

N00210.AR.001518
NSTC GREAT LAKES
5090.3a

MEETING MINUTES 19 JANUARY 2006 SELFRIDGE ANGB QUARTERLY MEETING NS
GREAT LAKES IL
1/19/2006
U S NAVY

**Minutes from the 19 January 2006
Selfridge ANGB Quarterly Meeting**

List of Attendees:

David VanGasbeck	HQ ANG/CEV	301-836-8065
Russ Dyer	ANG/CEVR	301-836-8149
Mark Dickerson	ANG/CEVR	301-836-8445
Ken Baker	127WG/CEV	586-307-5741
Moe Arif	127WG/CEV	586-307-6259
Pete Quackenbush	MDEQ	517-373-7397
Dan Hamel	Tetra Tech Nus, Inc.	517-394-0812
Gary Smith	Tetra Tech Nus, Inc.	517-394-0808
Gregory Miller	USAG-M	586-307-5665
Joe Kraciw	USAG-M	586-307-5669
Aaron Etnyre	BB&E	248-489-9636 ext 303
John Pendleton	SAIC	703-318-4759
Steve Griswold	CH2M Hill	313-871-5123 ext 235
Dan Marion	CH2M Hill	770-604-9182
John Razzolini	CH2M Hill	770-604-9182
Scott Saroff	CH2M Hill	315-233-9457
Jerry Gers	MWH	248-449-3428
Kim Amley	MWH	248-449-3431
Warren Brown	127WG/CECE	586-307-5887
MAJ Drew Causey	127CEC	586-307-4658

1. These meeting minutes summarize the Quarterly Regulatory Review Meeting held at Selfridge Air National Guard Base (ANGB) on 19 January 2006. Action items resulting from the meeting are presented in **bold** in the following minutes.

2. Mr. Moe Arif and Mr. Mark Dickerson welcomed all attendees and introductions were made.

3. Mr. Hamel provided the update of the Navy activities. Mr. Hamel provided a schedule of upcoming activities and deliverables from the Navy over the next quarter. **Mr. Hamel indicated they have received NFA Approval from the MDEQ on the Sea-Bees Area. Mr. Quackenbush indicated that six additional sites are currently being reviewed for NFA Decisions. Mr. Hamel indicated they are still waiting for reports from the excavation contractor (TolTest) to be able to complete Closure Reports for the final six sites (sites with soil remediation). Mr Hamel indicated the Navy anticipates obtaining NFA Decisions on all the sites, once reports are received and Closure Reports completed.** Mr. Hamel indicated that he expects to receive all information required to complete NFA's by May 2006. Mr. Quackenbush indicated that the sites reviewed met residential criteria with no restrictions (not based on "Groundwater not an aquifer" decision or base background concentrations). The NFAs have been approved with no conditions. Mr. Baker requested copies of all documentation prepared

by Navy and MDEQ related to the NFA's. After receiving NFA's for all Navy sites, Mr. Hamel indicated that the Navy plans to meet formally with Air Force regarding the property transfer. In addition, Tetra Tech will work with BB&E to obtain information for inclusion in the Environmental Indicator forms to be prepared for the June 2006 submittal to MDEQ.

Mr. Dickerson discussed the scheduled transfer of 520 acres of Army Property to the ANG resulting from the BRAC recommendations. The ANG indicated a need for a clear understanding of the current status of the environmental issues that exist on the Army sites prior to the land transfer. **Mr. Quackenbush indicated that the MDEQ will accelerate reviews for Army properties.** Although MDEQ indicated that it could be assumed that no further actions were required for the AOCs which were not specifically addressed by MDEQ in their response to the Environmental Baseline Survey, Mr. Dickerson indicated the ANG needed documentation from MDEQ to support their determination. The group understood that actions were still required on sites 2 and 8. In preparation for Army's transfer of the property to ANG, Mr. Kraciw indicated the documentation for the property transfer has been submitted to the Department of Army. Mr. Dickerson reiterated ANG's preference that MDEQ make the issues related to the property transfer their number one priority. **Mr. Quackenbush requested that ANG prepare a priority list for ANG work to assist with their review.** The following issues were also discussed by Army:

- Army has no new projects being conducted.
- Sites 1533 and 781 are being addressed via the LUST program. Although Mr. Quackenbush is not formally involved in the sites, Army has kept MDEQ abreast of progress at these sites.
- The Army will forward updated SOW for Preliminary GSI Evaluation at EBS Sites 2&8 to the MDEQ for review.
- Discussion of Site 5- MDEQ may consider the site on general fill and not a landfill. An NFA letter may be able to be granted based on this fact. **The MDEQ would like the ANG to submit additional information, including a revised map/boundary for the site.**

Mr. Warren Brown, Base Civil Engineering, discussed upcoming CE projects. The following projects were discussed:

- *Hydrogen Fueling Facility*- This facility is planned near former IRP Site 10, which has an NFA decision. Current plans do not involve removal or relocation of the soil during the construction project. Site was originally located in close proximity to Coal Yard Storage (CYS) AOC. Mr. Baker asks MDEQ if there are still concerns with the CYS-AOC. In response to Mr. Baker's question, MDEQ indicated that the site required investigation. ANG plans to award a contract in FY'06 to investigate the CYS-AOC.
- *Starbase Addition*- A building addition is planned at the existing Starbase Building during FY'06. The new addition will affect a monitoring well located at

this site. **ANG has placed the 1051 LTM project on hold until construction is complete and the well is replaced** (Note: Based upon contamination found in the vicinity of Building 134 during the excavation of Site 22, the LTM project will be placed on hold until the extent of additional contamination at Building 134 can be identified. The LTM project may be undertaken in the future depending on the result of the investigative actions in this area.)

