

N50092.AR.000319
JEB FORT STORY, VA
5090.3a

LETTER ASKING FOR COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS PERTAINING TO PETROLEUM
CONTAMINATION AT 80TH DIVISION RESERVE SITE, LIGHTER AMPHIBIOUS RESUPPLY
CARGO (LARC) 60 AREA, AUTO CRAFT AREA FORT STORY VA

8/25/1994

U.S. ARMY TRANSPORTATION CENTER FORT EUSTIS VA



**Environmental and
Natural Resources Division**
Directorate of Public Works
Fort Eustis/Fort Story

**U.S. ARMY TRANSPORTATION CENTER
FORT EUSTIS, VIRGINIA 23604-5332**

FACSIMILE HEADER SHEET

FROM:	DANIEL S. MUSEL ENRD	PHONE: 804-878-3817	
		FAX: 804-878-4589	
TO:	SCOTT McMILLIAN DEQ, WASTE DIVISION	PHONE: 804-762-4232	
		FAX: 804-762-4234	
DATE	25 August 94	PRIORITY ()	ROUTINE (X)
TITLE	DEQ Water Division Letters	NO. OF PAGES (INCLUDING HEADER): 4	

RELEASER'S SIGNATURE: _____

Scott,

As I mentioned earlier today, here are three letters I have recently received from DEQ Water Division pertaining to petroleum contamination at Fort Story.

The Aug 21 letter is for the 80th Division LARC 60 Area. We detected TPH at 17,872 mg/kg and lead at 356 mg/kg. You should have all the correspondence for this site. I was planning on conducting a removal action at the 80th Division LARC site to remove the TPH and Lead contaminated soil. Do I need to do this? I do not want to spent a lot of our tax payers money if it is not required.

The Aug 18 letter is for the Auto Craft Shop. Montgomery Watson conducted a PA/SI at the site and discovered the following:

- Highest TPH in the soils - 2,400 mg/kg
- Lead in one soil boring at 0.5 feet - 530 mg/kg
- Zinc in one soil boring at 0.5 and 10 feet - 870 mg/kg and 93 mg/kg
- TFH-H in one groundwater sample - 0.7mg/L and 1.4 mg/L

The report for the Auto Craft Shop is *Final Site Investigation Report for the Fort Story Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation and Fort Story NIKE Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation* dated January 1992. If you do not have the report, let me know and I will get you a copy.

According to the January 1992 PA/SI Report, Montgomery Watson recommended conducting an RI/FS at the Auto Craft Shop and the LARC 60 Maintenance Site (which is different from the 80th Division site). I am planning and scoping an RI for the Auto Craft Shop and the LARC 60 Maintenance Area which is mentioned in the 17 Aug letter. If the RI is not necessary, I do not want to conduct it and spend a lot of money.

If you have any comments or suggestions on this matter, please let me know.

Dan

TRANSMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

TIME: 01/22/1994 02:51
NAME: ENRD FT EUSTIS
FAX : 8784589
TEL : 8784123

DATE, TIME	01/22 02:49
FAX NO./NAME	918047524234
DURATION	00:02:28
PAGE(S)	04
RESULT	OK
MODE	STANDARD