

N65928.AR.002790
NTC ORLANDO
5090.3a

SITE SCREENING PLAN GROUP 1 STUDY AREAS NTC ORLANDO FL
8/1/1994
ABB ENVIRONMENTAL

222

**SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS**

**NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, ORLANDO
ORLANDO, FLORIDA**

Unit Identification Code (UIC): N65928

Contract No. N62467-89-D-0317

Prepared by:


**ABB Environmental Services, Inc.
2590 Executive Center Circle, East
Tallahassee, Florida 32301**

Prepared for:

**Department of the Navy, Southern Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
2155 Eagle Drive
North Charleston, South Carolina 29418**

Barbara Nwokike, Code 1873, Engineer-in-Charge

August 1994



FOREWORD

The process used to determine installations for closure or realignment was identified in the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-510, 104 Statute 1808). Installations recommended for closure or realignment were selected based on force structure provided by the Joint Chiefs of Staff and criteria established by the Secretary of Defense. These criteria were approved by Congress and published in the Federal Register. A consolidated Department of Defense (DOD)-wide list was submitted by the Secretary of Defense to a bipartisan commission appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate. This Commission evaluated the Secretary's recommendations and sent its finding to the President. In 1993, the Commission recommended the closure of Naval Training Center (NTC), Orlando, Florida.

Pertinent environmental legal provisions with jurisdiction at Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) installations include the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, amended by the 1986 Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA), the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), and the 1984 Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments. The DOD conducts an Installation Restoration (IR) program for evaluating and remediating problems related to releases and disposal of toxic and hazardous materials at DOD facilities. The Naval Assessment and Control of Installation Pollutants (NACIP) program was developed by the Navy to implement the IR program for all naval and Marine Corps facilities. Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (SOUTHNAVFACENGCOM) has the responsibility for administration of the Navy IR program in the southeastern United States.

The Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), Public Law 102-425, October 19, 1992, provides guidance for identifying and documenting all uncontaminated property at DOD installations undergoing closure or realignment. The assessment and determination of uncontaminated property is based on an Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS) prepared for the installation. The results of the EBS can lead to a Finding of Suitability to Lease (FOSL) or a Finding of Suitability to Transfer (FOST) for parcels of the installation.

This Site Screening Plan (SSP) establishes the background, rationale, and plans for site investigations at Group I Study Areas at NTC, Orlando. The purpose of this site screening is to assess the presence of environmental contamination and to determine whether further investigations of the study areas are warranted. It is expected that the results of this screening will lead to an FOSL or FOST,

the implementation of a removal action or interim action, or a recommendation for a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS) for each location in the assigned study areas.

Questions regarding this document should be addressed to the Southern Division BRAC Environmental Coordinator (BEC) for NTC, Orlando, Mr. Wayne Hansel, at (407) 646-5294 or the Southern Division Engineer-in-Charge, Ms. Barbara Nwokike at (803) 743-0566.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ABB Environmental Services, Inc. (ABB-ES), under contract to the Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, has prepared this Site Screening Plan (SSP) to conduct investigations at Naval Training Center (NTC), Orlando, Florida, under Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) 1993. To ensure proper conduct of work, ABB-ES has also developed a Project Operations Plan (POP) (ABB-ES, 1994a). The POP, a companion document to this SSP, has been designed to incorporate the requirements of a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), Health and Safety Plan (HASP), and the elements of a Field Sampling Plan (FSP) related to sampling equipment, procedures, and sample handling and analysis. The POP provides much of the general information and procedures needed to conduct applicable field efforts at NTC, Orlando.

This SSP establishes the background, rationale, and plans for site investigations at assigned study areas at NTC, Orlando. FSP elements include site background, sampling objectives, and sample location and frequency. The ABB-ES scope of services is based on Priority Zones for NTC, Orlando property transfer as defined by representatives of NTC, Orlando. Suspect locations in the first three Priority Zones have been included in this SSP. These locations are areas designated Grey or Red in the Final Draft Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS) (ABB-ES, 1994b) for reasons other than the presence of aboveground or underground storage tanks (ASTs or USTs), damaged friable asbestos, or lead paint. These concerns will be addressed as separate tasks. Grey areas have been determined to require further evaluation prior to the determination of a Finding of Suitability to Lease (FOSL) or a Finding of Suitability to Transfer (FOST). Red areas are properties where a release of hazardous substances has occurred, but required response actions have not yet been implemented. The Red areas in this SSP require collection of additional data prior to selection of an interim action or implementation of a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS). A total of 15 buildings or locations have been grouped into 10 study areas (Group I) for this investigation.

The purpose of this site screening is to assess the presence of environmental contamination and to determine whether further investigations of the study areas are warranted. It is expected that the results of this screening will lead to an FOSL or FOST, the implementation of a removal action or interim action, or a recommendation for an RI/FS. It is also possible that additional soil or groundwater sampling may be necessary prior to any recommendations. Changes in status (i.e., from nontransferable to FOSL or FOST) must be approved by the BRAC Cleanup Team.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Site Screening Plan, Group I Study Areas
Naval Training Center, Orlando
Orlando, Florida

<u>Chapter</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	PURPOSE	1-1
1.2	OVERVIEW OF DOCUMENT	1-8
2.0	FACILITY BACKGROUND AND PHYSICAL SETTING	2-1
3.0	SITE INVESTIGATION TASKS	3-1
3.1	PROJECT PLANNING	3-1
3.1.1	Preparation of Project Plans	3-1
3.1.2	Meetings and Site Visits	3-1
3.2	DATA REVIEW	3-1
3.3	DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQOs)	3-1
3.4	LABORATORY DATA VALIDATION	3-2
3.5	FIELD INVESTIGATIONS	3-2
3.5.1	Aerial Photograph Evaluation	3-2
3.5.2	Preliminary Activities	3-2
3.5.2.1	Subcontractor Coordination	3-2
3.5.2.2	Permitting, Authorization, and Site Access	3-2
3.5.2.3	Utility Identification and Location	3-3
3.5.3	Mobilization	3-3
3.5.4	Site-Specific Field Investigation Tasks	3-3
3.5.5	General Background Data	3-4
3.6	ANALYTICAL PROGRAM	3-4
3.6.1	Analytical Parameters	3-5
3.6.2	Quality Assurance and Quality Control	3-5
3.7	DATA MANAGEMENT	3-5
3.8	DATA EVALUATION	3-6
3.9	PRELIMINARY RISK EVALUATION (PRE)	3-6
3.10	SITE SCREENING REPORT	3-7
4.0	STUDY AREA FIELD INVESTIGATIONS	4-1
4.1	STUDY AREA 1, BUILDING 3126 AND ALLEGED NAVAL HOSPITAL LANDFILL (UNNUMBERED FACILITY [UNF]-12)	4-1
4.1.1	Background and Conditions	4-1
4.1.2	Rationale and Plans for Site Screening	4-10
4.2	STUDY AREA 2, HERNDON ANNEX, FACILITY 6001	4-11
4.2.1	Background and Conditions	4-13
4.2.2	Rationale and Plans for Site Screening	4-13
4.3	STUDY AREA 3, HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STORAGE AREA	4-16
4.3.1	Background and Conditions	4-16
4.3.2	Rationale and Plans for Site Screening	4-19
4.4	STUDY AREA 4, RUSK MEMORIAL CHAPEL AND ANNEX	4-20
4.4.1	Background and Conditions	4-20
4.4.2	Rationale and Plans for Site Screening	4-20

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Site Screening Plan, Group I Study Areas
Naval Training Center, Orlando
Orlando, Florida

<u>Chapter</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
4.5	STUDY AREA 5, BUILDING UNF-13	4-22
	4.5.1 Background and Conditions	4-23
	4.5.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening	4-23
4.6	STUDY AREA 6, LAKE BALDWIN	4-26
	4.6.1 Background and Conditions	4-26
	4.6.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening	4-28
4.7	STUDY AREA 7, LAKE SUSANNAH	4-29
	4.7.1 Background and Conditions	4-29
	4.7.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening	4-29
4.8	STUDY AREA 8, GOLF COURSE AREA	4-31
	4.8.1 Background and Conditions	4-31
	4.8.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening	4-33
4.9	STUDY AREA 9, FORMER PESTICIDE AND HERBICIDE STORAGE BUILDING (UNF-14)	4-37
	4.9.1 Background and Conditions	4-37
	4.9.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening	4-37
4.10	STUDY AREA 10, INITIAL ASSESSMENT STUDY (IAS) SITE 4, YARD WASTE DISPOSAL AREA	4-40
	4.10.1 Background and Conditions	4-40
	4.10.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening	4-42
5.0	PERSONNEL REQUIREMENTS	5-1
6.0	PROJECT SCHEDULE	6-1
	6.1 PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	6-1
	6.2 FIELD ACTIVITIES	6-1

REFERENCES

APPENDIX A: Group I Study Areas Health and Safety Plan Addendum

LIST OF FIGURES

Site Screening Plan, Group I Study Areas
Naval Training Center, Orlando
Orlando, Florida

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Title</u>	
1-1	Facility Location	1-2
1-2	Site Location	1-3
1-3	Locations of Study Areas	1-5
1-4	Study Area Review Process, Base Realignment and Closure	1-7
4-1	Proposed Soil Sample Locations at Building 3126, Civilian BEQ, Study Area 1	4-8
4-2	Proposed Geophysical Survey Area at UNF-12, Alleged Hospital Landfill, Study Area 1	4-9
4-3	Proposed Soil Gas Survey, Soil Boring, and Monitoring Well Locations at UNF-12, Alleged Hospital Landfill, Study Area 1	4-12
4-4	Proposed Piezometer, TerraProbe, Soil Boring, and Monitoring Well Locations, Facility 6001, Abandoned Septic Tank and Drain Field, Study Area 2, and the Herndon Annex	4-14
4-5	Proposed Soil Gas Survey, Surface Soil Sample, Soil Boring, and Monitoring Well Locations at Buildings 73, 2816, and 2817, Hazardous Materials Storage Areas, Study Area 3	4-17
4-6	Proposed Soil Sample Locations at Buildings 250 and 251, Rusk Memorial Chapel, Study Area 4	4-21
4-7	Proposed Geophysical Survey Area at UNF-13, Former Motorboat Rental and Maintenance Area, Study Area 5	4-24
4-8	Proposed Soil Gas Survey, Soil Boring, and Monitoring Well Locations at UNF-13, Former Motorboat Rental and Maintenance Area, Study Area 5	4-25
4-9	Proposed Surface Water and Sediment Sample Locations at Lake Baldwin, Study Area 6	4-27
4-10	Proposed Surface Water and Sediment Sample Locations at Lake Susannah, Study Area 7	4-30
4-11	Proposed Surface Soil, Soil Boring, and Monitoring Well Locations at Building 2134, Greens keeper Storage Area, Study Area 8	4-32
4-12	Proposed Geophysical Survey Area at UNF-15, Former Wastewater Treatment Plant Lagoons, Study Area 8	4-34
4-13	Proposed Soil Gas Survey, Soil Boring and Monitoring Well Locations at UNF-15, Former Wastewater Treatment Plant Lagoons, Study Area 8	4-35
4-14	Proposed Geophysical Survey Area at UNF-14, Former Pesticide and Herbicide Storage Building, Study Area 9	4-38
4-15	Proposed Surface Soil Sample and Existing Monitoring Well Locations at UNF-14, Former Pesticide and Herbicide Storage Building, Study Area 9	4-39
4-16	Proposed Geophysical Survey Area, IAS Site 4, Alleged Yard Waste Disposal Area, Study Area 10	4-41
4-17	Proposed Soil Gas Survey, Soil Boring, and Monitoring Well Locations, IAS Site 4, Alleged Yard Waste Disposal Area, Study Area 10	4-43

LIST OF TABLES

Site Screening Plan, Group I Study Areas
Naval Training Center, Orlando
Orlando, Florida

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1-1	Priority Zones for Base Transfer	1-4
1-2	Group I Site Screening Study Areas	1-6
4-1	Analytical Program Summary	4-2

GLOSSARY

ABB-ES	ABB Environmental Services, Inc.
ASTs	aboveground storage tanks
AWQC	Ambient Water Quality Criteria
BEC	BRAC Environmental Coordinator
BEQ	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters
BCP	BRAC Cleanup Plan
BCT	BRAC Cleanup Team
bls	below land surface
BRAC	Base Realignment and Closure
CERFA	Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CIH	Certified Industrial Hygienist
CLP TCL	Contract Laboratory Program Target Compound List
CLP TAL	Contract Laboratory Program Target Analyte List
COC	chain of custody
CPC	Contaminants of Potential Concern
°F	degrees Fahrenheit
DOD	Department of Defense
DON	Department of Navy
DQOs	data quality objectives
DRMO	Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office
EBS	Environmental Baseline Survey
EP	Extraction Procedure
FAC	Florida Administrative Code
FDEP	Florida Department of Environmental Protection
FID	flame ionization detector
FOL	Field Operations Leader
FOSL	Finding of Suitability to Lease
FOST	Finding of Suitability to Transfer
FS	Feasibility Study
FSP	Field Sampling Plan
GC	gas chromatogram
gpd	gallons per day
GPR	ground-penetrating radar
GPS	Global Positioning System
HASP	Health and Safety Plan
HSA	hollow-stem auger
IAS	Initial Assessment Study
IDW	investigation-derived wastes
IR	Installation Restoration

GLOSSARY (Continued)

MCL	maximum contaminant level
msl	mean sea level
MS/MSDs	matrix spikes/matrix spike duplicates
NACIP	Naval Assessment and Control of Installation Pollutants
NEESA	Naval Energy and Environmental Support Activity
NTC	Naval Training Center
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
PID	photoionization detector
POP	Project Operations Plan
ppm	parts per million
PRE	Preliminary Risk Evaluation
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
QA	quality assurance
QAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
QC	quality control
RBC	risk-based concentrations
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RI	Remedial Investigation
RTC	Recruit Training Command
SAP	Sampling and Analysis Plan
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SOUTHNAV- FACENGCAM	Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command
SSP	Site Screening Plan
SVOCs	semivolatile organic compounds
TAL	Target Analyte List
TC	terrain conductivity
TCL	Target Compound List
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure
TCP	Target Compound List
TDS	total dissolved solids
TOC	total organic carbon
TOM	Task Order Manager
TPH	total petroleum hydrocarbons
TSS	total suspended solids
UIC	Unit Identification Code
UNF	unnumbered facility
USCS	Unified Soil Classification System
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
USTs	underground storage tanks
VOCs	volatile organic compounds
WWTP	wastewater treatment plant

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE. The Naval Training Center (NTC), Orlando is located in Orlando, Florida, and encompasses a total of 2,072 acres. NTC, Orlando consists of four discrete facilities: Main Base, Area "C", Herndon Annex, and McCoy Annex (Figures 1-1 and 1-2). NTC, Orlando provides recruit training of enlisted personnel and advanced or specialized training for officer and enlisted personnel of the regular Navy and Naval Reserve. In 1993, a bipartisan commission appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate recommended the closure of NTC, Orlando in accordance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990.

