8,10	stream 8:19,22
	show 6:16 8:20 10:20	5:18,25 6:1	9:15 14:12
		7:11,18 20:9	16:4
		20:11,14,19	studies 5:14
		situation 17:22 18:25	9:12
		six 8:9	study 8:14
		size 6:20	9:25 10:2
		slide 4:15	

12:7 16:22	tetra 3:2	true 23:5	want 12:20
submission	thallium 16:10	trying 4:9	ware 15:8,9
6:12	16:25	18:9	wasnt 15:18
submit 6:11	thanks 14:3	tuesday 1:13	17:5 18:13
11:18	17:10 21:22	2:7	21:4
submits 12:4	22:11	turn 17:14	waste 4:10
submitted 9:6	thats 4:2,20	two 5:25 8:16	water 8:18,23
12:23	5:21,22	9:24	9:13,22 16:7
subsequent	12:15,17	type 13:9	16:18 17:1,4
8:12	13:1 15:16		waterfront
suite 1:22	18:1 20:22	U	4:18 6:15,23
13:19	theres 5:21	underground	18:12
summarized	8:23,25 11:7	5:24	way 15:23
8:14	16:22 17:24	united 4:8	weapons 4:4,16
summary 6:7,8	17:24 19:7	unloaded 7:1	website 12:25
12:2,3 16:12	theyre 8:11	updating 19:6	13:2
16:15 20:1,2	theyve 5:13	19:21	went 5:7
superfund 5:12	things 17:23	upgradient	whats 8:2 13:4
7:8,9	think 13:11	14:22	21:1
surface 7:3	14:8 19:3	upstream 14:13	wide 8:13
8:18 9:13,22	20:22	use 4:14 5:4	winter 7:20
16:7,18 17:1	thinking 13:4	7:5 10:12	wood 9:4 13:15
	13:6 20:16	11:5	wooded 10:24
T	three 6:19	usepa 3:5	11:7
t 23:1,1	9:10	user 10:8	woods 10:24
taken 2:1 7:2	time 23:7	uses 7:18	work 8:14
7:20 23:6	today 5:9	usually 8:23	17:24,25
tal 13:18	toll 1:24	17:19 18:4	worker 10:7,8
talk 6:5,6	tonight 4:7		working 5:16
talking 15:3	6:5 11:3,16	V	written 12:11
20:10	tonights 5:19	vegetation	wrong 20:1
tall 7:22,23	topic 5:19	7:21	www 1:25
7:24 11:7	total 4:25	verify 8:17	
tank 5:25	13:25 14:4	vertebrates	X
target 10:16	township 1:9	10:19	x 1:3,7
tcl 13:18	2:5 4:19	videographers	xi01883 2:4
tech 3:2	townships 4:18	1:20	23:5
tel 1:24	tracks 14:1	view 11:6	
tell 15:6	transcript 2:1	visual 8:6	Y
terrestrial	21:17,18	volatiles	yeah 13:8,14
10:18	23:6	13:20	14:2,16 15:5
test 8:9,11,16	treated 13:4		15:13 16:8
8:17 9:1,2	trees 7:22,23	W	18:25 19:3
13:18 17:6,7	trenton 1:23	wagner 15:9,10	19:13,18,19
testimony 2:1	tributary 8:24	15:12,13,15	20:6 22:4
23:6	15:17,19	15:17,18	year 5:21,21

years 7:6 8:6 20:25	3		
yellow 7:16	30 11:21		
youre 16:6 20:10	300 8:21 33 1:22 3687652 1:24		
Z	4		
zinc 9:8	40 7:6 22:13 41 6:1 410 1:22 46 6:1		
0	5		
07 1:14 2:7 08690 1:23	50 20:25		
1	6		
1 1:11 2:6 10 9:3 12 23:24 13 11:22 13th 17:12 16 5:23 1940s 4:13 1967 6:20 13:8 1970s 7:6 1972 6:20 13:9 1980s 4:7 1982 7:25, 25 1990 7:10 1992 8:7, 12 9:1 1995 8:14, 15 9:1 1996 8:15	609 1:24 7 7 1:14 2:7 9:3 18:6, 7, 8, 10 19:9 20:9 21:2 22:13		
2	8		
2 5:22 20 4:20 20:14 20:16, 17 2014 1:2, 13 2:7 5:21 6:4 11:22 23:24 22 5:9, 18 2277 1:22 23 20:13, 13, 15 27 4:25 20:18 28 1:2, 13 2:7	800 1:24 9 9 1:6 4:3, 4 5:19 6:6, 15 6:19 7:2, 7 7:12, 16 8:3 8:8 9:23 11:6, 11 14:24 15:1 15:22 16:1, 2 16:19 17:6, 7 17:8 19:9 20:9 9899199 1:24		