

N00109.AR.002856
NWS YORKTOWN
5090.3a

LETTER AND THE U S NAVY RESPONSE TO THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT PROPOSED PLAN SITE 22 THE
BURN PAD NWS YORKTOWN VA
03/14/2012
CH2M HILL



March 14, 2012

422983.RP.DF

Mr. Wade Smith
Virginia Dept. of Environmental Quality
629 East Main Street, 4th Floor
Richmond, VA 23219

Subject: Response to Comments on *Draft Proposed Plan Site 22: The Burn Pad, Naval Weapons Station Yorktown, Yorktown, Virginia, January 2012*

Dear Mr. Smith:

On behalf of the U.S. Department of the Navy's Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC), CH2M HILL has prepared this letter in response to your January 14, 2012 e-mail that provided comments for the subject document via track changes in the document's Word file. Comments received are shown in italics followed by the Navy's response.

Comment #1 - [Section 1. Paragraph 1] Recommend justifying the entire document (referring to the NFA ROD for sediment and surface water at Site 22

Response: This editorial change was made.

Comment #2 - [Section 1, Paragraph 1] Recommend consistent listing order of RDX, TCE and VC throughout document

Response: The order of RDX, TCE and VC was revised so it is consistent in all references throughout the document.

Comment #3 - [Please mark your calendar section insert on first page] Recommend using insert page as we did for the Site 32 Proposed Plan

Response: The following text was added to the "Please Mark Your Calendar" inset on Page 1.

"A blank sheet has been added at the end of the document to be used for writing comments."

Comment #4 - [Figure 1] Please enlarge Unnamed Tributary font so it is readable to the public

Response: The font used to label the Unnamed Tributary on Figure 1 was enlarged so it is more legible.

*Comment #5 - [Figure 1] Please define **

Response: The title was revised to state that Figure 1 depicts the Location of Site 22. The asterisk was removed as a result of this change.

Comment #6 - [Figure 2] Please enlarge text above so it is readable to the public

Response: The text in Figure 2 was enlarged so it is more legible.

Comment #7 - [Section 3.1 Paragraph 1] Please define µg/L

Response: The first reference of µg/L was defined in the text.

Comment #8 - [Section 3.1 Paragraph 1] Please include the actual number of wells

Response: The number of wells with heptachlor epoxide exceedances was added to the text.

Comment #9 - [Section 3.1 Paragraph 1] Please include the actual number of wells

Response: The number of wells with RDX exceedances was added to the text.

Comment #10 - [Section 5 Paragraph 1] Ecological Risk text box not included

Response: The ecological risk text box was not added and text referencing the text box was removed from the Proposed Plan.

*Comment #11 - [Table 3] Please define**

Response: The asterisk in Table 3 was defined in the text.

Comment #12 - [Section 6 Paragraph 6] Reference

Response: Notations for references were removed from the text since the Proposed Plan is a summary of previously completed documents which can be found in the Naval Weapons Station Yorktown administrative record.

Comment #13 - [Section 9 Paragraph 3] Reference

Response: Notations for references were removed from the text since the Proposed Plan is a summary of previously completed documents which can be found in the naval Weapons Station Yorktown administrative record.

In addition, all editorial changes have been accepted and retained and are not discussed on a case by case basis within this letter. If you have any questions or comments regarding the enclosed documents, please feel free to contact Bill Friedmann at 757-671-6223 or me at 757-671-6267.

Sincerely,

CH2M HILL

Stephanie Sawyer
Project Manager

cc: Mr. Jim Gravette/NAVFAC
Mr. Moshood Oduwole/EPA Region 3
Mr. Bill Friedmann/CH2M HILL
Project File