

M00263.AR.000616
MCRD PARRIS ISLAND
5090.3a

EMAIL REGARDING U S EPA REGION IV COMMENTS ON SCREENING LEVEL
ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT FOR SITE 3 CAUSEWAY LANDFILL MCRD PARRIS
ISLAND SC
6/6/2008
U S EPA REGION IV

From: Koroma-Llamas.Lila@epamail.epa.gov
To: meredith_amick; Sanford_Art_F_CIV_EFDSOUTH; sommer_barker; Susan_Byrd; charles.cook2@navy.mil; heber_pittman; [Diane_Duncan_\(E-mail\)](mailto:Diane_Duncan_(E-mail)); kelly_taylor; koroma-llamas.lila@epa.gov; Sladic_Mark; Whitten_Mike; mac_mcrae; [tim_harrington_\(email\)](mailto:tim_harrington_(email)); [Tom_Dillon_\(E-mail\)](mailto:Tom_Dillon_(E-mail)); [Priscilla_Wendt_\(E-mail\)](mailto:Priscilla_Wendt_(E-mail)); Koroma-Llamas.Lila@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: UPDATE: Re: MCRD PI Site 3 SERA path forward
Date: Friday, June 06, 2008 12:27:26 PM
Attachments: [Tech Memo Pathforward Email Feedback from EPA.doc](#)

Hi there,

Just for clarification..... let me add the following:

1) When inquiring about confidence levels, I did not mean to imply that the old route of "comparing depositional area maximums against 2xs the mean background" was no longer a valid approach. It is just that in team meetings we had discussed whether the EPA data alone would be a sufficient number of samples, and that combined with me not being sure exactly when and where the Navy is starting the QAPP business, I added that in to see what you are thinking at this point in time. But if Site 3 Final RA, PP and ROD are allowed to close out in the "PI traditional ways", the depositional area max to background comparisons we have been doing are fine.

2) Since the HH and Eco approach to screening,etc. are so different, if there is a reason you need to use just EPA data in one scenario for Area 4 sediments, and some different combination of data (2001 + 2003) in another scenario for HH fish consumption, please feel free to let us know and state your reasoning. Otherwise, I was simply suggesting we be consistent in data use between the two assessments, if appropriate.

3) Since it appears parameters for the Site-specific Fish Consumption scenario will be negotiated, rather than based on more interview type data, we suggest you pick those parameters and shoot us a quick email with your justifications for which you picked, before getting too far into the write-up for the Tech Memo.

That's all for now.

Thanks,
Lila
404-562-9969

Lila
Koroma-Llamas/R4
/USEPA/US
To
"Sladic, Mark"
05/28/2008 02:05 PM <Mark.Sladic@tetrattech.com>,
"meredith amick"
<amickMS@dhec.sc.gov>, "Sanford,
Art F CIV EFDSOUTH"
<art.sanford@navy.mil>, "sommer
barker" <barkerjs@dhec.sc.gov>,
"Susan Byrd"
<BYRDSK@dhec.sc.gov>,
charles.cook2@navy.mil, "heber