ABB Environmental Services, Inc. (ABB-ES), has prepared an Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS) (ABB-ES, 1994b) for NTC, Orlando. The EBS determines the suitability of installation properties for a Finding of Suitability to Lease (FOSL) or a Finding of Suitability to Transfer (FOST). Color codes are used to designate site status. Properties not eligible for a FOST (but possibly eligible for a FOSL on a case-by-case basis) are coded as follows:

- Red, areas where storage, release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances or petroleum has occurred, but required response actions have not been implemented;

- Yellow, areas where storage, release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances or petroleum has occurred, removal and/or remedial actions are underway, but all required response actions have not yet been taken; and


- Grey, areas that are unevaluated or require additional evaluation.

This Site Screening Plan (SSP) establishes the background, rationale, and plans for site investigations at assigned study areas at NTC, Orlando. Group I Study Areas were selected based on the priority zones for property transfer identified by representatives from NTC, Orlando (Table 1-1). Group I Study Areas are located in the first three Priority Zones, and consist of Red and Grey properties identified in the EBS (ABB-ES, 1994b) (Figure 1-3 and Table 1-2). Additional study areas in the remaining priority zones will be added to this SSP as plans are developed.

The purpose of this site screening is to either confirm that the sites are suitable for immediate transfer or determine data needs for any additional investigations that may be required.

Figure 1-4 illustrates the process developed to evaluate the suitability for transfer of NTC, Orlando properties. Site screening is primarily intended to address Grey sites by applying investigative techniques appropriate for evaluating potential concerns. In virtually all cases, this includes the collection of soil and groundwater samples for laboratory analyses.

Analytical results will be compared to appropriate Federal and State-promulgated standards or guidelines, as discussed in Section 3.8. If no contaminants are detected, or if all detected compounds are at concentrations below action levels, the properties will be recommended for an FOSL or an FOST. If concentrations are




**FIGURE 1-1
FACILITY LOCATION**



**SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS**

**NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA**

ORLANDO/NAVFLA/GLC/06-22-94



**FIGURE 1-2
SITE LOCATION**



**SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS**

**NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA**

ORLANDO/FIG1-1/8-15-94

Table 1-1
Priority Zones for Base Transfer

Site Screening Plan, Group I Study Areas
Naval Training Center, Orlando
Orlando, Florida

Priority Zone	Boundaries
1	Naval Hospital Area, bounded by the base boundary on the west and north, Grove Avenue and the golf course on the east, and Lake Baldwin on the south.
2	Herndon Annex
3A	Training Admin Area, bounded by the base boundary on the north, Grace Hopper Avenue on the east, Holland Street on the south, and Decatur Avenue on the west.
3B	Brass Anchor Area, bounded by Lake Baldwin on the north, the golf course on the east, Iwo Jima Street on the south, and Leahy Avenue on the west.
3C	Service School Command, bounded by the base boundary on the north, Lake Baldwin on the east, Holland Street on the south, and Grace Hopper Avenue on the west.
3D	Lake Baldwin and Lake Susannah
3E	Main Base Golf Course
4	Recruit Training Command (RTC) West, bounded by the base boundary on the north, south, and west, and Decatur Avenue on the east.
5	McCoy Annex Housing, bounded on the north and west by the base boundary, on the south by Eighth Street, and on the east by an irregular boundary defined by 3rd Street, Binnacle Way, Barber Drive, the railroad, the central swamp areas, and Seven Seas Drive.
6	McCoy Annex, all property north of Eighth Street not designated as housing.
7	McCoy Annex, all property south of Eighth Street.
8	Area "C"
9	Nuclear School Complex, bounded by the Main Base boundary on the west and south, by Holland Street on the north, and by Leahy Avenue, Iwo Jima Street, and the golf course on the east.

Figure 1-3 Locations of Study Areas

**Table 1-2
Group I Site Screening Study Areas**

Site Screening Plan, Group I Study Areas
Naval Training Center, Orlando
Orlando, Florida

Study Area	Buildings
1	Alleged Hospital Landfill (UNF-12) Building 3126
2	Facility 6001 (Herndon Annex)
3	Hazardous Material Storage Area (Buildings 73, 2816, and 2817)
4	Rusk Chapel (Buildings 250 and 251)
5	Former Motor Boat Rental and Maintenance Area (UNF-13)
6	Lake Baldwin
7	Lake Susannah
8	Main Base Golf Course Area (Building 2134 and Old Wastewater Treatment Plant Lagoons [UNF-15])
9	Former Pesticide Building (UNF-14)
10	Yard Waste Disposal Area (IAS Site 4)

Notes: UNF = unnumbered facility (assigned to locations without building numbers for the Environmental Baseline Survey [EBS]).
IAS = Initial Assessment Study (C.C. Johnson, 1985).




FIGURE 1-4

**STUDY AREA REVIEW PROCESS,
 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE**



**SITE SCREENING PLAN
 GROUP I STUDY AREAS**

**NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
 ORLANDO, FLORIDA**

above action levels, or if compounds are detected with no set action levels, a Preliminary Risk Evaluation (PRE) will be performed (see Section 3.9). Based on the results of the PRE, one of the following recommendations will be made for each site:

- recommend the study area for an FOSL or FOST,
- initiate an immediate removal or interim action, or
- include in a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS).

It is possible that circumstances may require collection of additional data to support selection of an interim action or RI/FS.

1.2 OVERVIEW OF DOCUMENT. The planning documents to support site screening at NTC, Orlando consist of the following:

- SSP, Group I Study Areas;
- Volume I, Project Operations Plan (POP; ABB-ES, 1994a); and
- Volume II, POP, Health and Safety Plan (ABB-ES, 1994a).

Together these documents establish the background, rationale, and plans for site investigations. The SSP outlines the site-specific scope of work for the Group I Study Areas and includes the following chapters:

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Facility Background and Physical Setting
- 3.0 Site Investigation Tasks
- 4.0 Study Area Field Investigations
- 5.0 Personnel Requirements
- 6.0 Project Schedule
- Appendix A Group I Study Areas Health and Safety Plan Addendum

The POP has been designed to incorporate the requirements of a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), Health and Safety Plan (HASP), and the elements of a Field Sampling Plan (FSP) related to sampling equipment, procedures, and sample handling and analysis. The POP provides much of the general information and procedures needed to conduct field programs at NTC, Orlando.

2.0 FACILITY BACKGROUND AND PHYSICAL SETTING

NTC, Orlando consists of four discrete facilities located in the city of Orlando, Orange County, Florida. The four facilities are the Main Base, Area "C", Herndon Annex, and McCoy Annex (Figure 1-2). The operational history of the four facilities dates back to 1940, and includes operations by the Army Air Corps and the Air Force. The Air Force ceased operations at the Main Base, Area "C", and Herndon Annex in 1968. The property was officially commissioned as the Naval Training Center Orlando in July 1968. The Navy acquired McCoy Annex from the Air Force in 1973. A more detailed installation history can be found in the POP, Volume I, Section 1.3, Facility Background (ABB-ES, 1994a).

The Main Base is the largest of the four facilities. It is comprised mainly of operational and training facilities. The Main Base occupies 1,095 acres approximately 3 miles east of Interstate 4 and just north of State Road 50 (Figure 1-2). It is surrounded by urban development, including single and multi-family housing, schools, and commercial buildings. Herndon Airport is approximately 1.5 miles south of the Main Base. There are no industrial facilities adjacent to the Main Base, with the exception of automotive repair facilities along Bennett Road on the southwest property line.

Area "C" occupies 46 acres and is located approximately 1 mile west of the Main Base off Maguire Boulevard (Figure 1-2). Area "C" serves as a supply center for NTC, Orlando, and includes a dry cleaner and the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office (DRMO). It is surrounded by urban development, including single and multi-family residential developments to the north and south, Lake Druid to the west, and an office park to the east. There are no industrial facilities adjacent to Area "C".

Herndon Annex occupies 54 acres approximately 1.5 miles south of the Main Base (Figure 1-2). It is located within the confines of the general aviation Herndon Public Airport and on the fringe of a major residential area. Herndon Annex provides research, design, development, testing, evaluation, procurement, fabrication, maintenance, and logistical support for naval training devices and equipment. The Herndon Annex currently includes a computer center, flight-training building, uniform supply warehouse, and office buildings.

McCoy Annex occupies 877 acres and is located 12 miles south of the Main Base. It serves primarily as a housing and community support activity for NTC, Orlando. The Beeline Expressway forms the northern boundary. The Orlando International Airport is directly east of the McCoy Annex. The western boundary of the McCoy Annex is flanked by industrially zoned property. The zoning allows heavy industry and aviation related development. The property north of the Beeline Expressway and within 0.75 mile of the McCoy Annex is used primarily by businesses directly related to the airport, such as rental agencies, hotels, and restaurants. Adjacent to the southern boundary are undeveloped woodlands (C.C. Johnson, 1985).

NTC, Orlando is situated in central Orange County, Florida, part of the Atlantic Coastal Plain physiographic province as defined by Meinzer (1923). The climate of Orange County is subtropical, with an average annual temperature of about 72 degrees Fahrenheit (°F). Orange County receives an average of 52 inches of rainfall each year. More than 50 percent of this precipitation is received from

June through September, during thunderstorms that occur an average of 83 days per year (Lichtler and others, 1968).

Most of the city of Orlando, as well as the NTC, Orlando facilities, are considered to be in the highland topographic region of the county, where elevations are generally greater than 105 feet above mean sea level (msl), but range from 50 feet to 225 feet above msl. The topography of this region is characterized by closed depressions and sinkhole lakes, which commonly facilitate groundwater recharge (Lichtler and others, 1968). The topography in the immediate area of Orlando is generally flat, with elevations ranging from approximately 65 feet to 125 feet above msl. The lakes in the area are prone to flooding. Regional drainage is poorly developed, but generally flows toward the south. All surface waters in the vicinity of NTC, Orlando are classified by the State of Florida as Class III waters suitable for fish and wildlife propagation and water contact sports (Department of Navy (DON), 1992). Further details can be found in the POP, Volume I, Section 1.4, Environmental Setting (ABB-ES, 1994a).

3.0 SITE INVESTIGATION TASKS

This chapter of the SSP describes the general tasks necessary to undertake and complete the site screening scope of work as set forth in Chapter 4.0. The tasks proceed from planning, through field and laboratory work, data evaluation and preliminary risk assessment, to report preparation.

3.1 PROJECT PLANNING. The site screening work begins with project planning, and planning continues throughout the project, as adjustments are made in response to actual conditions encountered.

3.1.1 Preparation of Project Plans ABB-ES has prepared project plans necessary to perform the site screening described herein. The initial planning document is this SSP, which describes the field program and site-specific activities and tasks that will be conducted for the site screening at NTC, Orlando study areas.

The principal planning document is the POP (ABB-ES, 1994a), which incorporates the Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) and the HASP. The SAP includes the QAPP and elements of the FSP. The POP presents detailed descriptions and discussions of the following elements:

- project organization and responsibilities,
- quality assurance (QA) objectives and measurements,
- general sampling procedures,
- sample handling and custody procedures,
- equipment calibration and preventive maintenance,
- analytical procedures,
- data management,
- internal quality control (QC),
- QA activities,
- problem prevention,
- data assessment procedures,
- corrective actions,
- reports, and
- site-specific HASPs.

3.1.2 Meetings and Site Visits ABB-ES will meet periodically onsite with representatives from Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (SOUTHNAVFACENCOM); NTC, Orlando personnel; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA); and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) to ensure that the investigations proceed on schedule and in accordance with project objectives. ABB-ES will support SOUTHNAVFACENCOM and NTC, Orlando in quarterly Restoration Advisory Board briefings.

3.2 DATA REVIEW. ABB-ES has reviewed and will continue to review relevant information on the installation and the assigned study areas to understand site conditions, identify data gaps, and provide a more focused investigation.

3.3 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQOs). Establishing DQOs is necessary to determine the level of detail required for site investigation activities. Data generated

during the field and laboratory tasks will be used to characterize study area conditions and to perform PREs. These data will also be used to scope further investigations or to support FOSL or FOST decisions. Because PREs will establish the suitability for transfer for the assigned study areas, soil, sediment, surface water, and groundwater samples will be analyzed in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs. Field screening data resulting from passive soil gas surveys and immunoassay testing for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in soils will be in accordance with USEPA Level II DQOs. The various DQO levels are described in detail in the POP, Volume I, Section 3.2, Data Quality Objectives (ABB-ES, 1994a).

3.4 LABORATORY DATA VALIDATION. The laboratory Level IV deliverables will be initially subjected to ABB-ES QA/QC review. If it is determined that a PRE is required, one set of samples from each sample delivery group associated with the study area in question will be validated in accordance with procedures set forth in the POP, Volume I, Section 8.2, Validation (ABB-ES, 1994a).

If necessary (as in the event an RI/FS is required), the remaining data packages can be validated at a later date.

3.5 FIELD INVESTIGATIONS. Fieldwork will be conducted in accordance with the procedures specifically identified in the POP, Volume I, Section 4.0, Field Program Procedures and Requirements (ABB-ES, 1994a). Study area-specific conditions, plans, and rationale are presented in Chapter 4.0 of this SSP. The tasks necessary to undertake and complete the field investigation program are described in the following subsections.

3.5.1 Aerial Photograph Evaluation An aerial photograph survey will be performed, consisting of obtaining, evaluating, analyzing, and interpreting available historical and recent aerial photographs to establish the historical development of each study area, identify the precise location of the study area, and determine the processes that may have occurred in the area. This survey will be performed prior to the initiation of fieldwork to help focus subsequent activities in the field.

3.5.2 Preliminary Activities. ABB-ES will perform the following tasks necessary to help ensure a successful mobilization and initiation of field activities.

3.5.2.1 Subcontractor Coordination Upon receipt of notice to proceed, ABB-ES will contact all appropriate subcontractors to finalize any remaining contractual matters and plan mobilization and related activities associated with fieldwork for each study area. Coordination activities include scheduling, staffing, and procurement of all personnel, materials, equipment, and supplies required to complete the proposed work. Proposed subcontracted services will include drilling, laboratory analyses, passive soil gas surveying, and marine magnetometer surveying.

