

M00263.AR.000819  
MCRD PARRIS ISLAND  
5090.3a

EMAIL REGARDING TETRA TECH COMMENTS ON DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES FOR  
WORKSHEETS FROM REVISED SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN FOR SITE 27  
EQUIPMENT PARADE DECK MCRD PARRIS ISLAND SC  
5/14/2010  
TETRA TECH NUS

-----Original Message-----

From: Sladic, Mark

Sent: Friday, May 14, 2010 5:26 PM

To: Llamas.Lila@epamail.epa.gov; Annie Gerry

Cc: Meredith Amick; Joe Bowers; Charles CIV NAVFAC SE Cook; heber pittman; Stacey French; Kent Krieg; mac mcrae; Pat Franklin; Churchill, Peggy; Smith, Preston; timharrington (email) (timothy.j.harrington@usmc.mil); PriscillaWendt (E-mail); llamas.lila@epa.gov

Subject: RE: Parris Island Site 27 - interim WS's for EPA request

Hi everyone. I won't be at the conference, so I'll weigh in now. Essentially, we thought we were making a fairly simple request for clarification on an EPA DQO review comment. We asked if EPA was not satisfied with our planned screening approach, and was recommending additional field screening tests, we wanted to know how EPA was proposing to use or apply the results of those additional tests. However, wasn't clear to any of us at Tetra Tech if EPA was really intending the comment to read like that. So we just asked. We in turn have been asked to provide additional documentation as a prerequisite for getting a conference call (and hopefully an answer). So that's what we've done and that's where we are. Like everyone else on the Team, whatever it takes. Hope this helps. Thanx. MS.

>>> "Sladic, Mark" <Mark.Sladic@tetrattech.com> 5/14/2010 9:00 AM >>>

Please see attached select worksheets (and supporting material) from the upcoming revised Site 27 SAP. The select worksheets (11, 17, 18) provide the information requested by EPA to mitigate the 'at Risk' mobilization. For those intending to participate, we'd suggest at Monday 9:00 AM conference call to receive any EPA (or other) comments (or concurrence) on the WSs. I believe SCDHEC has already concurred to letting the Navy proceed at risk. I am uncertain if following review of this material, EPA will consider some level of concurrence, or will still expect that Navy is proceeding entirely at risk. Please let us know if the proposed call scheduling will support whatever determination EPA intends regarding degrees of at-risk. I am using what I believe is our new consensus distribution list, however, please forward this material as necessary. Thanks.

Mark Sladic, P.E. | Project Manager

Direct: 412.921.8216 | Main: 412.921.7090 | Fax: 412.921.4040

mark.sladic@tetrattech.com <<mailto:mark.sladic@tetrattech.com>>

Tetra Tech NUS, Inc

661 Andersen Drive Foster Plaza 7 | Pittsburgh, PA 15220 | [www.ttnus.com](http://www.ttnus.com)

PLEASE NOTE: This message, including any attachments, may include privileged, confidential and/or inside information. Any distribution or use of this communication by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system.