



Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

October 24, 1988

Mr. E. J. Cordes, Jr.
Commander CEC, U.S. Navy
Head Facilities Management Department
Department of the Navy
Northern Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112-5094

Dear Mr. Cordes:

This is in response to the October 6, 1988, meeting between Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) staff, U.S. Navy staff, Corps of Engineers staff and RMT staff regarding the Naval Industrial Reserve Ordnance Plant (NIROP) in Fridley, Minnesota. As discussed at the meeting, enclosed are examples of two and three party agreements, governing Remedial Action, that could be used as an agreement between the Navy and MPCA, and the Navy, MPCA and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) respectively. We would like the Navy to indicate which type of agreement they believe is applicable to NIROP. If the EPA decides that the NIROP site is on the National Priorities List (NPL) then a three party Federal Facility Agreement will be necessary. As you know there is some question as to whether NIROP is on the NPL or not. We expect EPA to clarify this issue in the near future.

With regard to the Feasibility Study Addendum the MPCA staff will be submitting comments to the Navy shortly. We would also hope to approve the Phase I pump-out as an Interim Response Action barring technicalities due to negotiation of a FFA.

Phone: _____

520 Lafayette Road, St. Paul, Minnesota 55155
Regional Offices • Duluth/Brainerd/Detroit Lakes/Marshall/Rochester
Equal Opportunity Employer

Mr. E.J. Cordes

Page 2

Please contact me at (612) 296-7775 if you have any questions on this matter.

Sincerely,

Mark Lahtinen

Mark Lahtinen
Project Manager
Responsible Party Unit I
Site Response Section
Ground Water and Solid Waste Division

ML:ds

Enclosures

cc: William Warren, FMC
T.G. Sheckels, Navy
~~David Smith, Navy~~
Melvin Vojvodich, NIROP
Grace Pinzon, U.E. EPA
Joan Tanaka, U.S. EPA