

N65928.AR.001260
NTC ORLANDO
5090.3a

LETTER REGARDING REGULATORY REVIEW AND CONCURRENCE ON FINAL WORK
PLAN FOR TREATABILITY STUDY AT OPERABLE UNIT 3 (OU 3), STUDY AREA 9 AND
DRAFT WORK PLAN FOR OPERABLE UNIT 3 (OU 3) STUDY AREA 8 NTC ORLANDO FL

4/1/2002

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Mrs. Barbara Nwokike
Treatability Study Work Plans
Operable Unit 3, Study Areas 8 and 9
April 1, 2002
Page 2

Steve McCoy, TetraTech NUS, Oak Ridge, TN
Steve Tsangaris, CH2M Hill, Tampa
Mark Salvetti, Harding ESE, Wakefield, MA

TJB



JJC



ESN



Florida Department of
Environmental Protection

Memorandum

TO: David Grabka, P.G., Remedial Project Manager,
Technical Review Section

THROUGH: Tim Bahr, P.G., Supervisor, Technical Review Section 

FROM: Greg Brown, P.E., Professional Engineer II, 
Technical Review Section


DATE: March 29, 2002

SUBJECT: Draft Work Plans For Treatability Studies At
Operable Unit 3, Study Areas 8 and 9, Naval Training
Center, Orlando, Florida.

I reviewed the subject work plans for Study Area 9, dated March 12, 2002 (received March 14, 2002), and for Study Area 8, dated March 26, 2002 (received March 27, 2002). The subject documents are adequate for their intent. I have minor comments for consideration during work plan implementation. Since the scopes of work for Study Areas 8 and 9 are similar, these comments apply to both unless noted.

1. Additional monitoring wells should be installed east of the arsenic plume at Study Area 9 (see attached figure.)
2. The design of the backfill was based on standard engineering specifications. Please provide calculations to support the specifications in the final pilot study report.
3. A one-pass trenching machine or a trackhoe with trench stability provided by using a biopolymer slurry will be used to construct the trench. Good construction techniques are particularly critical for the success of this type of remediation technology. Smearing or compacting the trench face and changing the effective permeability of the trench or surrounding soil could reduce effectiveness.
4. Obtaining representative groundwater samples for inorganic compounds from microwells is not always possible. The Team should have contingencies if microwells are not effective.

Call me at (850) 488-3935 if you have any questions.




LEGEND

- PROPOSED MICROWELL (SCREENED 7-12 ft bls)
- PROPOSED MICROWELL (SCREENED 26-28 ft bls)
- MONITORING WELL
- DPT POINT
- ARSENIC CONTOUR¹

1—CONCENTRATION IN MICROGRAMS PER LITER (µg/L)
NOTE:
DPT LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

SOURCE:
ROADS, BUILDINGS, ETC. ARE FROM A SURVEY
BY DEMAPS, INC. AND REPS, INC. IN 1997.



**FIGURE 3-2
TREATABILITY STUDY LAYOUT**

OPERABLE UNIT 3 - STUDY AREA 9
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

n8_5x1ty.dgn