

## Latulippe, George

---

**From:** Curtis DeTore [cdetore@mde.state.md.us]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 02, 2001 10:29 AM  
**To:** LatulippeG@ttnus.com  
**Subject:** Re: FW: Indian Head Site 41 and 44 RODs

The Site 41 responsiveness summary looks good to me, Shawn's comment included. I also don't have any problem with the draft response to comments for either Site 41 or 44. I just drafted the ROD concurrence letter for Site 12. Shawn should receive it within the next two weeks.

>>> "Latulippe, George" <LatulippeG@ttnus.com> 05/01/2001 10:52 >>>  
Team:

Forwarding drafts of:

Site 41 Responsiveness Summary

Response to comments for the Site 41 draft ROD

Response to comments for the Site 44 draft ROD

Note that no comments were received from the public in connection with the Site 44 Proposed Plan, thus no Site 44 Responsiveness Summary.

As with the response to comments for the Site 12 draft ROD, you will see that changes were not made to the document for some comments to the draft RODs for Sites 41 and 44. Also as with the Site 12 ROD, I made some judgements with respect to retaining language in the RODs for Sites 41 and 44 that more closely follows the ROD guidance. If anyone feels that their comments were not adequately considered, please contact me so we can discuss the question/comment.

It would be extremely helpful if you could get back to me with either your concurrence or further comments by Wednesday (4/9). That will allow us to keep the schedule moving along at a brisk pace and to get the draft final RODs for Sites 41 and 44 in front of the EPA attorneys as soon as possible.

Thanks,  
Geo.

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Turnbull, Kim

> Sent: Tuesday, May 01, 2001 9:28 AM

> To: Latulippe, George

> Subject: Indian Head Site 41 and 44 RODs

>

> George,

>

> I have revised the responsiveness summary and the draft responses to  
> comments for the Site 41 ROD in accordance with your comments. The  
> revised files are attached. The draft responses to comments for the Site  
> 44 ROD, which required minor revision, are also attached. Once we have  
> IHIRT concurrence on the responses to comments, we can complete the draft  
> final versions of these RODs.

>

> <<FOR SITE 41 ROD RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY.doc>> <<site 41 draft rtc.doc>>

> <<site 44 draft rtc.doc>>

>

>

>