3.5.2.2 Permitting, Authorization, and Site Access In conjunction with subcontractor scheduling, ABB-ES will ensure that the necessary authorization and approval is secured for all personnel scheduled for field activities. The Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Environmental Coordinator (BEC) at NTC, Orlando will be contacted to arrange authorization of the appropriate subcontractor identification passes for both ABB-ES and subcontractor personnel. Such passes

will permit authorized personnel to enter specified areas on the NTC, Orlando facility for the purpose of completing approved fieldwork. In addition, ABB-ES will ensure that all necessary permits (e.g., monitoring well installation permits) have been obtained prior to mobilization for fieldwork.

3.5.2.3 Utility Identification and Location Prior to mobilization for fieldwork, ABB-ES will coordinate with NTC, Orlando personnel to identify and locate at each study area all underground utilities, other underground structures, and overhead utilities that may obstruct field activities. Upon mobilization to the field, ABB-ES will work with base personnel to mark each utility for future reference to minimize the risk of jeopardizing the health and safety of field personnel or the integrity of the utility. Identification and location of utilities may include referring to blueprints and using electronic equipment in the field for physical location of utility lines. NTC, Orlando will assume final responsibility for the location and identification of utilities or other subsurface structures.

3.5.3 Mobilization Mobilization will consist of field personnel orientation and equipment mobilization and will take place prior to the initiation of field investigation activities. A field team orientation meeting will be held with ABB-ES personnel to familiarize onsite personnel with the site history; health and safety requirements; NTC, Orlando security requirements; and field procedures as outlined in this SSP and the POP. Equipment mobilization will include the transportation and setup of the following equipment:

- geophysical surveying equipment and other materials and supplies,
- health and safety and decontamination equipment, and
- sampling equipment.

The field office will be equipped with a radio communications base station and a telephone. Field personnel will be provided with a mobile hand-held radio to facilitate onsite communications. The field office will also function as a field laboratory and central storage area for field equipment and supplies.

3.5.4 Site-Specific Field Investigation Tasks The plans and rationale for field investigations, including analytical requirements, are described in Chapter 4.0 of this SSP. Performing those investigations will involve combinations of the following tasks:

- geophysical surveying,
- soil borings,
- soil sampling,
- soil characterization,
- soil gas surveying,
- field screening analysis,
- monitoring well installation,
- groundwater sampling,
- surface water and sediment sampling,
- wastewater and sludge sampling,
- land surveying, and
- investigation-derived waste (IDW) management.

These tasks will be performed in accordance with the methods as outlined in Sections 4.4 through 4.6 of the POP (ABB-ES, 1994a), with additional information as follows.

Proposed geophysical survey techniques will include magnetometer and terrain conductivity surveys followed by ground-penetrating radar (GPR) surveys to confirm anomalies identified by the other techniques. Marine magnetometry surveys will be conducted in Lake Susannah and possibly in Lake Baldwin (see Section 4.6). Global Positioning System (GPS) equipment will be used to support all geophysical survey activities (e.g., locating transect lines and anomalies).

Standard level and rod techniques will be used by ABB-ES to determine relative elevations of monitoring wells.

Immunoassay field screening methods will be used to evaluate the presence of PCBs at Study Area 4.

Passive soil gas surveying methods have been determined to be the most appropriate for the objectives of the SSP and site conditions.

In cases where a study area is adjacent to a surface water body, a reconnaissance of the shoreline will be performed to search for seepage of leachate.

Soil borings will be drilled and monitoring wells installed using hollow-stem auger (HSA) drilling and split-spoon sampling techniques. Soil samples will be collected continuously and logged using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). One subsurface soil sample from each boring will be submitted for laboratory analysis. The sample depth interval will be based on soil gas or geophysical survey results, flame ionization detector (FID) or photoionization detector (PID) readings, and visual observations during sample collection. If no apparent contamination is indicated by these criteria, the soil sample will be collected from the split-spoon interval at the water table. Soil intended for volatile organic compound (VOC) analyses will be immediately collected from the sampler. Soil intended for other types of analyses will be placed in a glass or stainless-steel mixing bowl and thoroughly mixed using a stainless-steel mixing spoon. Once the sample has been thoroughly mixed, sample material will then be placed in the appropriate containers.

Monitoring wells will be installed into the surficial aquifer, to a depth of approximately 15 feet, with 10 feet of slotted polyvinyl chloride (PVC) screen; the screen will be set to intersect the water table. Monitoring wells will be flush-mounted with protective steel casing at ground surface. All wells are considered temporary pending the results of site screening, and will be installed with a concrete pad measuring 2 feet by 2 feet by 3 inches thick.

3.5.5 General Background Data A Background Sampling Plan will be prepared to establish rationale and define sampling locations for collection of base-wide background samples. Background sampling of surface and subsurface soil and groundwater is expected to occur during or shortly after the Group I site screening field effort. Analytical results will be reviewed and used to establish a background data set for NTC, Orlando.

3.6 ANALYTICAL PROGRAM. The analytical program for Group I Study Areas is designed to identify contaminants that are expected to be encountered, based on what is known or inferred about study area conditions and operations. Expected contaminants include petroleum hydrocarbons, VOCs, semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs), inorganic compounds, pesticides, PCBs, herbicides, and radionuclides.

Analytical procedures such as selection of parameters, laboratory and method certification, laboratory QC programs, and holding times are provided in the POP, Volume I, Section 7.0, Analytical Procedures (ABB-ES, 1994a). All laboratory analyses will be conducted in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs. Detection limits for compounds regulated under the Florida Drinking Water Regulations (Chapter 17.550 of the Florida Administrative Code [FAC]) will be at or below the maximum contaminant level (MCL).

3.6.1 Analytical Parameters The analytical program is designed to support the site screening DQOs. Analytical data will be used to verify the presence and concentrations of environmental contamination to judge the suitability of an FOSL or FOST, or to determine whether further investigations are warranted. The selected analyses are based on study area-specific considerations. The study areas and specific analyses are discussed by media in Chapter 4.0 of this SSP.

3.6.2 Quality Assurance and Quality Control All environmental sampling and analyses will be conducted in accordance with the requirements of the POP (ABB-ES, 1994a).

Duplicate samples will be collected and analyzed for 10 percent of all samples. Trip blanks will provide a basis for assessing the potential for contaminating samples with VOCs during sample collection or shipment, and will accompany all samples to be analyzed for VOCs. To address the potential for cross contamination, rinsate blanks will be collected at a rate of one per day from equipment used in sampling all media. The rinsate blanks will be analyzed for the parameters of the respective samples collected with the equipment. Five percent matrix spike and matrix spike duplicates (MS/MSD) will be analyzed to characterize matrix effects on the basis of one per method per matrix. Detailed collection procedures and frequency of additional QC samples (such as field blanks and preservative blanks) are provided in the POP, Volume I, Chapter 9.0, Internal Quality Control (ABB-ES, 1994a).

Samples will be handled and conveyed to the subcontractor laboratory in accordance with specified chain-of-custody (COC) procedures. Sample management procedures including sample container and preservation requirements, COC program protocol and records, and sample tracking and shipping are described in the POP, Volume I, Chapter 5.0, Sample Handling and Custody Procedures (ABB-ES, 1994a).

While analyses are being conducted, the subcontractor laboratory QA Coordinator will provide the ABB-ES QA Manager with documentation specified in the POP. The subcontractor laboratory will supply copies of all corrective actions to ABB-ES for approval. The subcontractor laboratory provides operational control of the laboratory; however, the ABB-ES QA Manager retains ultimate responsibility for data quality.

3.7 DATA MANAGEMENT. Data generated as part of the site screening will be managed in accordance with the applicable data-management procedures as discussed in the POP, Volume I, Section 8.5, Data Management (ABB-ES, 1994a). The data will include field data and the results of laboratory chemical analyses of environmental samples.

3.8 DATA EVALUATION. ABB-ES will evaluate data generated as part of the site screening to determine whether they meet site screening DQOs. Evaluation of the data for each study area will be the basis for verifying whether environmental contamination exists and whether further investigations are warranted.

Medium-specific maximum detected concentrations will be compared to medium-specific background data, if available. Analytes that exceed background concentrations will be considered potentially site-related and will require further evaluation.

Concentrations of compounds that exceed background will be compared to appropriate Federal and State-promulgated standards or guidelines. If no contaminants are detected, or if all detected compounds are at concentrations below action levels, the properties will be recommended for an FOSL or an FOST. If concentrations are above action levels, or if compounds are detected with no set action levels, a PRE will be performed.

3.9 PRELIMINARY RISK EVALUATION (PRE). A PRE will be used (when appropriate) to evaluate the potential pathways of contaminant migration and potential risks to human and ecological receptors. The PRE will include a preliminary human health evaluation and a preliminary environmental evaluation.

The human health PRE will be conducted to evaluate risks associated with potential exposure to environmental media by comparison of maximum medium-specific concentrations to appropriate Federal and State-promulgated standards or guidelines, or risk-based concentrations (RBCs) available from USEPA Region III (USEPA Region III Risk-Based Concentration Table, Second Quarter 1994). Other applicable guidance and standards that may be used in the human health PRE include: USEPA Drinking Water Regulations (USEPA, 1993); Florida Drinking Water Regulations (Chapter 17.550, FAC); Florida Groundwater Guidance Concentrations (FDEP, 1994); Florida Surface Water Quality Standards (Chapter 17-302, FAC); Florida Petroleum Contamination Site Cleanup Criteria (Chapter 17.770, FAC); Florida Soil Cleanup Goals (FDEP Memorandum dated July 5, 1994); and, Interim Guidance on Establishing Soil Lead Cleanup Levels at Superfund Sites (OSWER Directive 9355, 4-02; USEPA, 1989).

The environmental PRE will be conducted to evaluate if any natural resources could be affected by site contamination. The environmental PREs will consist of a qualitative ecological characterization and a comparison of maximum medium-specific concentrations to available ecological standards, benchmarks, criteria, and guidance values.

The ecological characterization will qualitatively characterize the ecological communities associated with NTC, Orlando, including terrestrial, wetland, and aquatic habitats. Ecological receptors in the vicinity of the individual study areas that could potentially be exposed to contaminated environmental media will be identified. Major site-specific exposure pathways will be evaluated, and possible signs and symptoms of stress on biological receptors at the site will be observed. Required information will be obtained from a limited field ecological program and from literature sources. The field program will include a qualitative walkover survey to confirm ecological habitat types, flora, and fauna at and in the vicinity of each study area. Particular emphasis will be placed on identifying sensitive ecological receptors and assessing the potential

occurrence of rare, threatened, or endangered species at the installation. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Florida Natural Heritage Program, and any regional authorities will be contacted regarding the presence of State or federally-listed rare and endangered species at NTC, Orlando.

Applicable guidance that may be used in the ecological PRE includes: USEPA Ambient Water Quality Criteria (AWQC), USEPA Sediment Quality Guidelines, and other available sediment criteria and guidelines. Because no State or Federal guidance values exist for surface soil, limited effects concentration information from ecotoxicological literature may be included in the environmental PREs.

The purpose of these risk evaluations is to provide a qualitative assessment of the potential risks to human and ecological receptors and the suitability for transfer of the study area. Based on the results of the PRE, one of the following recommendations will be made for each site:

- recommend the study area for an FOSL or FOST,
- initiate an immediate removal or interim action, or
- include in an RI/FS.

It is possible that circumstances may require collection of additional data to support selection of an interim action or RI/FS.

ABB-ES will prioritize those sites recommended for an RI/FS based upon their actual or potential threat to human health and/or the environment.

3.10 SITE SCREENING REPORT. After acquiring and evaluating the field screening and laboratory data and performing the PRE, ABB-ES will prepare a Group I Study Areas Site Screening Report. The report will describe the field methods employed and will present, summarize, and evaluate the relevant background information and the field and laboratory data. For each study area, the Site Screening Report will address the following specific subjects:

- study area background and history;
- scope of exploration and sampling program;
- hydrogeologic setting and conditions;
- results of sampling and analysis;
- preliminary assessment of contaminant distribution, fate, and migration;
- preliminary assessment of potential exposure mechanisms and receptors;
- preliminary human health and environmental risk evaluation; and
- recommendations.

The Site Screening Report will be prepared in a draft version for review by the BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT). The Site Screening Report will be issued as final 4 weeks after receipt of BCT comments.

4.0 STUDY AREA FIELD INVESTIGATIONS

The purpose of this site screening program is to either confirm that Group I Study Areas (Figure 1-3) are suitable for an FOSL or an FOST or to determine the data needs for any additional investigations that may be required. The field investigation program is designed to gather sufficient physical and chemical data to support such decisions.

The following is a summary, by study area, of the proposed field investigation objectives and methods, including estimated numbers of samples and analytes for each location. Background information on specific study area conditions was derived primarily from information contained in the EBS (ABB-ES, 1994b) and BRAC Cleanup Plan (BCP; ABB-ES, 1994c). The complete analytical program is summarized in Table 4-1. Details of field methods to be used during this site screening program are included in the POP, Sections 4.4 through 4.6 (ABB-ES, 1994a).

4.1 STUDY AREA 1, BUILDING 3126 AND ALLEGED NAVAL HOSPITAL LANDFILL (UNNUMBERED FACILITY [UNF]-12). Study Area 1 consists of Building 3126 and the alleged former Hospital Landfill (UNF-12).

4.1.1 Background and Conditions

Building 3126. Building 3126 is a civilian Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (BEQ) and is a one-story building constructed of cinder blocks with a gabled roof covered by felt shingles. It is located on Comfort Avenue near the corner of Raymond Street (Figure 4-1).

The property has been owned and operated by the U.S. Navy since taking command of base operations in 1968. The building was constructed in 1943 and has been serving as barracks and administrative facilities. According to file records and aerial photographs of the area, the building was constructed on undeveloped land. No modifications or improvements have been made to the structure since it was built.

A greenish stain was observed on the ground on the north side of the mechanical room. The stain covered a 10 foot by 4 foot area to a depth of 2 inches. There was no living vegetation within the area of the stain (ABB-ES, 1994b).

UNF-12. Area UNF-12 is the former Hospital Landfill, located on the northeast part of the Main Base. This landfill is northwest of the Navy Hospital and is bisected by Mercy Avenue (Figure 4-2). The area currently is an open grassy lawn with scattered trees.

From the 1950's to late 1970's, Air Force medical buildings occupied the north shore area of Lake Baldwin. According to local residents, the Air Force buildings were dismantled in the late 1970's. Building parts and construction debris were reportedly disposed in deep trenches in the area.

