

Staszak, Janna/VBO

From: Burchette.John@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Tuesday, July 21, 2009 2:01 PM
To: Staszak, Janna/VBO
Cc: Forshey, Adam/VBO; Jones, Adrienne/VBO; Karen.Doran@deq.virginia.gov; Stroud.Robert@epamail.epa.gov; walt.j.bell@navy.mil
Subject: RE: SJCA Site 21 Propose Plan

Excellent! Thanks so much and no further comment

John Burchette(3HS11)

Remedial Project Manager

NPL/BRAC/Federal Facilities Branch

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

Phone: 215.814.3378

Fax: 215.814.3025

Burchette.john@epa.gov

From: <Janna.Staszak@CH2M.com>
To: John Burchette/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Robert Stroud/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: <Karen.Doran@deq.virginia.gov>, <Adrienne.Jones@CH2M.com>, <walt.j.bell@navy.mil>, <Adam.Forshey@CH2M.com>
Date: 07/21/2009 01:46 PM
Subject: RE: SJCA Site 21 Propose Plan

John, we plan to reword the text as follows to address your comment. Please respond with your acceptance ASAP so that we can have the change made and document produced in time to get it to the library for the start of the public review period on Saturday. (The notice was sent for publication prior to receiving your latest comment.) Also, please let me know if EPA would like any hard copies.

"Principal threat wastes" are source materials considered to be highly toxic or highly mobile that generally cannot be reliably contained or would present a significant risk to human health or the environment should they be exposed. Contaminated groundwater generally is not considered to be a source material; however, non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPLs) in groundwater may be. Therefore, DNAPL could represent a principal threat waste if present in small, localized pockets at the top of the Yorktown confining unit. Investigations have not confirmed that DNAPL exists at the site, though the CVOC concentrations indicate it is likely present in select areas of the site based on the rule-of-thumb that concentrations in excess of 1 percent of a compound's solubility suggest that DNAPL may be present. Therefore, **although the** the groundwater at Site 21 **is** not considered to be principal threat waste, **as a conservative measure, the potential DNAPL will be considered as a principal threat waste.**

From: Burchette.John@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Burchette.John@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2009 7:51 AM
To: Doran,Karen; Forshey, Adam/VBO; Jones, Adrienne/VBO; Staszak, Janna/VBO; Stroud.Robert@epamail.epa.gov; walt.j.bell@navy.mil

Subject: RE: SJCA Site 21 Propose Plan

I have just one point of clarification beyond Karen's. Please note that in the RoD we will have to define the DNAPL as a principal threat waste due to the concentrations of the contaminants (for the sake of consistency maybe would should change the end of section 3?). By definition we are several orders of magnitude above what EPA would consider an acceptable level for these contaminants. OSWER Directive 9283.1-33 (beneficial use) kinda makes the discussion of the poor quality irrelevant.

Contact me with any questions or concerns,

John Burchette(3HS11)

Remedial Project Manager

NPL/BRAC/Federal Facilities Branch

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

Phone: 215.814.3378

Fax: 215.814.3025

Burchette.john@epa.gov

From: "Doran,Karen" <Karen.Doran@deq.virginia.gov>
To: <Janna.Staszak@CH2M.com>, <walt.j.bell@navy.mil>, John Burchette/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Robert Stroud/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: <Adrienne.Jones@CH2M.com>, <Adam.Forshey@CH2M.com>
Date: 07/17/2009 07:32 AM
Subject: RE: SJCA Site 21 Propose Plan

Janna -

On the last page it states the public meeting is Friday, August 11 - make the change to Tuesday, August 11. Once that is complete I concur with the document.

Also, I'd like one hard copy.

Thanks,

Karen

From: Janna.Staszak@CH2M.com [<mailto:Janna.Staszak@CH2M.com>]

Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 4:16 PM

To: walt.j.bell@navy.mil; Burchette.John@epamail.epa.gov; Stroud.Robert@epamail.epa.gov; Doran,Karen

Cc: Adrienne.Jones@CH2M.com; Adam.Forshey@CH2M.com

Subject: SJCA Site 21 Propose Plan

Hi team,

All of your comments have been incorporated into the Site 21 Interim Proposed Plan. It's attached and also posted to our web site. Please confirm that you concur with the document. We are in the process of publishing the public notice and will start the public review period August 1 (FFA requires 2 weeks after the public notice).

Also, please let me know if you are OK with the electronic deliverable or if you prefer a hard copy, and how many.

Thanks~

Janna Staszak, P.E.
Associate Project Manager
CH2M HILL
5700 Cleveland Street, Suite 101
Virginia Beach, VA 23462
Direct - 757.671.6256
Fax - 703.376.5992
Mobile - 757.268.6136
www.ch2mhill.com