7. Mr. Dickerson then initiated a discussion of the MWH Project Status.

- A Completion Report for Site 2 was submitted to MDEQ in October 2005, recommending NFA. **MDEQ currently reviewing the Site 2 Completion Report prepared by MWH.**
- MDEQ completed review of the Buildings 1051/134 Completion Report and submitted comments on August 10, 2005. **MDEQ indicated they would complete their final reviews shortly.**

The Building 99 CAP was submitted in December. MDEQ has provided comment on the Building 99 CAP. MWH is addressing the comments and will resubmit the CAP.

- **Building 1422 Site Assessment. MWH has delivered the Draft Work Plan to MDEQ. MWH anticipates field work beginning in April 2006.**

8. Mr. Dickerson initiated a discussion of the ANG FY 03 Program Status.

- The Site 37 Draft FS was submitted by SAIC in December 2006. The **ANG requested that MDEQ give the review of this document its second highest priority** as this is a key document for the remedial action construction project to be contracted by ANG in June 2006. **The ANG would like MDEQ concurrence with this document to be completed by April 2006. MDEQ has assigned priority to the Site 37 review as well. Dave Slayton is working on the review.**

9. Mr. Dickerson initiated a discussion of the ANG FY 04 Program Status.

- **Mr. Dickerson requested concurrence from the MDEQ on the Draft FS for IRP Sites 17-34.** Although follow-on work has proceeded at these sites, ANG would like a letter of concurrence for the administrative record. For sites 20, 21, 24-27, MDEQ comments can be incorporated into the RAC work plans.
- CH2MHill has completed excavations for all sites under the RAC contract. They will install wells and conduct sampling at site 23 during February 2006. Chemical oxidation injections will be conducted if analytical data indicates contamination is above criteria. They will prepare closure reports for the sites by June 2006. At Site 22, CH2MHill excavated contaminated soil beyond quantities

specified in the Feasibility Study. Floor and sidewall samples were found to be above criteria. In addition, unforeseen abandoned lines were encountered. Testing indicated the soil in the vicinity of the lines was contaminated. ANG has committed to conducting a site assessment in FY'06 to determine the extent of contamination. **The ANG will inform MDEQ of its plans for site 22 at the next quarterly meeting.**

- East POL Yard- Quarterly monitoring indicates that one well has a slight exceedance of benzene. All groundwater sampling at the site has ceased. CH2MHill will restart their sampling effort in June 2006 and collect the remaining four additional rounds of sampling data. The ANG will formally address the site following completion of the sampling effort.
- Eight AOCs- ANG request letters confirming that no additional action is required for the eight AOCs. **MDEQ indicated that formal NFA letters will be provided for the eight AOCs.** MDEQ has provided informal concurrence to SAIC on the NFA for the eight AOCs and does not foresee problems with providing NFAs at the sites.
- Site 1 LTM- SAIC conducted second year of Groundwater sampling and **will submit the monitoring report in February 2006.**

10. Mr. Dickerson initiated a discussion of the projects planned by the ANG for FY05. The following potential projects were discussed:

- RAC for Sites 20, 21, 24-27- SAIC has prepared and submitted the Supplemental Site Investigation Work Plan. ANG has provided comments on the work plan is awaiting a response to comments from SAIC. **SAIC will move forward with preparation of the PP/ROD for the sites and plan to have draft documents completed in March 2006. The document will be sent out for 30 day public comment period.**
- DRMO AOC- CH2MHill has completed SA Work Plan. **The ANG has provided comments on the plan and is awaiting a response to comments from CH2MHill**

11. PP/ROD Discussion- The ANG reiterated Air Force policy that remedial actions can not be implemented without completing the Proposed Plan/Record of Decision process. MDEQ indicated that their policy is to view all remedial actions as interim and that they would issue a closure ROD for the entire base when all remedial actions are completed. MDEQ indicated that they would support the PP/ROD process but would not approve the ROD. ANG will maintain a copy of the final unsigned ROD in the administrative record. In addition, MDEQ indicated they do not require Corrective Action Plans at non-LUST sites sent out for public comment or review. The MDEQ asserted their view that the public comment period takes place at the Remedial Action Plan stage.

12. All parties set the date for the next quarterly meeting. **The next meeting was scheduled for April 13, 2006. Mr. Dickerson will confirm the meeting date with all parties. At the present time, this date is satisfactory for all meeting participants.**

The meeting was adjourned.