The duration of disposal operations was not disclosed. Only building materials such as roofing, wood siding, flooring, and support beams were reportedly landfilled. A level grassy field currently exists in the area. Prior to the landfill, the area was undeveloped land. In the early 1980's, the Navy Hospital

**Table 4-1
Analytical Program Summary**

Site Screening Plan, Group I Study Areas
Naval Training Center, Orlando
Orlando, Florida

Sample Identification	Quant	CLP/TCL VOCs ¹	CLP/TCL SVOCs ²	CLP/TAL Inorganics	Cyanide	Pesticides/ PCBs ³	Herbicides	Endothall ⁴	TPH	Radionuclides ⁵	TSS, TDS, ALK, HARD
SOIL AND SEDIMENT SAMPLES											
STUDY AREA 1											
<u>UNF-12</u> Subsurface	4	4	4	4	0	4/4	0	0	0	0	0
<u>Bldg 3126</u> Surface	1	1	1	1	0	1/1	0	0	0	0	0
Subsurface	1	1	1	1	0	1/1	0	0	0	0	0
STUDY AREA 2											
<u>Bldg 6001</u> Sludge ⁶	1	1	1	1	0	1/1	0	0	0	0	0
Subsurface	3	3	3	3	0	3/3	0	0	0	0	0
HERNDON ANNEX											
Subsurface	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STUDY AREA 3											
<u>Bldgs 73, 2816, 2817</u> Surface	4	4	4	4	0	4/4	0	0	0	0	0
Subsurface	4	4	4	4	0	4/4	0	0	0	0	0
STUDY AREA 4											
<u>Bldgs 250, 251</u> Surface	4	0	4	4	0	0/4	0	0	4	0	0
STUDY AREA 5											
<u>UNF-13</u> Subsurface	4	4	4	4	0	4/4	0	0	0	0	0
See notes at end of table.											

Table 4-1 (Continued)
Analytical Program Summary

Site Screening Plan, Group I Study Areas
Naval Training Center, Orlando
Orlando, Florida

Sample Identification	Quant	CLP/TCL VOCs ¹	CLP/TCL SVOCs ²	CLP/TAL Inorganics	Cyanide	Pesticides/ PCBs ³	Herbicides	Endothall ⁴	TPH	Radionuclides ⁵	TSS, TDS, ALK, HARD
SOIL AND SEDIMENT SAMPLES (Continued)											
STUDY AREA 6											
<u>Lake Baldwin</u> Sediment ⁷	27	27	27	27	27	27/27	27	0	3	0	0
STUDY AREA 7											
<u>Lake Susannah</u> Sediment ⁷	15	15	15	15	15	15/15	15	0	3	0	0
STUDY AREA 8											
<u>Bldg 2134</u> Surface	8	8	8	8	0	8/8	8	0	0	0	0
Subsurface	4	4	4	4	0	4/4	4	0	0	0	0
<u>UNF-15</u> Subsurface	6	6	6	6	0	6/6	6	0	0	0	0
STUDY AREA 9											
<u>UNF-14</u> Surface	4	4	4	4	0	4/4	4	4	0	0	0
Subsurface	1	1	1	1	0	1/1	1	1	0	0	0
STUDY AREA 10											
<u>IAS Site 4</u> Subsurface	1	1	1	1	0	1/1	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS FOR SOIL	97	93	92	92	42	88/92	65	5	10	0	0
See notes at end of table.											

**Table 4-1 (Continued)
Analytical Program Summary**

Site Screening Plan, Group I Study Areas
Naval Training Center, Orlando
Orlando, Florida

Sample Identification	Quant	CLP/TCL VOCs ¹	CLP/TCL SVOCs ²	CLP/TAL Inorganics	Cyanide	Pesticides/ PCBs ³	Herbicides	Endothall ⁴	TPH	Radionuclides ⁵	TSS, TDS, ALK, HARD
WATER SAMPLES											
STUDY AREA 1											
<u>UNF-12</u> Groundwater	4	4	4	4	0	4/4	0	0	0	0	1-TSS Only
<u>Bldg 3126</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STUDY AREA 2											
<u>Bldg 6001</u> Wastewater	1	1	1	1	0	1/1	0	0	0	0	0
Groundwater	3	3	3	3	0	3/3	0	0	0	0	1-TSS Only
HERNDON ANNEX											
Groundwater	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STUDY AREA 3											
<u>Bldgs 73, 2816, 2817</u> Groundwater	4	4	4	4	0	4/4	0	0	0	0	1-TSS Only
STUDY AREA 4											
<u>Bldgs 250, 251</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STUDY AREA 5											
<u>UNF-13</u> Groundwater	4	4	4	4	0	4/4	0	0	0	0	1-TSS Only
STUDY AREA 6											
<u>Lake Baldwin</u> Surface Water	9	9	9	9	9	9/9	9	0	3	0	9

See notes at end of table.

**Table 4-1 (Continued)
Analytical Program Summary**

Site Screening Plan, Group I Study Areas
Naval Training Center, Orlando
Orlando, Florida

Sample Identification	Quant	CLP/TCL VOCs ¹	CLP/TCL SVOCs ²	CLP/TAL Inorganics	Cyanide	Pesticides/ PCBs ³	Herbicides	Endothall ⁴	TPH	Radionuclides ⁵	TSS, TDS, ALK, HARD
WATER SAMPLES (Continued)											
STUDY AREA 7											
<u>Lake Susannah</u> Surface Water	5	5	5	5	5	5/5	5	0	3	0	5
STUDY AREA 8											
<u>Bldg 2134</u> Groundwater	4	4	4	4	0	4/4	4	0	0	0	1-TSS Only
<u>UNF-15</u> Groundwater	6	6	6	6	0	6/6	6	0	0	6	1-TSS Only
STUDY AREA 9											
<u>UNF-14</u> Groundwater	4	4	4	4	0	4/4	4	4	0	0	1-TSS Only
STUDY AREA 10											
<u>IAS Site 4</u> Groundwater	1	1	1	1	0	1/1	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS FOR WATER	50	50	45	45	14	45/45	28	4	6	6	14 7-TSS Only
SOIL											
<u>QC Samples (Quantity Estimated)</u>											
Trip	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rinsate	10	10	7	7	0	7	7	0	7	0	0
Duplicate	6	6	6	6	0	6	5	1	1	0	0
See notes at end of table.											

**Table 4-1 (Continued)
Analytical Program Summary**

Site Screening Plan, Group I Study Areas
Naval Training Center, Orlando
Orlando, Florida

Sample Identification	Quant	CLP/TCL VOCs ¹	CLP/TCL SVOCs ²	CLP/TAL Inorganics	Cyanide	Pesticides/ PCBs ³	Herbicides	Endothall ⁴	TPH	Radionuclides ⁵	TSS, TDS, ALK, HARD
SOIL (Continued)											
<u>QC Samples (Quantity Estimated)</u>											
Matrix Spike	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	1	3	0	0
Matrix Spike Duplicate	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	1	3	0	0
SEDIMENT											
<u>QC Samples (Quantity Estimated)</u>											
Trip	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rinsate ⁷	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	0	0
Duplicate ⁷	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	1	0	0
Matrix Spike	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	0	1	0	0
Matrix Spike Duplicate	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	0	1	0	0
GROUNDWATER											
<u>QC Samples (Quantity Estimated)</u>											
Trip	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rinsate	8	8	5	5	0	5	5	1	0	1	0
Duplicate	4	4	4	4	0	4	3	1	0	1	0
Matrix Spike	3	3	3	3	0	3	2	1	0	0	0
Matrix Spike Duplicate	3	3	3	3	0	3	2	1	0	0	0

See notes at end of table.

**Table 4-1 (Continued)
Analytical Program Summary**

Site Screening Plan, Group I Study Areas
Naval Training Center, Orlando
Orlando, Florida

Sample Identification	Quant	CLP/TCL VOCs ¹	CLP/TCL SVOCs ²	CLP/TAL Inorganics	Cyanide	Pesticides/ PCBs ³	Herbicides	Endothall ⁴	TPH	Radio- nuclides ⁵	TSS, TDS, ALK, HARD
SURFACE WATER											
<u>QC Samples</u> (Quantity Estimated)											
Trip	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rinsate	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
Duplicate	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	0	0
Matrix Spike	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
Matrix Spike Duplicate	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0

¹ Volatile organic compound (VOC) analysis for groundwater and associated quality control (QC) samples will be low level Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) method to attain detection limits below Florida maximum contaminant levels (MCLs).

² Semivolatile organic compound (SVOC) analysis for groundwater and associated QC samples will include U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 8310 for benzo(a)pyrene and selective ion monitoring (SIM) for bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, pentachlorophenol, and hexachlorobenzene to attain detection limits below Florida MCLs for these compounds.

³ Polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) analysis for groundwater and associated QC samples will be performed to obtain detection limits below the Florida MCL (0.5 micrograms per liter [$\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$]).

⁴ Endothall analysis by USEPA Method 548.

⁵ Radionuclides analysis includes gross alpha, gross beta (USEPA Method 9310), and a gamma scan (USEPA Method 101.1).

⁶ Sludge sample also submitted for full Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure (TCLP) analysis.

⁷ Sediment samples also analyzed for total organic carbon (TOC).

Notes: Quant = quantity.

CLP = contract laboratory procedure.

TCL = target compound list.

VOCs = volatile organic compounds.

SVOCs = semivolatile organic compounds.

TAL = target analyte list.

PCBs = polychlorinated biphenyls.

TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons.

TSS = total suspended solids.

TDS = total dissolved solids.

ALK = alkalinity.


HARD = hardness.

UNF = unnumbered facility.

Bldg = building.

IAS = Initial Assessment Study.

QC = quality control.



SOURCE: ABB-ES, 1994b.


**FIGURE 4-1
PROPOSED SOIL SAMPLE LOCATIONS
AT BUILDING 3126, CIVILIAN BEQ,
STUDY AREA 1**



**SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS**

**NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA**

H:\ORLANDO\NTCMAIN\GLC\NP\08-22-94



**FIGURE 4-2
PROPOSED GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY
AREA AT UNF-12, ALLEGED HOSPITAL
LANDFILL, STUDY AREA 1**



**SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS**

**NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA**

and associated medical buildings were constructed in the vicinity. These buildings currently exist to the east of UNF-12.

No documented landfilling operations were identified in NTC, Orlando records and no known sampling or investigation has occurred to date regarding this disposal activity. Due to the age of the buildings that were dismantled and placed into the landfill, it is likely that lead-based paint is present in the landfill. Asbestos-containing material from demolished buildings may also have been disposed at this location.

Stormwater drainage flows from the site toward stormwater collection drains that discharge to Lake Baldwin.

4.1.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening

Building 3126. The objective of the site screening investigation at Building 3126 is to determine if chemical contaminants are present in the stained area identified during the EBS (ABB-ES, 1994b), and to determine if contamination has migrated below the surface.

Objective: to determine what chemical contaminants are associated with the stained area

Methods: • surface soil sampling
 • subsurface soil sampling

Upon confirming the location of the stained area as identified in the EBS (ABB-ES, 1994b), two composite soil samples will be collected using a hand auger. One sample will be a composite from three locations, and will be collected from the surface down to the visible extent of the stain. The second sample will be a composite collected from the same three locations, but from the interval 1 to 2 feet below the surface. Proposed sample locations are shown on Figure 4-1, with final locations to be determined in the field. The samples will be submitted for full suite Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) Target Compound List (TCL) and Target Analyte List (TAL) laboratory analyses in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs. Samples for volatile analyses will be grab samples from the one of the three soil subsample locations. After collection of the volatile sample, the remaining soil will be composited for the additional analyses.

Upon characterization of the stain, the need for a removal action or additional sampling will be evaluated.

UNF-12. The objectives of the site screening program at UNF-12 are to confirm the presence and location of the alleged landfill and determine what chemical contaminants, if any, are associated with the landfill. Investigative objectives are listed below along with methods proposed to achieve them. Proposed geophysical survey areas are shown on Figure 4-2, with final locations to be determined in the field.

Objective: to confirm the presence and location of the landfill

Methods: • aerial photograph evaluation
 • geophysical surveys (magnetometer, GPR, terrain conductivity [TC])

The rationale for determining the presence of the landfill is based on the questionable source of information regarding its existence. However, a preliminary review of aerial photographs indicates that some sort of surficial disturbance existed in the area reportedly identified as the landfill. More detailed evaluation of the photographs should identify the target area for geophysical surveys. The surveys should delineate any buried disposal areas, locate ferrous objects, and may identify the presence of contaminant plumes.

The geophysical survey program will be conducted in two phases: an initial magnetometer and TC survey, followed by a confirmatory GPR survey focused on anomalies identified by the magnetometer and TC. The area of investigation is estimated to be approximately 520 feet by 250 feet and magnetometer and TC readings will be collected at stations every 10 feet within this area. GPR transects, also with 10 foot spacings, will be conducted along north to south and east to west transects (estimated 25 east to west lines and 52 north to south lines). A GPS survey of key transect lines, magnetic anomalies, and other relevant features will be conducted so that geophysical survey areas may be mapped. The results of the geophysical survey will be used to focus the next phase of investigation in this study area.

Objective: to determine what chemical contaminants may be associated with the landfill


Methods:

- passive soil gas survey
- subsurface soil sampling
- monitoring well installation
- groundwater sampling

Upon delineating the disturbed area thought to be landfilled, a passive soil gas survey will be conducted to identify any areas with elevated concentrations of VOCs in the subsurface soil and to focus the investigation to a smaller area for confirmatory soil and groundwater sampling. Soil gas sampling locations will be established to coincide with magnetometer and TC stations, although a 50 foot sampling grid is proposed for the soil gas survey. Proposed sample locations are shown on Figure 4-3.

After determining the boundaries of the landfill, three soil borings will be advanced around the perimeter of the landfill, with monitoring well installations in each boring. After determination of groundwater flow direction, a fourth boring and well will be installed in an area hydraulically downgradient of the landfill. Representative boring and well locations are shown in Figure 4-3. Actual locations will be determined after evaluation of geophysical and soil gas results and groundwater flow direction. Four soil samples (one from each boring) and four groundwater samples (one from each well) will be submitted for CLP TCL VOCs, SVOCs, pesticides, and PCBs and CLP TAL inorganics (full suite CLP TCL and TAL) laboratory analysis in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs. One groundwater sample will also be submitted for total suspended solids (TSS) determination to aid in the evaluation of inorganic data and the effectiveness of the groundwater sampling technique.

4.2 STUDY AREA 2, HERNDON ANNEX, FACILITY 6001. Study Area 2 consists of Facility 6001 at the Herndon Annex.



SOURCE: ABB-ES, 1994b.

