

N00109.AR.002796
NWS YORKTOWN
5090.3a

EMAIL AND ATTACHED RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON THE SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS
PLAN SITE INSPECTION SITE 33 FORMER BUILDING 530 PAINT SHOP AND SAND
BLASTING OPERATIONS NWS YORKTOWN VA
08/06/2014
CH2M HILL

From: Friedmann, William/VBO
Sent: Wednesday, August 06, 2014 8:33 AM
To: Moshood Oduwole (oduwole.moshood@epa.gov)
Cc: Peed, Bryan K CIV NAVFAC MIDLANT, EV; Smith,Wade; Hunt, Renee/MKE; Anderson, Mary/VBO
Subject: Site 33 RTCs
Attachments: RTC_Cover Letter 080514.pdf; Site 33_RTCs_USEPA_Comments.pdf

Good morning Moshood,

Attached, please find the response to comments for the Site 33 UFP-SAP. Your comments were received on June 5, 2014. Please provide response to the RTCs by September 5th.

Thanks,

Bill



William J. Friedmann, Jr.
Project Manager/Hydrogeologist
CH2M HILL, Inc.
5701 Cleveland Street, Suite 200
Virginia Beach, VA 23462
Ph: 757-671-6223
Cell: 757-285-3985
Fx: 757-497-6885
E-mail: william.friedmann@ch2m.com



CH2M HILL
5701 Cleveland Street
Suite 200
Virginia Beach, VA 23462
Tel 757.671.8311
Fax 757.497.6885

August 5, 2014

488128.PP.PM

Mr. Moshood Oduwole
Federal Facilities Remediation (3HS11)
USEPA Region 3
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

Subject: Response-to-Comments on Draft Sampling and Analysis Plan Response to Comments
Site 33 Former Building 530 Paint Shop and Sand Blasting Operations Site Inspection
Naval Weapons Station Yorktown
Navy CLEAN 8012 Program, Contract No. N62470-11-D-8012
Task Order (CTO) WE89

Dear Mr. Oduwole:

Enclosed for your review please find the response-to-comments for USEPA comments provided on June 5, 2014 for the Draft Sampling and Analysis Plan for Site 33 at Naval Weapons Station Yorktown. Additional copies have been distributed as outlined below. Additional team input on the response-to-comments are requested by September 5, 2014. Following comment resolution, a red-line version of the document will be distributed for your approval prior to document finalization.

If you have any questions or comments regarding the enclosed, please feel free to contact Bill Friedmann at 757-671-6223 or myself at 414-847-0349.

Sincerely,

CH2M HILL

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Renee M. Hunt". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Renee M. Hunt
Project Manager

cc: Mr. Bryan Peed/NAVFAC – electronic copy
Mr. Wade Smith/VDEQ - electronic copy
Mr. William Friedmann/CH2M HILL - electronic copy

Reviewer: USEPA

Draft Sampling and Analysis Plan, Site Inspection Site 33, Former Building 530 Paint Shop and Sand

Document: Blasting Operations, NWS Yorktown, VA

Comments

Dated: 05-Jun-14

| Comment Number | Worksheet and/or Section | Comment | Response |
|----------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | Worksheet #11 | Comment 4 stated that it was unclear what was meant by an "ecological risk screening" and whether it would include all relevant exposure pathways and assessment endpoints. The response to comments (RTC) states "The ecological risk screening will be a streamlined version of a standard Step 3A ERA and will evaluate all relevant complete exposure pathways." Please explain/define what is meant by a streamlined version. Specifically indicate if steps one and two of the ecological risk assessment will be included in this streamlined version. | The risk screening will include Steps 1, 2, and 3A of the risk assessment for all detected constituents. Non-detect constituents will not be included. |
| 2 | General | Comment 7 stated that information should be provided to confirm that absence of contamination at the location of the former underground storage tank. The RTC states that soil exceeding cleanup standards was removed and the SAP text will be modified to provide additional detail regarding the sampling performed. The cleanup standard that was used should be provided. If not evident, an explanation should be provided detailing how the standards are protection of ecological receptors. | The UST Program's established cleanup goal during the 1996 removal was 100 ppm for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). Subsurface soil exceeding the TPH cleanup goal was located between 5 to 10 feet below ground surface and all soil (including surface soil) in the vicinity of the former tank was excavated to below that level and removed for off-site disposal and replaced with fill. This removal met all requirements for remediation under the UST program, and no further investigation into the soils potentially impacted by the UST is planned for this Site Inspection. |
| 3 | Worksheet #10 | Worksheet 10 on page 37 states that there are drainage swales located along the west and south sides of the site of the former buildings and parking lot area and surface water runoff flows away from the site to the north, east, and south. This section should clarify whether sediment samples in these drainages have historically been collected for chemical analysis, since the figures provided do not show any historical sampling. If historical sediment samples were not collected, sediment and surface water should be analyzed as part of the current investigation based on the steep topography and the documented historical releases to soil prior to the soil removal in 1999-2000. BTAG does not support the statement in Worksheet 11 on page 47 that the need for surface water and sediment sampling is dependent upon soil concentrations since there is sufficient information to conclude that a release to these drainages may have already occurred. | Sediment and surface water samples were not collected during previous investigation activities as these media were not present within the historical Site 33 boundary. Additionally, historical soil data did not indicate that metals contamination could have impacted the off-site surface water features. The "Previous Investigations and Remedial Action" section of Worksheet 10 has been modified to clarify that these media were not previously sampled at Site 33. The drainage swales located to the north, west, and east of Site 33 are ephemeral water bodies that only contain water during heavy rain events. As shown on Figure 6 of the SAP, soil sampling is already proposed within these drainage swales. The stream located to the south of Site 33 receives the majority of its flow from east of Bollman Road, with limited contribution from Site 33 runoff during heavy rain events. Soil samples are proposed within the drainage swale as well as the former parking area leading to the southern stream. The Navy maintains that sampling of the southern stream is not necessary during the initial stages of the Site Inspection (SI). If soil or groundwater data from the initial stage of the SI indicate that contamination may have impacted the southern stream, then media within this water body would be analyzed for the constituents of potential concern. |