

From: Burchette.John@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 10:46 AM
To: walt.j.bell@navy.mil; Staszak, Janna/VBO
Cc: Jones, Adrienne/VBO; kmdoran@deq.virginia.gov
Subject: Site 2 MNA modeling

Hey Walt hope your weekend went well. I'm in the process of putting together some information for a discussion I'm having with the Hydro's later this week (I'm meeting with the site specific hydro Mindi and the Region 3 Senior hydro Kathy Davies) and was wondering what the MNA modeling results showed for the "low concentration area"? The presentation that was developed for the partnering meeting only states the remediation timeframe for the high concentration area (of between 65 and 230yrs).

Is there are way you could evaluate the timeframe with MNA in the low concentration area since it is the area in which we are considering MNA (under reasonable conditions)? I think this data that would be valuable to include in the FS as well (since we need to show that the RAO's are achievable within a reasonable timeframe). Additionally, assuming a 30yr timeframe in the FS may be grossly under estimating the cost of monitoring this area and the Navy may want to revisit the cost based on a monitoring timeframe that is developed from scientific data (and not relying on the standard 30yr timeframe that is typically assumed).

Regards,

John Burchette(3HS11)

Remedial Project Manager

NPL/BRAC/Federal Facilities Branch

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

Phone: 215.814.3378

Fax: 215.814.3025

Burchette.john@epa.gov