FIGURE 4-3
PROPOSED SOIL GAS SURVEY, SOIL BORING, AND MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS AT UNF-12, ALLEGED HOSPITAL LANDFILL, STUDY AREA 1



**SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS**

**NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA**

4.2.1 Background and Conditions

Facility 6001. Facility 6001 is an abandoned septic tank and drain field located in a grassy field north of Building 602 at the Herndon Annex (Figure 4-4). The septic tank was installed in 1970 and has been abandoned for an unknown number of years.

Records indicate the sewer line to the septic tank has been capped and abandoned. While active, the septic system received domestic sewage from surrounding Herndon Annex buildings. Prior to 1970, the land was scattered grasslands, trees, bare soil, and circular pads for aircraft.

The septic tank area has a 20 foot diameter circle depression from the surrounding terrain. Visible from the surface is a hole with concrete pieces, and an underground chamber with fluid inside. The fluid is assumed to be stormwater. A ditch flowing west to east carries stormwater run-off to a larger canal to the east.

The nearby Building 606 was constructed in 1973 as an assembly plant for communication trailers. Printed circuit boards were reportedly fabricated in the building. A part of Building 606 was also devoted to a machine shop for the construction of models and other support items for the flight simulators in Building 610. The machine shop included baths for metals treatment, and there are reports that spent chemicals (including paint thinner and metals treatment solutions) were diluted and discharged to the sanitary sewer (ABB-ES, 1994b).

A sanitary sewer system currently serves the Herndon Annex. Waste flows to the City of Orlando Iron Bridge Regional Water Pollution Control Facility. Unless further review of facility drawings indicates otherwise, it is assumed that Building 606 formerly discharged to Facility 6001.

The Herndon Annex includes five circular pads that were formerly used by the Air Force to park aircraft. The northern part of the Herndon Annex also includes an L-shaped paved area that was reportedly an airship landing area. Adjacent and to the west of this paved area are two building foundations, each approximately 50 feet wide and 250 feet long. Review of aerial photographs revealed the presence of a third building west of the two remaining foundations. These three buildings are believed to have been demolished in the 1950's. All of these features are shown on Figure 4-4 (ABB-ES, 1994b).

4.2.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening

Facility 6001. The objective of the site screening investigation at Facility 6001 is to determine if chemical contaminants are present in the septic tank, locate the associated leach field, and determine if chemical contaminants are present in the adjacent soil and groundwater.

Objective: to determine the exact location and orientation of the septic tank, leach field, and associated piping, and to confirm that Building 606 had been connected to the septic tank

Methods:

- review sanitary sewer system blueprints
- use tile probe to confirm location of tank

Figure 4-4 Proposed Piezometer, TerraProbe, Soil Boring, and Monitoring Well Locations, Facility 6001, Abandoned Septic Tank and Drain Field, Study Area 2, and the Herndon Annex

Once the tank location has been confirmed and an access point identified, samples of the tank contents (aqueous and solid) will be collected.

Objective: to determine the presence of any chemical contaminants in the septic tank

Methods: • wastewater sampling
 • sludge sampling

One sludge and one wastewater sample will be collected from the tank and submitted for full suite CLP TCL and TAL laboratory analyses in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs. The sludge sample will also be submitted for full suite Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure (TCLP) analysis.

Objective: to determine if chemical contaminants are present in the soil and groundwater adjacent to the septic tank and leach field

Methods: • piezometer installation
 • subsurface soil sampling
 • monitoring well installation
 • groundwater sampling

Six temporary piezometers will be installed using a hand auger or hand-held power auger. Piezometers will be constructed of 1½-inch slotted PVC screen. Approximate piezometer locations are shown on Figure 4-4. After determination of the groundwater flow direction, three soil borings will be completed around the perimeter of the septic tank and leach field, with monitoring well installations in each boring. One boring will be hydraulically upgradient of the septic system, and two will be downgradient. Three soil samples (one from each boring) and three groundwater samples (one from each well) will be submitted for full suite CLP TCL and TAL laboratory analyses in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs. One groundwater sample will also be submitted for TSS determination to aid in the evaluation of inorganic data and the effectiveness of the groundwater sampling technique.

Chlorinated solvent contamination may exist at the Herndon Annex from aircraft maintenance operations conducted by the Air Force between 1940 and 1968.

Objective: to determine if chlorinated solvents are present in the vicinity of the aircraft parking areas and the former Air Force structures

Methods: • TerraProbeSM subsurface soil sampling
 • TerraProbeSM groundwater sampling
 • subsurface soil sampling
 • monitoring well installation
 • groundwater sampling

A TerraProbeSM subsurface investigation will be conducted at the former aircraft parking areas and in the vicinity of the former airship landing area and associated structures. TerraProbeSM sampling will be terminated at the water table, after collection of a groundwater sample.

Three groundwater samples and one subsurface soil sample will be collected around the perimeter of each of the five aircraft parking areas. The soil sample will be collected at the water table. Two of the groundwater samples and the soil sample will be collected hydraulically downgradient of each of the aircraft pads. The third groundwater sample will be collected from an upgradient location. Proposed locations are shown on Figure 4-4. Soil and groundwater will be screened for chlorinated solvents using a field gas chromatogram (GC). The sample from each parking area with the highest field GC concentrations will be sent to the ABB-ES Wakefield laboratory for confirmatory screening analyses via USEPA Method 8010.

Thirteen groundwater samples and 10 subsurface soil samples will be collected around the perimeter of the L-shaped area and in the vicinity of the former Air Force structures. Proposed locations are shown on Figure 4-4. Soil and groundwater will be screened for chlorinated solvents using a field GC. Up to four samples with the highest field GC concentrations will be sent to the ABB-ES Wakefield laboratory for confirmatory screening analyses via USEPA Method 8010.

Following review of TerraProbeSM results, one soil boring will be completed adjacent to each of four aircraft parking areas and the L-shaped landing area, with a monitoring well installed in each boring. No boring or well will be installed adjacent to the aircraft parking area northwest of Study Area 2, due to the proximity of the three wells proposed for Study Area 2. Five subsurface soil samples (one from each boring) and five groundwater samples (one from each well) will be submitted for CLP TCL VOC laboratory analyses in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs. Proposed well locations are shown on Figure 4-4. Actual well locations will be dependent on groundwater flow direction and the results of the TerraProbeSM investigation.

4.3 STUDY AREA 3, HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STORAGE AREA. Study Area 3 consists of a Hazardous Materials Storage Area: Buildings 73, 2816, and 2817.

4.3.1 Background and Conditions

Building 73. Building 73 is a containment facility located west of the intersection of Farragut Avenue and Dahlgren Street in the northwestern part of the Main Base (Figure 4-5). To the west is a paved area containing two quonset huts (Buildings 2816 and 2817). Building 73 is a shallow concrete secondary containment unit with an aluminum roof (15 feet by 25 feet), enclosed by a chain-link fence.

Aerial photographs reveal that the field was once virtually cleared of vegetation and developed with several buildings. Photographs from the 1960's clearly show the presence of Matador missiles on the field as well as associated vehicles and structures. The buildings had been removed by the late 1970's and the field is now grassed over with the exception of Building 73. The property has been used for its current purpose since the early 1980's.

The unit is used as a storage facility for paints, solvents, inks, dyes, stains, etc. that are used by the Office of the First Lieutenant in Building 2817. No drains were identified, nor were indications of releases or spills from stored containers observed. The concrete retention walk is intact with no cracks or




FIGURE 4-5
PROPOSED SOIL GAS SURVEY, SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE,
SOIL BORING, AND MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS AT
BUILDINGS 73, 2816, AND 2817, HAZARDOUS
MATERIALS STORAGE AREAS, STUDY AREA 3



SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

damage evident. Facility personnel stated that a release never occurred within or from the storage unit.

Stormwater drainage flows from the site toward diversion ditches and stormwater collection drains that discharge to Lake Baldwin.

Building 2816. Building 2816 is a storage building located west of Farragut Avenue and Building 73 in the northwest part of the Main Base (Figure 4-5). The building is a quonset hut-type structure with steel-frame joints and a corrugated sheet-metal exterior, and an area of approximately 6,500 square feet. The structure was built in 1954 when the base was occupied by the Air Force. The building is one of 10 similar facilities that was used by the Missile Training Command, but its specific original function is unknown. Aerial photographs reveal that the Tactical Air Command conducted Matador Missile Training operations in and around the area of this building from 1961 until 1965.

Upon occupation by the Navy in 1967, the building was used for making and storing signs and nameplates. Painting booths were also located here.

In 1994, Building 2816 was renovated into a hazardous materials storage area for temporary storage of hazardous materials prior to off-site disposal by a private contractor.

A 265-gallon aboveground fuel oil storage tank is located on the north side of the building but is currently out of service. It was constructed in 1966 with asphalted steel and iron pipe. There were no visible signs of spills or leakage. It is not known if the aboveground storage tank (AST) still contains fuel oil.

Stormwater drainage flows from the site toward stormwater collection drains that discharge to Lake Baldwin (ABB-ES, 1994b).

Building 2817. Building 2817 is located west of Farragut Avenue and Building 73 in the northwest part of the Main Base (Figure 4-5). It is a 6,500-square-foot quonset hut erected in 1954 when the base was occupied by the Air Force. The building is one of 10 similar facilities that was used by the Missile Training Command for the maintenance, storage, and overhaul of flight simulator trainers. Aerial photographs reveal that the Tactical Air Command conducted Matador Missile Training operations in and around the area of this building from 1961 until 1965. Furthermore, the missiles were test fired (but not launched), causing severe topsoil erosion on adjacent property to the west.

Prior to 1984, the building was used to store out-of-commission airplanes for flight deck training. The building is currently used to house the offices and shops for the First Lieutenant, which include carpentry, electrical repair, flag making, engraving, and silk screening.

There is an AST with a 500-gallon capacity to the north of the building, which was once used to feed the boiler. The tank and visible lines and the surrounding soil appear to be in good condition. During an interview, a former base employee alleged that a septic tank was in use for much of the earlier history of these quonset huts; however, no evidence of a septic tank was apparent. The same employee also stated that solvents used in the maintenance of the flight simulators were often open-dumped in the area. An estimate of the types and quantity of solvent was not available.

Stormwater drainage flows from the site toward stormwater collection drains and from there the flow is directed eastward to Lake Baldwin (ABB-ES, 1994b).

4.3.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening The objectives of the site screening program at the Hazardous Materials Storage Area are to confirm the locations of the Matador missile test cells, identify associated fueling and maintenance activities, and determine what chemical contaminants, if any, are associated with current and former activities in this study area. Investigative objectives are listed below along with methods proposed to achieve them.

Objective: to confirm the locations of the Matador missile test cells and support activities and confirm the presence and location of the alleged septic tank

Methods:

- aerial photograph evaluation
- sanitary sewer system blueprint review
- background information review

The initial review of aerial photographs clearly indicated the former locations of the missile test cells in the area west of Study Area 3 near the alleged South Grinder Landfill. All fueling activities are also believed to have occurred west of Study Area 3. The impact of Matador missile activities will be investigated during the planned RI/FS for the Grinder Landfill.

Sanitary sewer blueprints and other background information will be reviewed to determine if a septic system was once used in the area and, if so, determine the approximate location. The results of this investigation will be considered when locating the passive soil gas points and the proposed monitoring wells at Study Area 3 (see below).

Another objective of screening activities in this area is to evaluate the potential contamination associated with materials stored in Buildings 73, 2816, and 2817 and associated activities. Proposed sample locations are shown on Figure 4-5, with final locations to be determined in the field.

Objective: to determine what chemical contaminants are associated with the Hazardous Materials Storage Area

Methods:

- passive soil gas survey
- subsurface soil sampling
- surface soil sampling
- monitoring well installation
- groundwater sampling

A passive soil gas survey will be conducted to identify any areas with elevated concentrations of VOCs in the subsurface soil and to focus the investigation for confirmatory soil and groundwater sampling. Soil gas sampling locations will be established on a 50-foot sampling grid. Proposed sample locations are shown on Figure 4-5.

Four surface soil samples will be collected to evaluate allegations of solvent disposal. Actual locations will be established after review of aerial photographs, background information, and the results of the soil gas survey.

Samples will be submitted for full suite CLP TCL and TAL laboratory analyses in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs.

Three soil borings will be completed around the perimeter of the study area, with monitoring well installations in each boring. After determination of groundwater flow direction, a fourth boring and well will be installed hydraulically downgradient of the study area. Representative boring and well locations are shown on Figure 4-5. Actual locations will be determined after further records review, completion of the soil gas survey, and determination of groundwater flow direction. Four subsurface soil samples (one from each boring) and four groundwater samples (one from each well) will be submitted for full suite CLP TCL and TAL laboratory analyses in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs. One groundwater sample will also be submitted for TSS determination to aid in the evaluation of inorganic data and the effectiveness of the groundwater sampling technique.

4.4 STUDY AREA 4, RUSK MEMORIAL CHAPEL AND ANNEX. Study Area 4 consists of the Rusk Memorial Chapel (Building 250) and Annex (Building 251).


4.4.1 Background and Conditions The Rusk Memorial Chapel (Building 250) and Annex (Building 251) are located at the corner of Farragut Avenue and Blue Ridge Street in the northwest part of the Main Base (Figure 1-3). An aerial photograph from 1965 reveals the property was undeveloped prior to the construction of the Rusk Memorial Chapel and Annex in 1969. The Recruit Training Center of NTC, Orlando has used Building 250 for religious educational programs and church services since it was built. The Annex is used for offices and classrooms and is joined to the chapel by a covered walkway (Building 8). Building 250 is an 18,200-square-foot building constructed of concrete masonry unit walls, with some areas having brick siding, and a steep pitched roof. Building 251 is a 3,978-square-foot building constructed of cinder-block walls with brick siding and a flat tar-and-gravel roof.

An uncovered mechanical room is located between Buildings 250 and 251. The room contains two air conditioning units and a transformer set on concrete pads. The area around the pads is covered in crushed stone. Soil staining was observed on the concrete pads and the crushed stone in the vicinity of the air conditioners.

There is a diesel fuel underground storage tank (UST) with a 2,500-gallon capacity on the property that is currently in service. A 500-gallon capacity AST containing propane is also located on the property, but is associated with Building 245.

A review of the NTC, Orlando file material revealed a spill of an unknown quantity of a fluid containing PCBs occurred in the mid 1980's from a transformer located in the uncovered mechanical room between Buildings 250 and 251 (Figure 4-6). Reportedly, cleanup procedures were conducted that reduced the PCB contamination level in the soil to approximately 68 parts per million (ppm). The specific cleanup procedures are currently unknown, as is the exact location of the impacted area (ABB-ES, 1994b).

4.4.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening The objectives of the site screening program at Study Area 4 are to evaluate cleanup activities conducted in the mid-1980's at the location of a transformer leak near Building 250, and



LEGEND

- Proposed soil sample location
- HVAC Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning

RUSK CHAPEL
(BUILDING 250)

0 10 20
SCALE: 1" = 20'

SOURCE: ABB-ES, 1994b.

