

N00213.AR.000498
NAS KEY WEST
5090.3a

EMAIL REGARDING U S EPA REGION IV COMMENTS ON U S NAVY RESPONSES TO
COMMENTS ON NOTICE OF TECHNICAL INADEQUACY FOR HAZARDOUS AND SOLID
WASTE AMENDMENTS PERMIT APPLICATION NAS KEY WEST FL

1/5/2001

U S EPA REGION IV

7046-3.2

0023

00 M

Bryan, Charles

From: Bryan, Charles
Sent: Monday, January 29, 2001 1:39 PM
To: 'Patrick, Dudley (Efdsouth)'
Cc: 'Bob Courtright (E-mail)'
Subject: RE: EPA NOTI Letter [Dec 18, 2000] for USNAS, Key West

Tracking:	Recipient	Delivery	Read
	'Patrick, Dudley (Efdsouth)'	Delivered: 01/29/2001 1:40 PM	Read: 01/29/2001 1:53 PM
	'Bob Courtright (E-mail)'	Delivered: 01/29/2001 1:41 PM	Read: 01/29/2001 1:58 PM

I have drafted some "SWMU status" language in the attached MSWord97 file for your review. I believe that NAS Key West can choose to incorporate this type of language directly into a letter response to EPA or attach this information to a transmittal letter.

Let me know what you gentlemen want to change in the attached file and I will be pleased to do so!

Chuck Bryan
Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.
(803) 649-7963 x345
BryanC@TtNUS.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Patrick, Dudley (Efdsouth) [mailto:PatrickJD@EFDSOUTH.NAVFAC.NAVY.mil]
Sent: Friday, January 19, 2001 1:56 PM
To: Chuck Bryan (E-mail)
Cc: Bob Courtright (E-mail)
Subject: FW: EPA NOTI Letter [Dec 18, 2000] for USNAS, Key West

chuck, i may have not been entirely clear, but i would like for you to provide assistance to nas, specifically, write up a site status (currently on-going activities) report (word document) along the lines that singh outlines in his response no. 2 below, and fwd it to nas and to me for review and fine tuning. thanks. sooner is better to get this off dead center.
dudley

-----Original Message-----

From: Ballard.Turpin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ballard.Turpin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 05, 2001 11:38 AM
To: Patrick, Dudley (Efdsouth); courtrightro@naskw.navy.mil
Cc: bryanC@ttnus.com
Subject: EPA NOTI Letter [Dec 18, 2000] for USNAS, Key West

----- Forwarded by Turpin Ballard/R4/USEPA/US on 01/05/2001 11:37 AM -----

Harbhajan Singh

To: Turpin Ballard/R4/USEPA/US@EPA
01/05/2001 10:04 AM cc: Doug McCurry/R4/USEPA/US@EPA, Narindar Kumar/R4/USEPA/US@EPA, Harold Taylor/R4/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: EPA NOTI Letter [Dec 18, 2000] for USNAS, Key West

Turpin,

01/31/2001

I looked into the response of the USNAS, Boca Chica, Key West to the EPA NOTI [Dec 18, 2000] related to the HSWA Permit Renewal Application and the evaluation is stated as follows:

1. Response to Comment No. 1

The facility acknowledged the comment and hence, the response is acceptable.

2. Response to Comment No. 2

It appears that the facility didn't understand the depth of the comment.

EPA-RCRA thoroughly evaluated the HSWA Permit Renewal Application, including the Appendix A which the facility states that it includes the current status of on-going activities at each SWMU. Appendix A states that: no units identified at this time as requiring RFI; units that have completed RFI and CMS; unit requiring NFA, and name of a regulated unit. The facility has also submitted the Statement of Basis for certain SWMUs. EPA-RCRA has already looked into the Statement of Basis for 7 SWMUs. The Statement of Basis for SWMU 1,2,3, and 4 were written in 1998, for SWMU 5 and 7 in 1999 and for SWMU 9 in 2000. EPA-RCRA didn't question Appendix A, but concurs with it.

To elucidate the comment, EPA-RCRA intends to know the current on-going activities at each SWMU after remedy selection process described in the Statement of Basis for the SWMUs. In other words, the facility should provide a paragraph (5-6 lines) on each SWMU (**what is going on at present**), summarizing the type of the treatment system/monitoring and frequency of the effectiveness/monitoring reports with reference to different media. The facility stated that it submits regularly effectiveness/monitoring reports to you. However, EPA-RCRA did not receive these reports. The facility can utilize these reports to extract the information requested by EPA-RCRA.

You can transmit EPA-RCRA evaluation to the facility. If you are still not clear about this comment or response what EPA-RCRA intends to collect, contact me at 2-8473.

HS