

11/17/06-00634

**Staszak, Janna/VBO**

---

**From:** Sullivan, Agnes K CIV NAVFAC MidLant [agnes.sullivan@navy.mil]  
**Sent:** Friday, November 17, 2006 2:18 PM  
**To:** Henderson, Kimberly/VBO; Staszak, Janna/VBO  
**Subject:** Site 5 EECA Comments

Hi,

Here are my EE/CA comments.

1. Per last paragraph on page 2-5 - if Table 2-3, is the planned areas for removal for the Waste burnt soil areas and the Human Health Risk based and not the Ecological Risk based, then the table should specify.
2. Table 2-5, it would be nice if more details were provided for this table so it doesn't look like the values are "made up." What were the original number, what is the borrow source, what is the date of the analytical numbers.
3. In Section 2.4. says the waste burnt soil area is 4.3 acres, the human health is 3.1 acres and the eco is 1.6 acres. Just eye balling it, seems wrong. From looking at the figure, the human health areas look less than half of the waste burnt soils area and the eco area definitely looks more than the human health so I think that these areas need to be recalculated....If they are off, you need to correct in other sections that mention...
4. I am assuming that building 272 is going to remain so it should be removed from areas that are designated as "removal areas" (figure 4-2) Also, please state this in the alternatives.
5. Speaking of figure 4-2, I think removal area sounds weird since Alt 2 doesn't remove anything right?

**Agnes Sullivan, P.E.**

*RPM for St. Juliens Creek Annex*  
*POL for Craney Island & Naval Station Norfolk*  
NAVFAC MIDLANT Environmental OPHREV4  
9742 Maryland Avenue, Bldg N-26, Rm 3208  
Norfolk, VA 23511  
Ph: 757-444-4120  
DSN: 564-4120  
Fax: 757-444-5822  
agnes.sullivan@navy.mil

11/21/2006