

N00207.AR.002543  
NAS JACKSONVILLE  
5090.3a

TIER 1 PARTNERING TEAM MEETING MINUTES REGARDING REMEDIAL DESIGN/ACTION  
OPERABLE UNIT 1 (OU1) NAS JACKSONVILLE FL  
8/16/1996  
TIER 1 PARTNERING TEAM

163 August, 1996

To: Tier II

From: NAS Jacksonville Tier I Partnering Team

RE: OU I Remedial Design/Remedial Action

NAS Jacksonville Partnering team is in the process of preparing a Record of Decision (ROD) for Operable Unit 1 which consists of the Old Main Registered Industrial Landfill and the PCB Transformer Storage Area. At this time, the state of Florida's lawyers have has taken the position they will not concur on with RODs without legal documentation of land use restrictions/institutional controls being addressed to meet their concerns. This is an issue which is beyond the power of Tier I to resolve and is presently being handled by the Navy's legal department.

The team's problem is that we would like to proceed with the remedial design (RD) and the remedial action (RA) should the ROD, which includes institutional controls, be held up concurrence be delayed.

The question to Tier II is: "Can we proceed with the RD and RA without having the ROD concurred on by USEPA and FDEP?"

The team would like to present the following reasons why we feel the RD and RA should proceed as soon as possible:

1. The team would like to proceed with the RD and the RA without waiting for the resolution of the institutional controls issues of the ROD. If not allowed to proceed at this time, there will be a delay in the RD/RA.
2. The team has agreed to the technical adequacy of the remedy.
3. The team feels neither the technical adequacy of the RD nor the accomplishment of the RA are effected by the institutional controls this legal issue.
4. The team does not anticipate rejection of the remedy by the public.
5. There is the potential for loss of trust by the public should the team not proceed with the remedy.
6. The land use at this site is already restricted by fences and signs.
7. There is the need to protect the health of those who reside in the area, base housing. A portion of the remedy is to excavate soil outside the fenced landfill, reducing risk to those who reside in the adjacent base housing. The team feels this should not be delayed.

8. This issue cannot be solved at the Tier I level despite all attempts. The resolution of ~~this the~~ issue ~~has been tried but~~ is considered to be a management level decision.
9. The ~~base commander~~ Commanding Officer wants to proceed with the remedy to maintain his credibility which could be damaged if the remedy is not implemented expediently. This has already become an issue at another site.
10. The Community Restoration Advisory Board has agreed with the remedy.
11. The public perception of partnering could be impacted should the implementation of the remedy not be expedient.
12. The institutional controls issue will have no effect on the actual remedy design and construction of the selected remedy.
13. Funding may be impacted with a delay in the implementation of the RD/RA.