

M00263.AR.000914  
MCRD PARRIS ISLAND  
5090.3a

EMAIL OF TRANSMITTAL AND SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND  
ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL COMMENTS ON DRAFT FINAL SITE MANAGEMENT PLAN  
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011 MCRD PARRIS ISLAND SC  
11/23/2010  
SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL

**From:** [Meredith Amick](#)  
**To:** [Sarah M CIV NAVFAC SE Reed](#); [Sladic, Mark](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: E comments to the SMP  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 23, 2010 8:21:01 AM  
**Attachments:** [smpcomments.docx](#)

---

Don't know if Charles was able to email this to you. Lila said that he is out.  
Let me know if you have questions.  
Meredith

>>> Meredith Amick 11/18/2010 11:44 AM >>>

Hey Charles,  
Here were the few items that I wanted to point out in the meeting yesterday. I asked your CERCLA expertise on one of them Lila...let me know what you think.

Thanks! If you have any questions please let me know.  
Meredith

Table 3 should include OU #s, this is in accordance with David Williams presentation at Sept Tier I meeting, this will help in harmonization

Again, commented multiple times, the sentence in Site 35 discussion “SCDHEC has provided some comments on this activity, on which the Navy has requested clarification” should be removed. You agreed in comments that it was a team action to determine a path forward. To my knowledge there are no comments that DHEC has provided on which questions still remain.

Again, commented multiple times, if the following sentence is untrue please remove, “Waste oil is periodically skimmed off the surface of the separator and transferred to SWMU 36.” If this sentence is true, we need to talk.

The comment question regarding if certain sites listed in Table 3 was inadequately addressed. Are the sites listed as being address with Site 14 really being addressed with Site 14? If a sample is just being taken at an outfall, which I believe is the objective for Site 14, this for most of the sites listed as “being addressed with Site 14” probably won’t be an adequate enough investigation to say NFA.

Lila, help me with this one. We talked after the meeting yesterday. The documents listed under Site 9 are called “Remedial Action Memo”; however, I think we thought they were “Removal Action Memo” which would make the document correct in saying that target dates apply (i.e. they are secondary documents).

Please provide more info on this deep water well 80 feet from Site 16.

Please change dates, definitions, etc. that we discussed in the meeting yesterday.

Table 3 All sites in 9,16,27,55 all say “...data gaps to be investigated in FY11” except for Site 55, it says FY 10, please correct the discrepancy.