

N61414.PF.002085
JEB LITTLE CREEK
5090.3b

EMAIL AND COMMENTS FROM VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
ON SECOND FIVE YEAR REVIEW NAB LITTLE CREEK VIRGINIA BEACH VA (PUBLIC
NOTICE)
03/17/2014
VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

From: Herman, Paul (DEQ) <Paul.Herman@deq.virginia.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2014 3:13 PM
To: bryan.peed@navy.mil
Cc: Boylan.Jeffrey@epa.gov; Landin, Cecilia/VBO; Price, Nathaniel/VBO; Doran, Karen (DEQ); Johnston, Milton (DEQ)
Subject: RE: JEB Little Creek FYR

Bryan,

VDEQ completed its review of the 03/17/14 redline version of the Second Five Year Review and offers the following comments.

1. Section 4.3.2: In the last paragraph, please revise the 2nd sentence as follows, "Additionally, an approximately 27-cubic-foot (ft3) sinkhole, observed *in July 2011* on the southeast edge of the landfill, was filled with material removed to create the drainage swale, and re-vegetated."
2. Table 4-4: Similar to Sites 11a and 13, Site 7 remediation costs are outside the +50%/-30% range. Therefore, the Site 7 portion of the Summary Form and Sections 4.3.3 and 4.6 should be revised accordingly noting the path forward will be discussed, i.e., whether an ESD is necessary or not given the delay in LTM startup.
3. Table 5-4: Ensure the last sentence of "Action Taken" for "Issue" Annual GW Monitoring is revised as discussed (delete "will no longer be needed") during the 03/17/14 conference call.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks.

Paul E. Herman, P.E.
Remediation Project Manager
Virginia Department of Environmental Quality
629 East Main Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804)-698-4464
paul.herman@deq.virginia.gov
[Website www.deq.virginia.gov](http://www.deq.virginia.gov)

From: Cecilia.Landin@ch2m.com [mailto:Cecilia.Landin@ch2m.com]
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2014 10:03 AM
To: Herman, Paul (DEQ); Boylan.Jeffrey@epa.gov
Cc: bryan.peed@navy.mil; Nathaniel.Price@CH2M.com
Subject: JEB Little Creek FYR
Importance: High

Team,

Attached is the revised redline JEB Little Creek FYR. This redline represents changes made as a result of Navy legal/technical review and additional comments received from VDEQ via email on 3/13. The changes made as a result of formal comments received have been accepted and are not represented in redline in the attached version. Of note, as mentioned by Bryan in a previous email, the protectiveness statements for Sites 9, 10, and 11 have been retained as

short-term protective. Please take a look at the attached document and let us know if you have any questions or comments.

Thanks,
Cecilia