**FIGURE 4-6
PROPOSED SOIL SAMPLE LOCATIONS
AT BUILDINGS 250 AND 251, RUSK
MEMORIAL CHAPEL,
STUDY AREA 4**



**SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS**

**NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA**

H:\ORLANDO\NTCMAN\GLC\06-23-94

determine what chemical contaminants, if any, remain at the transformer site following remedial activities. Investigative objectives are listed below along with methods proposed to achieve them.

Objective: to determine what specific remedial activities were completed by the Department of Public Works following the transformer leak in the mid-1980's

Methods: • background information review

All available information concerning the response actions (including soil removal, sampling, and analyses) will be reviewed to better define the spill location. Additional soil samples will be collected to confirm the location of the release and quantify the level of PCBs remaining in the soil.

Objective: to evaluate the effectiveness of the previous remedial activities and to characterize the potential residual chemical contamination

Methods: • subsurface soil sampling
• field screening analyses (PCBs)

A soil boring will be advanced on each side of the concrete transformer pad using a hand auger or a hand-held power auger, to a maximum depth of 5 feet. Proposed sample locations are shown on Figure 4-6, with final locations to be determined by further records review and field conditions. Soil samples will be collected at 1-foot-depth intervals and screened for PCBs by immunoassay in accordance with USEPA Method 4020. An estimated 20 samples will be field screened.

Soil will be screened qualitatively, using test kits calibrated for detection of 1 ppm total PCBs. Soil samples that exceed 1 ppm PCBs will then be screened using test kits calibrated for detection of 10 ppm PCBs. Once a soil sample from a boring location exceeds 10 ppm PCBs, the boring will be terminated and a new boring will be begun on an adjacent side of the transformer pad. After the four sides of the pad have been investigated in this manner, additional soil samples will be collected from the borings where soil conditions exceeded 10 ppm PCBs. At this stage, the test kits will be used semi-quantitatively, with the highest PCB levels at each location identified based on test kit photometer readings.

Up to four samples with the highest PCB field screening results will be submitted for laboratory analyses for constituents associated with transformer oils. These include total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), and CLP SVOCs and PCBs and TAL inorganics in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs.

The investigations described above are designed to locate the presence and depth of soil contaminated by the reported release of transformer fluid. This information will be used to determine the need for and the scope of any removal actions.

4.5 STUDY AREA 5, BUILDING UNF-13. Study Area 5 consists of the area once occupied by Building S-2604, the former motorboat rental and maintenance facility.

4.5.1 Background and Conditions. The property identified as UNF-13 is an approximately 2-acre grassy area bounded by stormwater outfalls to the north and south, Lake Baldwin to the east, and Leahy Avenue to the west (Figure 4-7). An aerial photographic and charting services control station is also located at the southern end of the property.

Aerial photographs and retired personnel reports reveal that a motorboat rental and maintenance facility (Building S-2604) was located at the site from 1962 to 1983. A 1964 Air Force sewer map shows a 1,500-gallon septic tank and leach field that was associated with this building. Based on aerial photographs dated 1981-1984, the building was demolished in the early 1980's, but the status of the septic tank is unknown.

The UNF-13 property serves as a recreational area for Lake Baldwin. The land is level, grass-covered, and contains some picnic tables and ornamental trees. Boat dock 2605 extends over Lake Baldwin to the northeast (ABB-ES, 1994b).

4.5.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening

UNF-13. The objectives of the site screening program at UNF-13 are to confirm the presence and location of the former motorboat rental and maintenance facility and the septic tank and leach field, and determine what chemical contaminants, if any, are associated with the former motorboat facility and septic system. Investigative objectives are listed below along with methods proposed to achieve them. Proposed sampling locations and survey areas are shown on Figures 4-7 and 4-8, with final locations to be determined in the field.

Objective: to confirm the presence and location of former buildings and septic systems

Methods:


- aerial photograph evaluation
- geophysical surveys (magnetometer, GPR and TC)

More detailed evaluation of the aerial photographs should identify the target areas for geophysical surveys. The surveys should delineate any subsurface features (septic tank, distribution boxes, etc.) or groundwater contaminant plume associated with the septic system. The geophysical surveys will be conducted in two phases: a magnetometer and TC survey consisting of readings at stations established every 10 feet in the target areas and a follow up GPR survey with transect lines also spaced on a 10-foot grid. An estimated 40 east to west transects and 25 north to south transects will be used for the geophysical survey. A GPS survey of key transect lines, magnetic anomalies, and other relevant features will be conducted so that geophysical survey areas may be mapped. Upon delineating subsurface anomalies, sampling and analytical activities will be completed in target areas.

Objective: to determine what chemical contaminants may be associated with the motorboat facility and septic system

Methods:

- passive soil gas survey
- subsurface soil sampling
- monitoring well installation
- groundwater sampling



**FIGURE 4-7
 PROPOSED GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY
 AREA AT UNF-13, FORMER
 MOTORBOAT RENTAL AND MAINTENANCE
 AREA, STUDY AREA 5**



**SITE SCREENING PLAN
 GROUP I STUDY AREAS**

**NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
 ORLANDO, FLORIDA**

H:\ORLANDO\NTCMAIN\GLC\06-23-94




FIGURE 4-8
PROPOSED SOIL GAS SURVEY, SOIL BORING, AND MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS AT UNF-13, FORMER MOTORBOAT RENTAL AND MAINTENANCE AREA, STUDY AREA 5

H:\ORLANDO\NTCMAIN\GLC\06-23-94



SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

A passive soil gas survey will be conducted to identify any areas with elevated concentrations of VOCs in the subsurface and to focus the investigation for confirmatory soil and groundwater sampling. Soil gas sampling points will coincide with magnetometer and TC stations, although sampling will be conducted on a 50-foot spacing interval in target areas as identified by geophysical methods.

Three soil borings will be completed around the perimeter of the targeted area (based on the results of the geophysical and soil gas surveys), with monitoring well installations in each boring. After determination of groundwater flow direction, a fourth boring and well will be installed hydraulically downgradient of the study area. Representative boring and well locations are shown on Figure 4-8. Actual locations will be determined after further records review, completion of the soil gas survey, and determination of groundwater flow direction. Four soil samples (one from each boring) and four groundwater samples (one from each well) will be submitted for full suite CLP TCL and TAL laboratory analyses in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs. One groundwater sample will also be submitted for TSS determination to aid in the evaluation of inorganic data and the effectiveness of the groundwater sampling technique.

4.6 STUDY AREA 6, LAKE BALDWIN.

4.6.1 Background and Conditions Lake Baldwin is a 196-acre, circular, freshwater lake, located almost entirely within the Main Base (Figure 4-9). The City of Winter Park's Bicentennial Park occupies the northwest bank. The lake is a Class III surface water used for recreational boating and fishing. The lake is classified as lacustrine, limnetic, unconsolidated bottom, and permanently flooded (Cowardin and others, 1979). Numerous outfalls and stormwater drainage ditches discharge to the lake. The overflow outfall is located on the eastern side of the lake. The overflow eventually flows to the Little Econolockhatchee River. A natural area with mature cypress trees and native vegetation is located along the eastern shore. Lake Baldwin's maximum depth is 25 feet, with an average depth of 14 feet. The bottom of the lake has little vegetation, and is covered with a layer of sludge and silt. Native birds such as seagulls, egrets, and herons can be observed at the lake.

Lake Baldwin historically had rich underwater aquatic vegetation. An attempt to control the weeds, mainly hydrilla, was made in the early 1970's, by applying an herbicide (Hydout). In 1975, through the University of Florida, 2,000 weed-eating grass carp (white arnut) were released to the lake. The carp were effective in eradicating the Hydrilla to the point that virtually no vegetation remains.

During the 1950's through 1968, an Air Force photographic laboratory reportedly disposed of its spent solutions into the storm sewer that flowed into Lake Baldwin on the southwest shore. Numerous hazardous chemicals including silver and cyanide are suspected to have been released into the lake. Sampling conducted during the verification study (Geraghty & Miller, 1986) found levels of phenols and the pesticide alpha-BHC at the detection limit in the surface water. Two sediment samples were submitted for Extraction Procedure (EP) Toxicity metals and cyanide analyses. Sediment results were below detection limits for these inorganics.

Figure 4-9 Proposed Surface Water and Sediment Sample Locations at Lake Baldwin,
Study Area 6

The Air Force maintained a skeet shooting range on the north shore of Lake Baldwin at the location of the current hospital helipad (Building 504). Based on review of aerial photographs and Air Force records, the range was in use from at least 1964 through 1978. All firing was in a southwesterly direction over Lake Baldwin (ABB-ES, 1994b).

During a visit to the Main Base by ABB-ES personnel in March 1994, a sorbent boom was observed stretched across an outfall to Lake Baldwin on the western shore, south of Building 4045. This boom was allegedly in place to collect petroleum discharged with stormwater runoff from the firefighter training facility (Building 200). At the time of the visit, the boom was clean and no evidence of petroleum was observed in the vicinity.

4.6.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening The objective of the site screening program at Lake Baldwin is to identify the presence of chemical contaminants, if any, in the lake sediment and surface water. If evidence of drum disposal (currently anecdotal) is found in Lake Susannah (via a marine magnetometer survey), a marine magnetometer survey will also be conducted in Lake Baldwin. Investigative objectives are listed below along with methods proposed to achieve them. Proposed sampling locations and survey areas are shown on Figure 4-9, with final locations to be determined in the field.

Objective: to determine the presence of metallic debris within the lake (if necessary)

Method: • marine magnetometer survey

Reports exist of the disposal of an unknown number of drums in Lake Susannah (Study Area 7), but not in Lake Baldwin. These reports will be investigated via a marine magnetometer survey of Lake Susannah. If drum disposal is confirmed for Lake Susannah, the possibility of drum disposal in Lake Baldwin also exists. If so, a marine magnetometer survey of Lake Baldwin will then be conducted by towing a magnetometer across the lake by boat. Parallel transect lines will be completed across the lake at 25-foot spacings, with readings taken at 25-foot intervals. Transect lines will be established through the use of a GPS. The results of the magnetometer survey will be used to focus field sampling activities.

Objective: to identify chemical contaminants that may have been introduced to the lake from various sources

Methods: • surface water sampling
• sediment sampling

A total of 27 sediment and 9 surface water samples will be collected in Lake Baldwin. Proposed sampling locations are shown on Figure 4-9, with final sample locations to be determined in the field. Sediment samples will be collected in clusters of three at the following six locations: the former photochemical discharge location, the stormwater discharge point from the firefighter training facility, adjacent to the former motorboat rental and maintenance area (UNF-13); the lake outfall on the eastern edge, opposite the former pesticide building (UNF-14) on the southeastern shore, and the current motorboat rental area on the southern shore of the lake. One sediment sample (in each cluster of three) will be collected near-shore, close to the potential source, and the remaining two will be collected farther out in the lake along a transect roughly perpendicular to

the shore at the potential source. These two samples will be collected from the upper layer of unconsolidated organic material on the lake bottom. One surface water sample will be collected in the vicinity of each sediment cluster, approximately 1 foot above the lake bottom.

Five sediment samples and one surface water sample will be collected from the area off shore (southwest) from the helipad (former skeet range). Two sediment samples will be collected along an arc at a distance of 200 feet from the helipad, and three sediment samples will be collected along an arc at a distance of 400 feet from the helipad (Figure 4-9).

The remaining four sediment samples and two surface water samples will be collected in the vicinity of anomalies detected by the marine magnetometer survey. If no survey is conducted, or if no anomalies are detected, these samples will be collected from locations equally spaced around the center of the lake.

Sediment and surface water samples will be analyzed for full suite CLP TCL and TAL, herbicides, and cyanide in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs. All sediment samples will also be submitted for total organic carbon (TOC) analyses and all surface water samples will also be submitted for total dissolved solids (TDS), TSS, alkalinity, and hardness analyses. These surface water and sediment parameters are necessary for evaluation of the ecological condition of the lake. Sediment and water from the firefighter training outfall, UNF-13, and the current motorboat rental area will also be analyzed for TPH.

4.7 STUDY AREA 7, LAKE SUSANNAH.

4.7.1 Background and Conditions Lake Susannah is a naturally formed freshwater lake located on the southeast part of the Main Base (Figure 4-10). Approximately 75 acres in size, the lake is used by military personnel and the public for recreational uses including fishing, sailing, and water skiing. The north and west shores abut the Main Base with 60 of the 75 acres owned by the government. The southern part of Lake Susannah is not owned by the government, and has private residential houses adjoining it. The lake receives stormwater run-off from much of the southern end of the Main Base, and is not far from the motor pool, automotive hobby shop, and pest control buildings. A dam (Structure 4039) is located in the northeast corner of the lake, and serves to control lake overflow. Comprised of ditches, weirs, and gates, the dam directs lake overflow to a channel that circles the adjacent golf course. Since the early 1970's, the lake has had chemical treatment for aquatic weed control (ABB-ES, 1994b).

At one time, drums were allegedly dumped into Lake Susannah (ABB-ES, 1994c).

4.7.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening The objectives of the site screening program at Lake Susannah are to determine if metallic debris is present in the lake and to identify the presence of chemical contaminants, if any, in the lake sediment and surface water. Investigative objectives are listed below along with methods proposed to achieve them. Proposed sampling and survey areas are shown on Figure 4-10, with final locations to be determined in the field.

Objective: to determine the presence of metallic debris within the lake

Method: • marine magnetometer survey

Figure 4-10

Proposed Surface Water and Sediment Sample Locations at Lake
Susannah, Study Area 7

A marine magnetometer survey of Lake Susannah will be conducted by towing a magnetometer across the lake by boat. Parallel transect lines will be completed across the lake at 25-foot spacings, with readings taken at 25-foot intervals. Transect lines will be established through the use of GPS. The results of the geophysical survey may be used to focus the sampling investigation in this study area.

Objective: to identify chemical contaminants that may have been introduced to the lake from various sources

Methods: • surface water sampling
 • sediment sampling

A total of 15 sediment and 5 surface water samples will be collected in Lake Susannah. Sediment samples will be collected in clusters of three at the following four locations: the outfall on the southwest corner of the lake that receives runoff from the Automobile Hobby Shop (Buildings 129 and 131), the Pest Control Building (Building 139), and Bulk Fuel Storage (Building 2273); opposite the Grounds Maintenance Building (Building 127); Dock 11015; and Dam 4039 in the northeast corner of the lake. The samples in each cluster will be collected along a traverse roughly perpendicular to the potential source areas described above. One surface water sample will be collected in the vicinity of each sediment cluster, approximately 1 foot above the lake bottom.

