

N62661.AR.003295  
NS NEWPORT  
5090.3a

TRANSMITTAL LETTER REGARDING THE SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN  
CODDINGTON POINT NS NEWPORT RI  
01/30/2014  
RESOLUTION CONSULTANTS

Resolution Consultants  
A Joint Venture of AECOM & EnSafe  
1500 Wells Fargo Building  
440 Monticello Avenue  
Norfolk, Virginia 23510

January 30, 2014

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1  
Federal Facilities Superfund Section  
Attn: Mr. William Lovely  
5 Post Office Square  
Mail Code: OSR  
Boston, MA 02109-3912

Rhode Island, Department of Environmental Management  
Office of Waste Management  
Attn: Ms. Pamela Crump  
235 Promenade Street  
Providence, RI 02908-5767

**RE: Final Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP)  
Coddington Point, NAVSTA Newport, Rhode Island**

Dear Mr. Lovely and Ms. Crump:

On behalf of the Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC), Mid-Atlantic (MIDLANT), Resolution Consultants is providing you with the Final Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP). The SAP pertains to the planned investigation at the buried debris areas at Coddington Point (Site 23), Naval Station (NAVSTA) Newport, Rhode Island. We appreciate the agencies input and collaboration with the Navy on this project. EPA concurrence was received via e-mail on December 6, 2013, and RIDEM concurrence was received via e-mail on November 1, 2013.

EPA's concurrence included qualifications for future consideration in parallel with conducting the RI field investigation. These qualifications are addressed in the following paragraphs.

(1) Prior Evaluation of Urban Fill - the Navy acknowledges the comment on the Evaluation of Urban Fill Report not meeting the requirements of a Study Area Screening Evaluation (SASE), as stated in the SAP. The Navy is implementing the RI field investigation described in the SAP to expand the information compiled from the prior report.

(2) Reference to 40 CFR 61.151 - the Navy understands that the EPA intends to further evaluate the inclusion of relevant parts of 40 CFR 61.151, particularly in terms of cover materials acceptable for risk mitigation. The intent of the Navy is to review the protectiveness of referenced cover materials (or equivalent or better), and these will be considered during the FS development of remedial alternatives. Additional discussions are encouraged on this matter while the RI field investigation proceeds.



(3) Additional ACM - the Navy acknowledges that additional asbestos-containing material (ACM) beyond the AOCs included in the SAP have been subject of discussion. The Navy will continue to address its ACM where identified at the Naval Station; however, the context of study will be case-by-case. For example, ACM identified at the New Fitness Center, also known as P-347 New Fitness Center, has been incorporated as part of Site 9, Old Fire Fighting Training Area (OFFTA). Other information is under review as noted in the SAP.

Thank you again for your continued support and we look forward to working on the field data collection program during the winter and spring as the weather permits.

Sincerely,



Resolution Consultants  
Neil Thurber, P.E.  
701 Edgewater Drive  
Wakefield, Massachusetts 01880  
(781) 224.6352

Document Distribution:

William Lovely, EPA (1 hard copy, 1 CD)  
Pamela Crump (1 hard copy, 1 CD)  
Gary Jablonksi, RIDEM (letter only)  
David Dorocz, Navy (letter only)  
Tom Smith, Navy (1 CD)  
Dominic O'Connor, Navy (1 hard copy, 1 CD)  
Jen Wright, Navy (1 CD)  
David Barclift, Navy (1 CD)