

N50092.AR.000185  
JEB FORT STORY, VA  
5090.3a

LETTER TRANSMITTING LEGAL REVIEW OF DRAFT DECISION DOCUMENT FOR  
FIREFIGHTER TRAINING AREA AND AUTO CRAFT AREA FORT STORY VA  
3/24/2003  
GENERAL ATTORNEY ENVIRONMENTAL LAW

file: 6C.10  
6D.4  
6D.7

ATZF-JA (NPL)

24 March 2003


0185

MEMORANDUM FOR DPW-ENRD, ATTN: Ms. Bateman

SUBJECT: Legal Review of the Draft Decision Document for the Firefighter Training Area and Auto Craft Building Area, Fort Story, VA

1. There are no legal objections to the Draft Decision Document.
2. On page 2-9, there is reference to a data logger from May 22 to May 23<sup>rd</sup> for the Auto Craft Site. On page 2-8, the data logger time was from May 19 through May 22<sup>nd</sup>. Are these dates correct and was one day only used for the Auto Craft site?
3. Table 2-2 shows four monitoring well samples, but only three wells. On page 2-13, third bullet states that groundwater samples were collected "from two existing and two new monitoring wells." It appears that a well was omitted from Table 2-2.
4. Page 2-18, fourth line down, should this be "their presence on the site would be expected to be for only a short time"? The "not" currently in the sentence should be omitted.
5. Page 2-21, the first sentence is confusing. Consider changing this to read, "...for industrial soils, and because groundwater is not used at the site, ...."

FOR THE STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE:

  
SUSAN A. BIVINS  
General Attorney  
Environmental Law