The remaining three sediment samples and one surface water sample will be collected in the vicinity of anomalies detected by the marine magnetometer survey. If no anomalies are detected, these samples will be collected from locations equally spaced around the center of the lake.

Sediment and surface water samples will be analyzed for full suite CLP TCL and TAL herbicides, and cyanide in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs. All sediment samples will also be submitted for TOC analyses and all surface water samples will also be submitted for TDS, TSS, alkalinity, and hardness. Sediment and water from the stormwater outfall, Building 127, and Dock 11015 will also be analyzed for TPH.

4.8 STUDY AREA 8, GOLF COURSE AREA. Study Area 8 consists of the Greens Keeper Storage Area (Building 2134 and nearby storage facilities) and the Old Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Lagoons (UNF-15).

4.8.1 Background and Conditions

Building 2134 and Vicinity. This 800-square-foot building was built in 1943 and is located on Trident Lane, at the southern end of the golf course, east of Lake Baldwin (Figure 1-3). The building is currently used for storage and routine maintenance of golf course greens keeper's equipment. Prior to construction of the golf course, the building was used for storage of undetermined materials.

There are several storage facilities located near Building 2134, along the cul-de-sac at the end of Trident Lane (Figure 4-11). These facilities include a small shed containing acrylic latex paint and athletic field chalk, two aluminum mobile structures used for storage of pesticides and paints, two herbicide storage lockers, two metal storage lockers containing gas cans and motor oil, one steel




FIGURE 4-11
PROPOSED SURFACE SOIL, SOIL BORING, AND MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS AT BUILDING 2134, GREENSKEEPER STORAGE AREA, STUDY AREA 8

SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

H:/ORLANDO/NTCMAN/DRM/6-23-94

building for general storage, and a roof-covered concrete slab enclosure for equipment and seed storage. Petroleum and pesticide odors were noted around Building 2134 and some of the storage facilities and oil stains were noted on the floor and walls of Building 2134 and around a small motor oil storage pad. Stressed vegetation was noted around the old steel storage building, reportedly from herbicide application and runoff from equipment rinsing.

There are currently two ASTs located along the cul-de-sac: a 550-gallon diesel tank and a 250-gallon unleaded gasoline tank. Both tanks have concrete secondary containment structures. A 200-gallon diesel AST has been removed from service in this area (ABB-ES, 1994b).

Former WWTP Lagoons (UNF-15). A WWTP operated on the golf course in the vicinity of the current 12th and 13th holes from the 1940's to 1976 (Figure 4-12). The 200,000-gallon per day (gpd) plant used two wastewater evaporation and percolation lagoons during operation. The WWTP served the hospital area. In 1977 and 1978, the WWTP was demolished and the lagoons were reportedly filled with sludge from the WWTP along with yard wastes, empty unmarked 1-gallon containers, building demolition debris, and a large stainless steel mixing tank from the Air Force Photographic Squadron. The estimated fill quantity is 18,000 cubic yards (ABB-ES, 1994b). The filled areas have since been landscaped during the construction of the golf course.

4.8.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening The objectives of the site screening program at the Golf Course Area are to confirm the presence and location of the former WWTP lagoons, and to evaluate the possible presence of chemical contaminants as the result of pesticide and herbicide disposal and activities at the Greens Keeper Storage Area and the WWTP. Investigative objectives are listed below along with methods proposed to achieve them. Proposed sampling locations and survey areas are shown on Figures 4-11, 4-12, and 4-13 with final locations to be determined in the field.


BUILDING 2134

Objective: to identify chemical contaminants that may have resulted from spills or disposal of residues from oils or hazardous materials used in golf course maintenance activities

Methods:

- surface soil sampling
- subsurface soil sampling
- monitoring well installation
- groundwater sampling

Eight surface soil samples will be collected using a hand auger from locations around Building 2134 and the nearby storage facilities. Samples will be collected preferentially from stained areas or areas of stressed vegetation. Four soil borings will be completed among the storage facilities, with monitoring well installations in each boring. One boring will be located adjacent to each of the following: the herbicide storage locker, the pesticide storage area, the paint storage area, and the motor oil storage locker. Eight surface soil samples, four subsurface soil samples (one from each boring), and four groundwater samples (one from each well) will be submitted for full suite CLP TCL and TAL and herbicide laboratory analyses in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs. One groundwater



SOURCE: ABB-ES, 1994b.


FIGURE 4-12
PROPOSED GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY
AREA AT UNF-15, FORMER
WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT
LAGOONS, STUDY AREA 8



SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

H:/ORLANDO/NTCMAN/DRM-NP/8-22-94



SOURCE: ABB-ES, 1994b.

FIGURE 4-13
PROPOSED SOIL GAS SURVEY, SOIL BORING, AND MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS AT UNF-15, FORMER WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT LAGOONS, STUDY AREA 8

H:/ORLANDO/NTCMAIN/DRM/6-23-94



SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

sample will also be submitted for TSS determination to aid in the evaluation of inorganic data and the effectiveness of the groundwater sampling technique.

Former WWTP Lagoons

Objective: to confirm the locations of the former WWTP lagoons

Methods: • aerial photograph survey
 • geophysical surveys (magnetometer, TC, and GPR)

More detailed evaluation of the photographs should identify the target areas for geophysical surveys. The geophysical surveys will be conducted in two phases: a magnetometer and TC survey consisting of readings at stations established every 20 feet in the target area and a follow up GPR survey with transect lines also spaced in a 20-foot grid. The GPR survey will include readings in both directions along the transect lines. An estimated 40 east to west transects and 18 north to south transects will be used to evaluate the assumed 800 feet by 350 feet study area for the geophysical survey. A GPS survey of key transect lines, magnetic anomalies, and other relevant features will be conducted so that geophysical survey areas may be mapped. Upon delineating subsurface anomalies, sampling and analytical activities will be completed in target areas.

Objective: to identify chemical and radiological contaminants that may be associated with the former WWTP lagoons

Methods: • passive soil gas survey
 • subsurface soil sampling
 • monitoring well installation
 • groundwater sampling

Upon delineating the former lagoons, a passive soil gas survey will be conducted to identify any areas with elevated concentrations of VOCs in the subsurface soil and to focus the investigation to a smaller area for confirmatory soil and groundwater sampling. Soil gas sampling locations will be established to coincide with magnetometer and TC stations, although a 50-foot sampling grid is proposed for the soil gas survey.

Three soil borings will be completed around the perimeter of the targeted area (based on the results of the geophysical and soil gas surveys), with monitoring well installations in each boring. After determination of groundwater flow direction, three additional borings and wells will be installed hydraulically downgradient of the study area. Representative boring and well locations are shown on Figure 4-13. Actual locations will be determined after further records review, completion of the soil gas survey, and determination of groundwater flow direction. Six soil samples (one from each boring) and six groundwater samples (one from each well) will be submitted for full suite CLP TCL and TAL and herbicide laboratory analyses in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs. Because the verification study (Geraghty & Miller, 1986) identified elevated radionuclides in groundwater near two landfills that allegedly received medical waste, groundwater samples from the vicinity of the former hospital WWTP lagoons will be submitted for gross alpha, gross beta, and gamma radiation scans. One groundwater sample will also be submitted for TSS determination to aid in the evaluation of inorganic data and the effectiveness of the groundwater sampling technique.

4.9 STUDY AREA 9, FORMER PESTICIDE AND HERBICIDE STORAGE BUILDING (UNF-14).
Study Area 9 consists of the former pesticide and herbicide storage building (UNF-14).

4.9.1 Background and Conditions Facility UNF-14 is a former pesticide and herbicide storage building that was located on the golf course on Trident Lane near the southeastern shore of Lake Baldwin (Figures 1-3 and 4-14). The U.S. Air Force used the building from the early 1950's to 1969, and the U.S. Navy used it from 1969 to 1972. The building was demolished in 1981, but records do not reveal its use from 1972 to 1981. The 480-square-foot building was a concrete-block structure with a wood-framed roof.

Facility UNF-14 was used to store and mix pesticides and herbicides, and to clean all application equipment for all pest control activities at the Main Base. Reportedly, operations consisted of mixing the pesticides and herbicides in containers on the ground. During these operations, spills are likely to have occurred. Estimated quantities of spilled material are reported to be 1,000 to 4,000 gallons. In addition, rinse water used to clean application equipment and empty containers was discharged to a drain inside the building that was connected to a gravel sump. Typical annual quantities of pesticides and herbicides used (based on 1970 data) included approximately 62,000 gallons of liquid material and 43,000 pounds of dry material. Chemicals reportedly used included Baygon, Diazinon, Chlordane, Dieldrin, Malathion, 2,4-D, anticoagulants, mineral oils, arsenic, Pyrethrum, Paraquat, Kepone, Endothall, Diuron, Naled, Monuron, Dchlorvos, Hydrothol, and Dimethoate.

Reportedly, approximately 300 gallons of pesticides and herbicides were in the building when it was demolished in 1981. Three monitoring wells were installed around the building during the verification study conducted by Geraghty & Miller in 1986. Analytical results for groundwater samples from these wells indicated the presence of ethylbenzene, phenol, 2-chlorophenol, 2,4-dichlorophenol, and Chlordane in the northernmost well (ABB-ES, 1994b).

4.9.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening The objectives of the site screening program at UNF-14 (Initial Assessment Study [IAS] Site 8) are to confirm the location of the former pesticide and herbicide storage building, specifically the location of a gravel-filled sump, and to evaluate the possible presence of chemical contaminants as the result of disposal of pesticide and herbicide residues. Investigative objectives are listed below along with methods proposed to achieve them. Proposed sample and survey locations are shown on Figures 4-14 and 4-15, with final sample locations to be determined in the field.

Objective: to confirm the location of the former building (specifically the sump structure) and, possibly, the presence of a groundwater contaminant plume

Methods: • aerial photograph evaluation
 • geophysical surveys (magnetometer, GPR, and TC)

Following review of the available aerial photographs, geophysical survey techniques will be used to locate the former building foundation, specifically the gravel-filled sump structure, and possibly to delineate any groundwater plume emanating from this source. The geophysical surveys will be conducted in two phases: a magnetometer and TC survey consisting of readings at stations

LAKE BALDWIN



Geophysical survey area
20 East to west transects at 20 foot spacing
20 North to south transects at 20 foot spacing
(Terrain conductivity, magnetometer, and ground penetrating radar)




FIGURE 4-14
PROPOSED GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY
AREA AT UNF-14, FORMER PESTICIDE AND
HERBICIDE STORAGE BUILDING,
STUDY AREA 9

H:/ORLANDO/NTCMAIN/DRM/7-18-94



SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS


NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

LAKE BALDWIN



LEGEND

- Proposed surface soil sample location
- ⊙ Proposed soil boring and monitoring well location
- ⊕ Existing monitoring well location



SOURCE: ABB-ES, 1994b.

FIGURE 4-15
PROPOSED SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE
AND EXISTING MONITORING WELL
LOCATIONS AT UNF-14, FORMER
PESTICIDE AND HERBICIDE STORAGE
BUILDING, STUDY AREA 9

H:/ORLANDO/NTCMAIN/DRM/6-23-94



SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

established every 10 feet in the target area and a follow-up GPR survey with transect lines also spaced on a 10-foot grid. The GPR survey will include readings in both directions along the transect lines. An estimated 20 east to west transects and 20 north to south transects will be used to evaluate the assumed 200-foot by 200-foot study area for the geophysical survey. A GPS survey of key transect lines, magnetic anomalies, and other relevant features will be conducted so that geophysical survey areas may be mapped. Upon delineating subsurface anomalies, sampling and analytical activities will be completed in target areas.

The results of the geophysical surveys will be used to focus field sampling efforts.

Objective: to determine if chemical contaminants may be present in the study area


Methods:

- surface soil sampling
- subsurface soil sampling
- monitoring well installation
- groundwater sampling

Based on the results of the aerial photograph evaluation and geophysical surveys, four surface soil sampling locations will be identified in the vicinity of the sump structure or other likely disposal areas. Surface soil samples will be collected using hand augers or power-assisted augers. Three existing monitoring wells will be evaluated for their integrity, and if they are determined to be sound, they will be reconditioned (redeveloped) and sampled. Because the three existing wells are believed to be screened below the water table, a new well will be installed hydraulically downgradient (based on water levels in the existing wells) and as close as possible to the dry well location. Four groundwater and five soil samples (the four surface soil samples and one soil sample from the new well) will be submitted for full suite CLP TCL and TAL and herbicide laboratory analyses in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs. Groundwater and soil samples will also be submitted for Endothall analysis. Endothall was used at the former pesticide building and is regulated by the Florida Drinking Water Regulations. One groundwater sample will also be submitted for TSS determination to aid in the evaluation of inorganic data and the effectiveness of the groundwater sampling technique.

4.10 STUDY AREA 10, INITIAL ASSESSMENT STUDY (IAS) SITE 4, YARD WASTE DISPOSAL AREA. Study Area 10 consists of the alleged Yard Waste Disposal Area.

4.10.1 Background and Conditions. IAS Site 4 is an alleged yard waste disposal area located on the golf course approximately 200 feet southwest of Magazine No. 123 in the southeastern part of the Main Base (Figures 1-3 and 4-16). The disposal area is a pit 30 feet in diameter by 8 or 9 feet deep where yard wastes, including tree limbs and grass clippings were placed. The site was used from 1968 to 1969, and then covered with top soil. Approximately 6,400 cubic feet of yard waste were disposed at this location. Reportedly, no hazardous materials were buried in this disposal area (C.C. Johnson, 1985).



SOURCE: ABB-ES, 1994b.

FIGURE 4-16
PROPOSED GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY
AREA, IAS SITE 4, ALLEGED
YARD WASTE DISPOSAL AREA,
STUDY AREA 10

NTCMAIN/DRM/5-16-94



SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

4.10.2 Rationale and Plans for Site Screening The objectives of the site screening program at IAS Site 4 are to confirm the location of the Yard Waste Disposal Area and to evaluate the possible presence of chemical contaminants resulting from the disposal of materials other than yard waste. The proposed investigation for Study Area 10 is designed to confirm reports that only yard waste was buried at this location. Additional investigations may be necessary if the presence of metallic objects or VOCs are detected. Investigative objectives are listed below along with methods proposed to achieve them. Proposed sample locations and survey areas are shown on Figures 4-16 and 4-17, with final locations to be determined in the field.

