

Responses to Comments
Updated Risk Evaluation for Site 11
St. Juliens Creek Annex
Chesapeake, Virginia

PREPARED FOR: Walt Bell, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic
Robert Stroud, EPA Region III
Karen Doran, VDEQ

PREPARED BY: CH2M HILL

DATE: January 29, 2010

Comments from VDEQ, provided 21 December 2009

1. *Comment:* In the first paragraph, twelfth line. Delete "i.e." in the parentheses.

Response: The suggested change has been made.

2. *Comment:* First paragraph, seventh sentence, "Run on sentence. See edits." "...inadvertently flagged as being below background concentrations and Aroclor-1260 was not identified as a chemical of potential concern (COPC) and was eliminated from further evaluation."

Response: This has been changed to: "inadvertently flagged as being below background concentrations. Aroclor-1260 was not identified as a chemical of potential concern (COPC) and, thus, was eliminated from further evaluation."

3. *Comment:* In the first paragraph, twenty-first line, delete the word "the".

Response: The suggested change has been made.

4. *Comment:* In the human health screening, third paragraph, "What if there is no background concentration as in our situation?"

Response: If there are no background concentrations, such as in the case of Aroclor, results are only compared to the appropriate RBC. "(if applicable" has been added to the text after background concentrations in the sentence.

5. *Comment:* Under the Human Health Risk Screening Results, third sentence, change the word "was" to "were".

Response: The suggested change has been made.

6. *Comment:* Table 1, "Please make a note on this table that it has been updated."

Response: The suggested change has been made. The change has also been made to Table 2.