

5/11/06-01846

EPA Comments regarding response to comments on the site 1 RI. email.txt  
From: Barber.Joshua@epamail.epa.gov  
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2006 3:50 PM  
To: Martin, Steven G CIV; RWAJOHN81@aol.com  
Cc: Brown, Cassandra/WDC; ljw99lou@aol.com; Glennie, Steven/WDC;  
Richardson.Todd@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: EPA Comments regarding "response to comments on the site 1 RI."  
email

Attachments: Tech Memo Site 1 RI RTC Final.doc

Steve. Here are 2 comments that we have in response to the comments document you sent on 5/4/06.

Response to Comment 13. It is stated that ". . . the Navy and DoD policy prohibits the use of non-promulgated toxicity values." Please explain why the 1987 toxicity values are more appropriate to use than the current 2001 TCE toxicity values, since they are both non-promulgated toxicity values.

Response to Comment 40. Please discuss the recommendations that were made at the April 2006 partnering meeting.

In addition, I am following up with one of our risk assessors with a question about Comment 2. Hopefully should be able to get back to you all shortly.

Thanks for the opportunity to review and comment.

Joshua A. Barber(3HS11)  
Remedial Project Manager  
NPL/BRAC/Federal Facilities Branch  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
1650 Arch Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029  
Phone: 215.814.3393  
Fax: 215.814.3051

"Martin, Steven  
G CIV"  
<steven.g.martin  
@navy.mil>

05/04/2006 03:28  
PM

To  
Joshua Barber/R3/USEPA/US@EPA,  
RWAJOHN81@aol.com  
CC  
Cassandra.Brown@CH2M.com,  
ljw99lou@aol.com, Todd  
Richardson/R3/USEPA/US@EPA,  
RWAJOHN81@aol.com,  
steven.glennie@CH2M.com  
Subject  
response to comments on the site  
1 RI.

EPA Comments regarding response to comments on the site 1 RI. email.txt  
Tom and Josh,  
Here are the Navy/Hill response to comments on the site 1 RI.

Thanks.

(See attached file: Tech Memo Site 1 RI RTC Final.doc)