

N60138.AR.003288
FISC WILLIAMSBURG
5090.3a

U S NAVY RESPONSE TO THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
COMMENTS DATED 29 JUNE 2015 REGARDING THE SITE 4 PRE-FEASIBILITY STUDY
TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM FISC WILLIAMSBURG VA
09/08/2015
CH2M HILL

Response to Comments
Site 4 Pre-Feasibility Study Technical Memorandum
Naval Weapons Station Yorktown Cheatham Annex
Williamsburg, VA
September 8, 2015

Comments received by email on June 29, 2015 from Wade Smith, Virginia Department of Environmental Quality.

VDEQ Comment #1: Page 3, bulleted list - VDEQ concurs with the EPA's recommendations to address these items in the FS. All editorial changes were made.

Navy Response: Comment noted.

VDEQ Comment #2: VDEQ concurs with the Navy's recommendation to address the PCBs under a separate TBD site and keep the fishing restrictions in place.

Navy Response: Comment noted. However, the paragraph has been removed based on the Navy decision to address the PCBs detected at Site 4, Upstream Pond (sediment and fish tissue), and Youth Pond (fish tissue) as part of Site 4 FS.

VDEQ Comment #3: VDEQ concurs with the Navy's recommendation to complete a voluntary PAH hotspot removal around the referenced surface soil location.

Navy Response: Comment noted.

VDEQ Comment #4: VDEQ concurs with [the] recommendation for soil and buried debris although we recommend reiterating inclusion of groundwater will not be addressed unless results from the forthcoming groundwater investigation warrant inclusion.

Navy Response: The text has been revised to reiterate groundwater will not be addressed unless results from the forthcoming groundwater investigation warrant inclusion.

VDEQ Comment #5: The 2001 Site Inspection Report and 2014 Remedial Investigation Report are not included in Table 1.

Navy Response: The 2001 Site Inspection Report summarizes the field activities from the 1998 Debris Removal and 1999 Field Investigation. Both investigations are included in Table 1 and the 2001 Site Inspection Report is referenced for both. A summary of the 2012 Remedial Investigation field activities is included in the text rather than in Table 1 and the 2014 Remedial Investigation Report is referenced in the text. No changes to the document were made.