Objective: to delineate the extent of the disposal area and, possibly, the presence of a groundwater contaminant plume

Methods:

- aerial photograph evaluation
- geophysical surveys (magnetometer, GPR, and TC)

Following review of the available aerial photographs, geophysical survey techniques will be used to delineate the extent of potential subsurface disposal or disturbed soils. The results of the geophysical surveys will be used to focus field sampling efforts. The geophysical surveys will be conducted in two phases: a magnetometer and TC survey consisting of readings at stations established every 10 feet in the target area, and a follow-up GPR survey with transect lines spaced on a 20-foot grid. The GPR survey will include readings in both directions along the transect lines. An estimated 20 east to west transects and 20 north to south transects for TC and magnetometer will be used to evaluate the assumed 200-foot by 200-foot study area. The GPR survey is expected to require 10 east to west and 10 north to south transects. A GPS survey of key transect lines, magnetic anomalies, and other relevant features will be conducted so that geophysical survey areas may be mapped.

Objective: to determine if chemical contaminants may be present in the study area

Methods:

- passive soil gas survey
- subsurface soil sampling
- monitoring well installation
- groundwater sampling

Upon delineating the limits of disturbed soils, a passive soil gas survey will be conducted to identify any areas with elevated concentrations of VOCs in the subsurface soil or groundwater. Soil gas sampling locations will be established to coincide with magnetometer and TC stations, although a 50-foot sampling grid is proposed for the soil gas survey.

One soil boring will be completed adjacent to the area of disturbed soil, with a monitoring well installed in the boring. The boring location will be based on geophysical anomalies or elevated VOCs, as identified in the soil gas survey. In the absence of geophysical anomalies or VOCs, the boring will be hydraulically downgradient of the study area, based on local topography. One groundwater and one subsurface soil sample will be submitted for full suite CLP TCL and TAL laboratory analyses in accordance with USEPA Level IV DQOs. One groundwater sample will also be submitted for TSS determination to aid in the evaluation of inorganic data and the effectiveness of the groundwater sampling technique.




FIGURE 4-17
PROPOSED SOIL GAS SURVEY, SOIL BORING, AND
MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS, IAS SITE 4,
ALLEGED YARD WASTE DISPOSAL AREA,
STUDY AREA 10



SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

H:/ORLANDO/NTCMAN/DRM/7-29-94

Based on the nature of the material reportedly disposed at this study area (yard waste), geophysical investigations, the soil gas survey, and the single monitoring well are expected to be adequate to demonstrate the absence of contamination. Additional investigations may be required after evaluation of data collected during this field program.

5.0 PERSONNEL REQUIREMENTS

The SSP at NTC, Orlando will be completed by a team of ABB-ES personnel with support services provided by several subcontractors.

The staffing requirements for the SSP including the specific duties, functions, and responsibilities for key personnel are detailed in the following paragraphs. Assigned personnel have been listed; staffing of other key roles is ongoing.

Task Order Manager (TOM). The TOM, Mr. Jim Manning, is responsible for: ensuring the appropriateness and adequacy of the technical or engineering services provided for a specific task; developing the technical approach and level of effort required to address each element of a task; supervising day-to-day conduct of the work, including integrating the efforts of all supporting disciplines and subcontractors for all tasks; overseeing the preparation of all reports and plans; providing for QC and quality review during the performance of the work; ensuring technical integrity, clarity, and usefulness of task work products; forming a task group with expertise in disciplines appropriate to accomplish the work; reviewing and approving sampling tests and QA plans, which include monitoring site locations, analytical methods to be used, and hydrologic and geophysical techniques; developing and monitoring task schedules; supervising task fiscal requirements (e.g., funds management for labor and materials), and reviewing and approving all invoicing actions; and providing day-to-day communication, both within the ABB-ES team and with the BCT and others, on all task matters including task status reporting.

Quality Assurance Manager. ABB-ES has established a corporate QA function to assure that appropriate protocols are followed and that QC plans are in place and implemented for each element of the task. Mr. Thomas Campbell is ABB-ES' QA Manager. He reports directly to the Program Manager but is responsible to the TOM in matters related to management of the QA/QC work element. The QA Manager is independent of the TOM relative to corrective action. The QA Manager has authority to stop work that is not in compliance with the POP, provided he has the concurrence of the TOM, the Program Manager, and the Contracting Manager.

Health and Safety Supervisor. Ms. Cindy Sundquist, Certified Industrial Hygienist (CIH), is ABB-ES' corporate health and safety supervisor. She has stop-work authority to prevent or mitigate any unacceptable health and safety risks to project personnel, the general public, or the environment. Responsibilities of this position include: ensuring that the project team and, in particular, field personnel, comply with the ABB-ES HASP; helping the TOM and Program Manager develop the site-specific HASP; making certain that the HASP is distributed to appropriate personnel; and informing the TOM in the specified manner when any health- or safety-related incident occurs.

Field Operations Leader (FOL). The FOL, Mr. Jerry Girardot, is responsible for conducting the field program in accordance with procedures outlined in the SSP and the POP. Responsibilities also include directing subcontractor activities and coordinating with onsite visitors and representatives.

6.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE

The overall project schedule for the SSP for Group I Study Areas is presented as follows.

6.1 PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE. The SSP for Group I Study Areas will be conducted concurrently with other tasks at NTC, Orlando. Onsite activities will be initiated following the Notice to Proceed and are expected to require 44 days to complete. Laboratory analyses of samples collected during field operations will be conducted concurrently with the ongoing field activities, with data deliverables from the last samples collected due 30 days after the completion of the field program. Data validation activities will be initiated as soon as data deliverables are received from the contract laboratory and validation will be completed within 30 days of receipt of the final data deliverable package from the laboratory. The draft Site Screening Report will be delivered to the BCT for review 10 weeks after completion of the data validation. The Site Screening Report will be issued as final 4 weeks after receipt of BCT comments.

6.2 FIELD ACTIVITIES. Field activities as described in Chapter 4.0 of this SSP will be initiated 1 month after Notice to Proceed. The field program is estimated to require 2 months to complete. This schedule assumes that some tasks will be conducted concurrently at separate study areas and that there will be no significant delays due to weather, site access, or other unforeseen issues.

REFERENCES

- ABB-ES, 1994a, Project Operations Plan for Site Investigations and Remedial Investigations, Naval Training Center, Orlando, Florida: prepared for Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (SOUTHNAVFACENGCOM).
- ABB-ES, 1994b, Final Draft Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS) Report, Naval Training Center, Orlando, Florida: prepared for SOUTHNAVFACENGCOM.
- ABB-ES, 1994c, BRAC Cleanup Plan (BCP), 1994, 90 Percent Completion Draft BRAC Cleanup Plan, Naval Training Center, Orlando, Florida: prepared for SOUTHNAVFACENGCOM.
- C.C. Johnson & Associates, Inc., 1985, Initial Assessment Study of Naval Training Center Orlando, Florida: Port Hueneme, California, September 1985.
- Cowardin, L.M., Carter, V., Golet, F.C., and LaRoe, E.T., 1979, Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States: Office of Biological Services, Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C., Report No. FWS/OBS-79/31, December 1979.
- Department of the Navy, 1992, Base Loading Data Report as of April 30, 1992: Reference NTCORLINST 5314.1N, May 19, 1992.
- Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Facilities, 1994, Ground Water Guidance Concentrations: Bureau of Drinking Water and Ground Water Resources, June 1994.
- Geraghty & Miller, 1986, Verification Study, Assessment of Potential Soil and Ground-Water Contamination at Naval Training Center, Orlando, Florida: Tampa, Florida, December 1986.
- Lichtler, W.F., Anderson, W., and Joyner, .B.F., 1968, Water Resources of Orange County, Florida: U.S. Geological Survey Report of Investigations No. 50, p. 150.
- Meinzer, O.E, 1923, The occurrence of ground water in the United States, with a discussion of principles: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 489, p. 321.
- USEPA, 1994, USEPA Region III Risk-Based Concentration Table: Second Quarter.
- USEPA, 1993, Drinking Water Regulations and Health Advisories, Office of Water, Washington, D.C., December 1993.
- USEPA, 1989, Interim Guidance on Establishing Soil Lead Cleanup Levels at Superfund Sites: OSWER Directive 9355.4-02, September 7.

APPENDIX A

GROUP I STUDY AREAS HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN ADDENDUM

PREFACE

The following pages constitute the Health and Safety Plan (HASP) addendum for Naval Training Center (NTC), Orlando Project Operations Plan for Site Investigations and Remedial Investigations (ABB-ES, 1994a). The addendum must be used in conjunction with the existing generic HASP for NTC, Orlando. The pages in this addendum should be inserted, where indicated in the generic HASP. The generic HASP, with these pages correctly inserted, completes the update of the NTC, Orlando HASP.

2.3 SCOPE OF WORK (WORKPLAN). The field investigation at NTC, Orlando will consist of surface geophysical investigations; soil borings; monitoring well installations, development, and purging; surface soil, sediment, surface water, and groundwater sampling; and aquifer testing.

The following lists investigative objectives for each of the 10 study areas in the project operations plan and the methods proposed to achieve them.

Study Area 1:

Building 3126:

Objective: to determine what chemical contaminants are associated with the stained area

Methods:

- surface soil sampling
- subsurface soil sampling

Naval Hospital Landfill (UNF-12):

Objective: to confirm the presence and location of the landfill

Methods:

- aerial photograph evaluation
- geophysical surveys (magnetometer, ground-penetrating radar [GPR], and terrain conductivity [TC])

Objective: to determine what chemical contaminants may be associated with the landfill

Methods:

- passive soil gas survey
- subsurface soil sampling
- monitoring well installation
- groundwater sampling

Study Area 2:

Facility 6001:

Objective: to determine the exact location and orientation of the septic tank, leach field, and associated piping

Methods:

- review sanitary sewer system blueprints
- use tile probe to confirm location of tank

Objective: to determine the presence of any chemical contaminants in the septic tank

Methods:

- wastewater sampling
- sludge sampling

Objective: to determine if chemical contaminants are present in the soil and groundwater adjacent to the septic tank and leach field

Methods:

- piezometer installation
- subsurface soil sampling
- monitoring well installation
- groundwater sampling

Herndon Annex

Objective: to determine if chlorinated solvents are present in the vicinity of the aircraft parking areas and the former Air Force structures

Methods:

- TerraProbeSM subsurface soil sampling
- TerraProbeSM groundwater sampling
- subsurface soil sampling
- monitoring well installation
- groundwater sampling

Study Area 3:

Hazardous Materials Storage Area:

Objective: to confirm the locations of the Matador missile test cells and support activities

Methods:

- aerial photograph evaluation
- background information review

Objective: to determine what chemical contaminants are associated with the Hazardous Materials Storage Area

Methods:

- passive soil gas survey
- surface soil sampling
- subsurface soil sampling
- soil borings
- monitoring well installation
- groundwater sampling

Study Area 4:

Rusk Memorial Chapel and Annex:

Objective: to determine what specific remedial activities were completed by the Department of Public Works following the transformer leak in the mid-1980's

Method:

- background information review

Objective: to evaluate the effectiveness of the previous remedial activities and to characterize the potential residual chemical contamination

Methods:

- subsurface soil sampling
- field screening analysis (polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs])

Study Area 5:

Building UNF-13:

Objective: to confirm the presence and location of former buildings and septic system

Methods:

- aerial photograph evaluation
- geophysical surveys (magnetometer, GPR, and TC)

Objective: to determine what chemical contaminants may be associated with the motorboat facility and septic system

Methods:

- passive soil gas survey
- subsurface soil sampling
- monitoring well installation
- groundwater sampling

Study Area 6:

Lake Baldwin:

Objective: to determine the presence of metallic debris within the lake (if necessary)

Method:

- marine magnetometer survey

Objective: to identify chemical contaminants that may have been introduced to the lake from various sources

Methods:

- surface water sampling
- sediment sampling

Study Area 7:

Lake Susannah:

Objective: to determine the presence of metallic debris within the lake

Method:

- marine magnetometer survey

Objective: to identify chemical contaminants that may have been introduced to the lake from various sources

Methods:

- surface water sampling
- sediment sampling

Study Area 8:

Building 2134:

Objective: to identify chemical contaminants that may have resulted from spills or disposal of residues from oils or hazardous materials used in golf course maintenance activities

Methods:

- surface soil sampling
- subsurface soil sampling
- monitoring well installation
- groundwater sampling

Former Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Lagoons (IAS Site 2):

Objective: to confirm the locations of the former WWTP lagoons

Methods:

- aerial photograph survey
- geophysical surveys (GPR and TC)

Objective: to identify chemical and radiological contaminants that may be associated with the former WWTP lagoons

Methods:

- passive soil gas survey
- subsurface soil sampling
- monitoring well installation
- groundwater sampling

Study Area 9:

Former Pesticide and Herbicide Building (UNF-14):

Objective: to confirm the location of the former building (specifically, the sump structure) and, possibly, the presence of a groundwater contaminant plume

Methods:

- aerial photograph evaluation
- geophysical surveys (magnetometer, GPR, and TC)

Objective: to determine if chemical contaminants may be present in the study area

Methods:

- surface soil sampling
- subsurface soil sampling
- monitoring well installation
- groundwater sampling

Study Area 10:


Yard Waste Disposal Area:

Objective: to delineate the extent of the disposal area and, possibly, the presence of a groundwater contaminant plume

Methods: • aerial photograph evaluation
 • geophysical surveys (magnetometer, GPR, and TC)

Objective: to determine if chemical contaminants may be present in the study area

Methods: • passive soil gas survey
 • subsurface soil sampling
 • monitoring well installation
 • groundwater sampling





SOURCE: ABR-ES 1994b.

**FIGURE 1-3
LOCATIONS OF STUDY AREAS**

**SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP 1 STUDY AREAS**


**NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA**



**HERNDON ANNEX
NOT TO SCALE**




APPROXIMATE PROPERTY LINE



APPROXIMATE PROPERTY LINE

APPROXIMATE PROPERTY LINE



LEGEND

- Location of former aircraft parking
- Proposed temporary piezometer location
- Proposed soil boring/monitoring well location
- Proposed terraprobe groundwater sample location
- Proposed terraprobe soil sample location

FIGURE 4-4
PROPOSED PIEZOMETER, TERRAPROBE, SOIL BORING, AND MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS, FACILITY 6001, ABANDONED SEPTIC TANK/DRAIN FIELD, STUDY AREA 2, AND THE HERNDON ANNEX



SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP 1 STUDY AREAS
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA



LEGEND

- ▲ Proposed surface water sample location
- ▲ Proposed sediment sample location

SOURCE: ABB-ES, 1944b.

FIGURE 4-9
PROPOSED SURFACE WATER AND
SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATIONS AT
LAKE BALDWIN, STUDY AREA 6



SITE SCREENING PLAN
GROUP I STUDY AREAS

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

